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One of the most valuable spiritual activities in East or West is satsang: a gathering of spiritual aspirants for spiritual study, discussion and inspiration. A satsang is especially beneficial when there is someone with many years of practice and experience who answers the questions asked by the aspirants. Over the years, and especially after the advent of the internet, people have written to me with questions that I have answered according to my understanding. This book is a collection of some of those questions and my answers. I hope they will be interesting and helpful to others.

I am interested in climbing the stairs that may lead to samadhi. Please guide.

Samadhi is a natural consequence of meditation (in Buddhism profound meditation itself is considered samadhi), and there need be no special attention placed on it. Rather, your attention should be on making sure your practice is correct, of sufficient time and that nothing in your life is working against it.

Swami Vivekananda told M (Mahendranath Gupta) that Sri Ramakrishna once asked him: “What do you want?” He answered, “I want to remain absorbed in samadhi.” Whereupon Sri Ramakrishna responded: “What a poor understanding you have! Get beyond samadhi. Samadhi is a petty thing.”

The ultimate realization that lies beyond samadhi, and to which samadhi leads, should be our desired goal.

Four years back one of my friends made the following statement: “Nothing is Everything. Everything is Nothing and there is Nothing called Nothing.” Is this a statement to explain Brahman?

Your friend’s statement is just nonsensical gibberish by someone who thinks he can express Advaitic ideas by spouting contradictory jargon. The truth is expressed fully in the Bhagavad Gita. That should be your major focus of study.

The mantra beginning “Purnamidah” is the nearest to a perfect Advaitic statement there is:

\[
\begin{align*}
Purnamidah, & \text{ purnamidam,} \\
purnat & \text{ purnamudachyate;} \\
Purnasya & \text{ purnamadaya,} \\
purnam & \text{ evawashishyate.}
\end{align*}
\]

*Purna* means “total, full, complete,” which is what our English term “perfect” used to mean, rather than just “without fault.” In this verse, the word “complete” (purna) refers to God. Here, as best I can, is a translation into English:

This is the Complete; That is the Complete.
The Complete has come out of the Complete.
If we take the Complete away from the Complete,
Only the Complete remains.

We could also say:

This is the Totality; That is the Totality.
The Totality has come out of the Totality.
If we take the Totality away from the Totality,
Only the Totality remains.

Fools discuss philosophy and excuse themselves from practical application. The wise engage in sadhana and come to know the Truth.

I am extremely interested in pursuing a path wherein I can realize God, yet as any other ordinary mortal I am being pulled down by negative forces. But I truly want to be away from this because once I feed my hunger for these forces I see pain and sorrow immediately following me. I have experienced this many times. Moreover the more I want to go away from these bad elements the more I am going towards them in reality. Is there a way for me to come out of these negative forces and become good?

Goodness is your nature. You are realizing this through your spiritual intuition, and that is why the elements of ignorance in your life are unsettling to you. This is a sign of being well along the path; be assured of this.

Meditation is the only remedy for the ills of life. It may take time, but those who persevere will emerge fully into the Light. You will find meditation instruction on our website that we hope will prove helpful to you. The book Soham Yoga is available in both PDF, Kindle and print editions. Also please see the article: The Foundations of Yoga.

It will be most beneficial for you to daily read from the Bhagavad Gita, as it is completely practical, and not just abstract philosophy. The best translation to begin with is The Song of God by Swami Prabhavananda. Then try mine, as it is more literal.

Please remember this: a diamond is a piece of coal that never gave up.

The following is a reply to an inquirer who described an experience in consciousness and asked what was its nature and if it was enlightenment:

Along the way many doors swing open, giving us unexpected insights. But we must just keep moving on. On occasion we enter into profound states of consciousness, some of which begin to fade away since they are messages from our Self (Atman) to seek and become established in them, and others are plateaus from which we do not regress. Whichever they are, in the words of Sri Ramakrishna: “Go
How does one know if one is meant to live a monastic life versus a householder’s life? I have been going over this in my mind for some years now and I am still uncertain of my calling to one or the other. Anything you might be able to say in regards to discerning if one has a monastic calling would be most appreciated and very helpful.

Since we are not external beings, the external mode of life is not “the thing.” Rather, it is the interior development—sadhana—that matters.

Two people once asked Sri Ma Anandamayi if they should some day become monastics (they were married, but living a celibate life). Her reply was: “Those who do sadhana automatically become sadhus.”

Once a young man came to Sri Ramana Maharshi and asked if he should become a monk. Immediately Sri Ramana responded: “No.” Taken aback the young man protested: “But you did!” “Yes, but I did not need to ask anyone if I should,” replied Ramana.

Please focus on your sadhana, making sure that it is going right. Then everything will unfold as it should. As Jesus assures us: “Seek ye first the kingdom of God, and his righteousness; and all these things shall be added unto you” (Matthew 6:33).

Nothing is more miserable than a monk without a genuine interior life—India is filled with them.

I have a question about gurus and their supposed infallibility. I do not mean to be disrespectful, but guru infallibility is just a mite suspect. Any thoughts that can help me out here?

If we look at the scriptures which form the basis of Sanatana Dharma—the eleven major Upanishads, the Bhagavad Gita, and the Yoga Sutras—we do not find the exaggerated view of the guru that has arisen and gripped Hinduism in its degeneracy, and is a major source of its continuing degeneracy. A true teacher is, in the simile of Buddha, a finger pointing to the Supreme. He is of great value and benefit, but he is never the Supreme Itself. The tendency in India for popular gurus to be declared avatars is irresponsible and ultimately destructive.

In the Taittiriya Upanishad (1:2:2) it is said: “Let your mother be a god to you; let your father be a god to you; let your teacher be a god to you; let your guest also be a god to you.” The word here translated “god” is “deva,” which means “a shining one.” A deva is a semi-divine or celestial being with great powers, and therefore a “god”—sometimes called a demigod. (In Christianity they would be called angels.) So we are being told to look upon mother, father, teacher (acharya) and even guests as sacred, as angelic beings worthy of great respect. That is all. They are never God.

To look upon any human being as God or infallible like God is utterly foolish and
self-deceiving. (I ought to know; I did it for years.) It is also foolish to consider that the records of their teachings are infallible. That is why we seek within ourselves for the Truth.

The foregoing is not the opinion of most Hindus, but it is consistent with real Dharma. And consistent with good sense.

**Can you advise me as to a daily spiritual routine?**

The yogi’s life and experience is his laboratory. It is good to experiment and try out various routines to come up with the one that fits you best, keeping in mind that what will be relevant to you at one point in your development will have little relevance at another time, and vice-versa. Like you yourself, your routine should evolve.

Certainly routines are very beneficial, but there can be a pitfall. Some people get so occupied with routines that they mistakenly think they have a spiritual life. A classic example is that of the Western Christian monastics who attend Mass and hours of the Divine Office daily, but have no interior life at all.

What is needed is a spiritual routine as the nucleus around which spiritual realization can result. Spirit is consciousness, so dealing with that is the only really spiritual activity. For us, meditation on Soham and continual repetition of Soham throughout the day is the preferred nucleus. (See *Soham Yoga*.) Our spiritual study, etc., is necessary yet definitely secondary.

**I am married and have two children. How can I lead a spiritual life in this situation?**

All the Vedic Rishis were married with families, as were all the ancient sages of India including Janaka and even Sri Rama and Sri Krishna. Therefore marriage cannot be an impediment to spiritual life if it is a dharmic marriage. My sannyasa guru, Sri Swami Vidyananda Giri, once said to me: “There are many dharmic households that are more spiritual than some ashrams.” I have lived in such households myself and know that to be true.

Spiritual life is in the heart. Please practice meditation daily. This is the prime necessity. Every day read from the Bhagavad Gita. This is a supreme help in spiritual life.

**In reply to a person who believed he was being invaded and oppressed by negative spirits and was suffering in a variety of physical and mental ways.**

It would not be possible—or responsible—for me to give an assured “diagnosis” and prescribe a “treatment” for your situation, knowing only what is in your brief communication.

However, I can tell you this: negative entities cannot stand the supremely high
and holy vibrations that result from Soham sadhana: mentally intoning So when inhaling and Ham (pronounced “hum”) when exhaling. Therefore I urge you to carefully read Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self, and diligently practice its instructions.

This may seem easy for me to say and hard for you to do, but smile and laugh as much as you can. Negative entities hate happiness and joy and flee from them. This is why negative human beings are stern and morose and bark at those who laugh or make a joke.

There is no telling how long the trouble will persist if you follow these suggestions, but it should not be too long.

I have read “Christian Insights into Reincarnation.” How is a Christian to believe in a continuous cycle of death and rebirth until spiritual immortality is achieved when Jesus and the Bible mention hell as a place of eternal suffering for God’s enemies?

First, you should also read May a Christian Believe in Reincarnation? as that contains more information that relates to your question.

Let’s talk about hell. (Most religions like to.)

In the Bible there are three words translated “hell.” They are: Sheol, Gehenna, and Hades.

Sheol is Hebrew, and merely means the grave–sometimes “pit.” It is therefore a matter of interpretation as to whether it means a realm where the spirit is conscious after death. In the places throughout the Old Testament where sheol is used, it seems to always be a synonym for death itself.

In the New Testament we have the two words translated hell: Gehenna and Hades.

Gehenna, the term used by Matthew and Mark, means “Valley of Hinnom,” which at the time of Jesus referred to the valley outside Jerusalem which was the garbage dump where all kinds of refuse were continually smoking and smoldering–and smelling terrible. This was used by Jesus as a symbol of samsara—the continuous cycle of birth and death. Orthodox Judaism uses the term gilgal or ha’atakah to convey the same idea. (This is discussed in May a Christian Believe in Reincarnation?)

Although the fires burned and smoked continually in the Valley of Hinnom, the maggots were not destroyed, but kept “working” in the muck. Jesus was referring to this when he spoke of Gehenna as a place “where their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched” (Mark 9:44). And Revelation speaks of how “the smoke of their torment ascendeth up for ever and ever: and they have no rest day nor night” (Revelation 14:11).

Luke uses the Greek word hades, which merely means “the unseen world.” In Greek mythology hades was in the depths of the earth, so “hell”–a word meaning “the nether regions” was used in English translations. Holland is really Hella-land, the Low Land, and so is also called the Netherlands (Nederland).

As to “hell” lasting forever, this is based on incorrect understanding of aionios,
which means “pertaining to the aeon.” Greek cosmology, like Christian cosmology (John 14:2) believed in many levels or “worlds” within creation, and referred to them as aeons (or eons). Christianity, like Hinduism and Buddhism, originally believed in many worlds, including both heavens and hells. So in a world of fiery torment the fire would be aionion. Since these worlds last a long time (but none last forever), aionios could also mean lasting a long time.

It is a matter of personal interpretation as to whether in a particular New Testament passage aionios means a long time or a condition proper to a particular world, but there is no doubt as to its meaning only a limited span of time, for Jesus said: “Lo, I am with you alway, even unto the end of the world [aion–aeon]” (Matthew 28:20). Here we see that the King James editors considered aeon could mean a world. Aionios, then, could mean something lasting the lifespan of a world.

I have a question about something I have been trying to find for a year now. The exact location of the Rig Veda verse that begins Prajapati vai idam, and is the original of the first verse of the Gospel of John.

I understand your dilemma. I found the quotation (without exact reference) in writings by Indian writers whose integrity I trusted, so I passed on the misinformation about the source being the Rig Veda. Fortunately, an internet friend supplied us with the correct sources and the exact text: “In the beginning was Prajapati. With him was the Word” (Prajapati vai idam agra asit. Tasya vak dvitiya asit). This verse is found in the following places: 1) Krishna Yajurveda, Kathaka Samhita, 12.5, 27.1; 2) Krishna Yajurveda, Kathakapisthala Samhita, 42.1; 3) Jaiminiya Brahmana II, Sameveda, 2244. Prajapati refers to God as Creator.

I was able to visit the cave of Jesus near Vashishtha Guha with friends twice in the past six months! It is a powerful and vibrant cave. No one was near when we engaged ourselves in meditation. I thank you again and again. Would you please tell me of some more places in India visited by Jesus and directions to visit there.

There are two places in the holy city of Kankhal which I usually do not speak about as most people would think I was being over-imaginative, but I will describe them to you.

The first is the Ganga channel that you cross when going from Hardwar to Kankhal. You reach this by turning to the right (east) at the Mrityunjaya fountain as you are entering the main part of Hardwar. Stand in the middle of the bridge and you may feel a very definite spiritual atmosphere. I always do. The canal was made less than twenty years after independence, so apparently Jesus stayed and meditated here when it was solid land.

To reach the second place, just keep on going east across the bridge into
Kankhal—not very far. As you proceed, you will come to several old ashrams on your right hand (south). After a bit you will come to a large area enclosed by iron fencing in the middle of which there is a small but ornate Shiva temple of carved stone (really a roof on supports rather than an enclosed building). Meditate there and you may feel the presence of Jesus.

Whenever I have been in Hardwar I always visited these two places. Sometimes I have made flower offerings into the Ganga from the bridge.

Two other places where Jesus lived are Varanasi and Jagannath Puri. We have no idea where he lived in Varanasi, but in Puri he lived in the Govardhan Math of Sri Shankaracharya—so said Jagadguru Bharati Krishna Tirtha, a former Shankaracharya and my param-sannyasa-guru. He claimed there were records of Jesus having lived there, but his research was thrown out by a servant while he was visiting America, and he did not live long enough to rewrite it.

It is also said that somewhere in Puri there is a small shrine containing nothing but a plain wooden cross, and that every day for many centuries a Brahmin priest has done some kind of worship there. Unfortunately this is all I know.

I hope this will be helpful for you and that you will be able to visit these places. I hope that you will also visit Rudra Prayag (Allahabad) and take a bath in the sacred Triveni. Also that you will visit Varanasi (Kashi) and its many temples, especially those of Vishwanath and Annapurna. Sri Brindaban is a spiritual paradise (not so appealing outwardly) with many temples filled with spiritual power. Please have darshan of the living Krishna murti at the Bankey Bihari temple.

I would like to know whether the departed soul gets our prayers and the love we express. Also, how do those prayers help the departed soul?

Certainly the departed receive the effects of our prayers and the love we send them. All viable religions have rituals for the benefit of the departed that are effective.

But the best rule is this: Show love and care for those dear to you while they live. Help them to keep their mind on higher things, to worship and meditate on God every day. Then they will carry with them the blessing and knowledge of your love and will need no further help, but will ascend to higher worlds as Sri Krishna assures us in the Gita.

How can I understand the teachings of Jesus better?

First, be sure you distinguish between the teachings of Jesus and the doctrines of contemporary Christianity (Churchianity), including the English translations of the Bible.

I recommend that you obtain a copy of The Aquarian Gospel of Jesus the Christ published by DeVorss Publications. This is not a “channeled” book as some claim. Levi Dowling, a Disciples of Christ (Christian Church) minister, developed his
ability to look into the past and spoke out his impressions as his wife wrote them down. He did not claim his records were infallible or of divine authority—only psychic perceptions. Yet this book is a marvel of spiritual philosophy and is truer to the facts than the four canonical Gospels that have been edited to conform to official doctrine.

I also recommend that you look into the writings of Paramhansa Yogananda, especially *The Second Coming of Christ*, which has a scripture index so you can see his commentary on specific verses. His *Autobiography of a Yogi* contains a great deal of material on the Bible, as do the three volumes of his talks. I feel that Yogananda had a spiritual comprehension of the teachings of Jesus that was unique, and that there is no better source for understanding the message of Jesus.

A Saint Thomas Christian priest of south India once said to me: “You cannot understand the teachings of Jesus if you do not know the scriptures of India.” He was right. The teachings of Jesus are the teaching of the Indian scriptures—not something different.

I do not understand why it is all right to kill and eat plants but not all right to kill and eat animals. It feels like the Consciousness is the same in both. I am not asking this so I can eat meat. I am a vegetarian because my mind, memory and meditation are sharper when I eat only vegetables. I am asking because I hope to understand better.

Here is a relevant paragraph from *The Four Soul Killers*:

“Often the objection is raised that we are killing plants to eat them. But this is not accurate, either. When we harvest vegetables, we do so at the end of their growth cycle; otherwise they just wither and decay. Animals, however, are slaughtered long before their natural lifespan is finished. We must also distinguish between the fruit and the plant. When we pick, say a tomato, we do not kill the plant, but it continues to grow—no life is taken. As for root vegetables such as carrots or potatoes, the root that we harvest is the final stage in the plant’s growth; if it were not harvested, the plant would merely rot in the earth. Furthermore, in plants the sensory mind is only potential, it is ‘asleep.’ They do not feel pain. Although they do have a rudimentary nervous system that responds to injury, the conscious mind that would receive the message of pain in animal organisms is not functional.”

The bottom line, however, is obvious. We can live a better and healthier life by not eating animals. But if we do not eat plants we will die. Philosophy really does not come into this. Things are as they are.

How can I not kill pests? If I do not discourage ants and rats, they tend to move into my house. If I do not spray my garden with some kind of pesticide, the harvest is attacked. Also, when we bathe, thousands of bacteria on the
skin probably drown.

There are many ways to avoid killing “pests”—usually by repelling them. Ants, for example, work through smell. Spraying ant trails with something like Lysol makes them go away. Mice you can catch with “live traps” and take them some distance away and set them free. Things that come in the attic and rumble around in the walls can be repelled with mothballs. (It apparently smells like cat urine to them.) We have a large rabbit population. By spraying around the susceptible plants we ensure that the rabbits leave them alone.

Involuntary taking of life has no karmic result. As Swami Sri Yukteswar pointed out to Yogananda, it is the intention or desire to take life that violates ahimsa. Buddha considered this very intently and even declared that involuntary manslaughter creates no negative karma.

Is it possible for an ordinary person who has wasted his or her energy for years to make up their lost energy by practicing brahmacharya when in their thirties or forties?

Certainly it is possible to do so by observing brahmacharya in thought, word and deed. Please be aware that diet has a strong influence on brahmacharya, that Gandhi recommended not only abstention from meat, fish, and eggs, but also from dairy.

Prayer, japa and meditation are the sure foundations of brahmacharya, and indeed of all virtues.

I would like to ask two questions: 1) What is the purpose of human life? There must be a defined purpose of human life, as all human beings appear to be suffering from the same cause—desires. So there must be only one solution to end human suffering. 2) Why are there so many religious variations? Don’t you think this confuses an already confused mortal in choosing what is the right way of leading a right life?

Evolution is the fundamental purpose of all sentient life. Because people are in differing levels of evolution they naturally have different—and even contradictory—opinions. This is only natural.

If you study the Bhagavad Gita carefully and sincerely—and daily—you will find all the answers to any relevant questions about life and the removal of suffering. The Gita is the pinnacle of practical spiritual knowledge.

In the Bhagavad Gita Krishna tells Arjuna to meditate with his eyes half-shut, focused on the point of his nose. I read other articles that say to focus the eyes upwards. Can you explain this disparity?

The confusion comes from faulty translation. Krishna says to fix the eyes on the
nasikagram, which means “origin of the nose.” So it depends on where you think the nose “begins”! Actually, your eyes will turn up and down spontaneously in meditation, so the best and most relaxing thing to do at the beginning of meditation is to turn them slightly downward and then forget all about them. Your practice will do the rest.

The Gita says nothing about half-closed eyes—that is an interpolation of translators.

These words from *Advice to Sannyasins–Part 2* by Swami Sivananda Saraswati, found on your website are cruel and hurtful:

“Woman is more venomous than the cobra. Cobra kills a man by a bite; but woman kills him by even a mere sight, and not only one life, but thousands of lives will be lost by contact with woman. Be ever vigilant. Maya is so very powerful. Be far away from women. Even if you have to serve women, mentally you must be far away from them. You should just do your duty (service) and run away.”

When read by Indian men and women, in the spiritual and cultural context in which they were written, Sivananda’s counsel to monks is not at all either cruel or hurtful. It is true that Westerners, without that context, may misconstrue them and think they are defamatory.

It should be noted that Sivananda speaks of “Woman” as an abstract concept—not of female human beings. He is speaking of the delusive image within the mind of the male human—an image that has been reinforced by many lifetimes of ignorance and lust, even if in this life a man inclines to purity and on the conscious level seems free from lustful attitudes toward women. He quite rightly tells monks to avoid casual and personal contact with women, lest their own subconscious (and often conscious!) negativity arises and harms both them and the women.

In contemporary India women are much too trusting toward monks and associate with them as freely and openly as though they were close members of their own family. Terrible grief is often the result of this. Recently an American monk on pilgrimage wrote to us from India saying that he was not able to stay in the home of a devout family in the Himalayas because a short time before an Indian monk had been invited into that home only to run away with a daughter of the house after a few days.

Sri Ramakrishna, whose respect for women was a marked characteristic, often warned his male visitors against the destructive possibilities of “Woman and Gold.” He did not say “women,” but “Woman”—meaning it in the psychological sense of men’s mental corruption. Furthermore, the specific term he used was kamini, which means a woman as an object of lust—kama. But when he spoke with women he warned them about “Man and Gold.”

Sri Ma Sarada Devi, the virgin wife of Sri Ramakrishna, continually advised her women disciples to never trust men, and she advised her male disciples to never
trust women. She meant this in the sense of those of the opposite sex who would not observe a respectful reserve in regard to them. Sri Ma would not allow her female devotees to be present when male devotees came to see her, nor would she allow men in her house when women devotees were with her.

Readers in the lust-ridden West may find this distasteful, but serious sadhakas are not offended. It has been my observation for many decades that people who rave on about how the sexes should be considered “the same” and who shriek that it is “unspiritual” to observe a distance between male and female sadhakas because we are all “atmas” are usually sex slaves—or enslavers—angered at the suggestion they should distance themselves from the objects of their addiction. A truly spiritual man or woman understands well the potential dangers of association with the opposite sex, though blaming only the ignorance and delusion that produces such dangers—in themselves.

The twelfth chapter of Autobiography of Yogi has this instructive passage:

“My guru mixed freely with men and women disciples, treating all as his children. Perceiving their soul equality, he showed no distinction or partiality.

‘In sleep, you do not know whether you are a man or a woman,’ he said. ‘Just as a man, impersonating a woman, does not become one, so the soul, impersonating both man and woman, has no sex. The soul is the pure, changeless image of God.’

‘Sri Yukteswar never avoided or blamed women as objects of seduction. Men, he said, were also a temptation to women. I once inquired of my guru why a great ancient saint had called women ‘the door to hell.’

‘A girl must have proved very troublesome to his peace of mind in his early life,’ my guru answered caustically. ‘Otherwise he would have denounced, not woman, but some imperfection in his own self-control.’

‘If a visitor dared to relate a suggestive story in the hermitage, Master would maintain an unresponsive silence. ‘Do not allow yourself to be thrashed by the provoking whip of a beautiful face,’ he told the disciples. ‘How can sense slaves enjoy the world? Its subtle flavors escape them while they grovel in primal mud. All nice discriminations are lost to the man of elemental lusts.’”

It should be noted that Yogananda, though having both men and women living in his American ashram, demanded strict segregation of the sexes—a segregation that is rightly observed even now over half a century after his physical departure.

I had the inestimable blessing of living for a while in the Sivanandashram and being with Sivananda himself at least twice a day, and I can assure you that he had no dislike of women. The female monastics and sadhakas of the ashram were as respected as the males. However, a respectful and proper distance was observed on both sides. At the same time there was a remarkable familial feeling pervading the ashram.

Sivananda’s advice was for male monastics—sannyasis. If he had written something for women monastics—sannyasinis—he would have told them that Man was more venomous than the cobra!
Wise are those who are aware of their actual and potential weaknesses and act accordingly, not blaming the objects of their delusions, but their delusion itself. Those who do not guard themselves—and others—intend to fall into the snares of ignorance.

**Have you ever heard of anyone refusing to reincarnate—and succeeding? If we have free will we must surely be able to make such a decision. Otherwise, in my opinion, we are nothing but slaves.**

First we must realize that reincarnation is determined exclusively by the individual spirit, or atman. God has nothing to do with it, although God has manifested the worlds in which reincarnation can occur. Nor are there any “Lords of Karma” that decide when spirits reincarnate. Even more important, we must understand that karma is not some blind, omnipotent and inescapable force that drags or impels us into incarnation after incarnation. The belief in these three “causes” of reincarnation arises from a miscomprehension of what karma actually is, and an ignorance of the sole purpose of rebirth.

Karma is not some kind of subtle energy accumulation of merit (“good karma”) or demerit (“bad karma”), but rather is the psychic conditioning (positive or negative) resulting from the states of mind and will that produced our past actions. Karma is a kind of kink or whorl in the mind (which is a field of ever-moving energy) and the other subtle energy bodies which at present make us “human.” The Atman is consciously—and intelligently—working its way toward the state of perfection we call Liberation. It is the Atman alone that determines when and where we shall reincarnate and exactly which kinks we will be working on in each life. If the will of the Atman is not carried out then evolution stops and we stagnate.

The spiritual intelligence of the Atman is often called the “higher mind.” In contrast to this is the “lower mind” that is the egocentric bundle of attraction/aversion impulses that are rooted in complete ignorance and selfishness. This lower mind is always in conflict with the higher mind, for its basis is ignorance and distortion of perception. This mind is indeed a slave, and has no possibility of free will, for it not only functions only in the world of bondage, it has no existence independent of the Self. It is a mirage, an illusion. It does not really “exist” in the ultimate sense. Naturally, it claims to be the only mind we have, and insists that it possesses and exercises free will.

Although the lower mind is not a conscious entity unto itself, nevertheless it is energy-force and therefore can produce effects, including influencing our incarnate and discarnate states.

On occasion the higher mind stands aside and lets the lower mind have its way so the folly and impotence of the lower mind can be revealed—even to itself. This results in suffering as the only possible consequence, something the lower mind—being psychopathic—insists comes from God, the Lords of Karma, or even karma itself. Rarely does it admit the truth of its own responsibility, and when it does we
know that its dissolution is beginning and freedom is dawning.

Deluded people often refuse to incarnate. Usually this results in nothing more than their being rebellious and embittered for the entire time of a particular birth. But it can also be the cause of continual bouts of life-threatening illnesses. The individual bounces between nearly dying and being cured—often suddenly. The lower mind is trying to escape through death, but it also possesses a clinging to life that militates against its attempts. This psychic schizophrenia grips a lot of human beings.

On occasion the individual tries to avoid rebirth by preventing the subtle bodies from entering the physical body at birth (for there is a separation during the mother’s labor). If he succeeds, then the body remains inert, often paralyzed, and observers assume the person is a hopeless idiot. (See The Secrets of Doctor Taverner by Dion Fortune for a true account of this phenomenon.) At other times the refusal results in the production of a horribly defective body—oftentimes not even of human configuration. Whichever occurs, the foolish person has to spend the span of an entire incarnation standing next to the body it has refused to incarnate—hardly an enjoyable consequence.

Other deluded people refuse to die and so they remain earthbound, attached to their physical body. This awful bondage can last for hundreds and even thousands of years. This is horrific slavery.

Nevertheless, in time the futility of such pain-bearing refusals become evident to even the most stubborn individuals and they stop such stupid attempts at thwarting the true free will, the free will of the divine Self. Then evolution proceeds, and in time they are free from the necessity for rebirth. This alone is freedom.

Please give me some practical advice to achieve progress in the practice of brahmacharya.

The material to be found on our website is very helpful, but the prime key to success in cultivating the great spiritual gem of brahmacharya is perseverance. Persevere and you will attain perfection in this virtue.

Please be aware that meditation is the cornerstone of brahmacharya.

May the blessings of God be with you in your endeavors.

I am writing to you because I am very puzzled about some yogic masters’ view that every religion is the infinite God expressing himself in different ways, that every religion teaches the same fundamental truth. I think that this is very naive. Isn’t it only logical that some religions grow and spread because a man lusting for power deceives those around him by the claim that he is sent from God—threatening hell to those disobeying him and promising heaven to those obeying him? And what about Satanism... is that really a religion bearing God’s light? It is also very illogical from another point of
view: many religious texts claim that their religion is the only true religion, thereby banning every other religion.

Please help me understand why the great realized masters claim that a religion spreading violent messages should be a religion from God. Please help me–I really don’t understand it at all.... The masters may be filled with peace, silence and happiness, but how much is that worth if they lose the simple truth and their common sense in the process? Then their realization is nothing but a psychological state of peace and naïveté. The thing is, I don’t want to be realized if it’s only a psychological state including peace, but excluding truth. Every time I see a swami or other claiming that all religions are true I think: “Oh no, not again–does the truth really not matter at all when one gets ‘realized’?” Or are they really claiming that it is godly to kill, torture and hate, exactly as some religions teach?

I really hope for your help. Please make me understand why the masters make that claim about “all religions.” Or please let me know why the things I have pointed out are the way God really wants it. Please help me to clarity.

You do not need me to help you to clarity–you have it, and I congratulate you for it. You are absolutely right: it is foolish and irresponsible to say that every religion–or form of a religion–is valid, and that all religions are equal.

In the West, the assertion that all religions are good and equally valid was taken up only in the latter part of the twentieth century for purely cosmetic effect by those opportunists who wanted to appear “open” and “positive”–as well as by the “make nice” people to whom reality is always sacrificed to make them look and feel good. (We also have the groups that publicly say all religions are true and then privately rant on about how their “revelation” is the only presently valid one.)

This view originated, however, in India where it was put forth by two classes of people: 1) belly-crawling cowards who wanted to lick the hands and feet of their sadistic, barbarian conquerors–first the Moslems and then the British, and 2) genuine yogis who met and recognized truly spiritually awakened people–in other words, mystics–among the differing sects of modern Hinduism and occasionally among the adherents of Buddhism, Christianity and Islam.

We must also not confuse a religion with those in whose names those religions are being perpetrated. Yogananda used to point out that if all the founders of the world’s major religions were assembled in the same room it would be heaven on earth, but if their followers are put in the same place there is a war! What has Jesus to do with Christianity? And frankly, how much has Krishna or Buddha to do with much of contemporary Hinduism and Buddhism? A great deal in the world’s religions is indefensible superstition and perversity. Most religionists worship their ego, not God. As Yogananda also said: “No one religion has an exclusive franchise on spiritual ignorance.” We can also add that none has an exclusive franchise on Truth, either.

It is not religion which is valid–rather it is the seeking of God. It is the awakened spirit that gives validity to a spiritual path—not the other way round. The religion of
the ignorant is ignorance; the religion of the wise is wisdom. Therefore it is not the religion we should take into consideration but the individual spiritual aspirant. Sri Ramakrishna said that devotees of God form a caste or religion of their own. This is the only true religion, the only truly universal faith: the seeking of God.

In the Unknown Life of Jesus Christ Jesus says that we should not worship images of God or deities. Is this worship really wrong?

There is a great difference between worshipping a material image itself and worshipping God through or within that image. A primitive person having never before seen a telephone would assume that someone using it is talking to the phone receiver, but we would know that he was speaking through the receiver to the person on the other end. It is the same with spiritual imagery. We who understand that God is at the heart of all existence are aware that we can relate to him and even communicate with him through a material image. It will be according to our understanding and intention.

It is very easy to think that the problem lies with an object rather than the user of the object. What is needed, however, is a spiritual perspective on our part. Then no matter how involved we are with imagery we will never be “idolators.” After all, we know that a person is only spirit (atman) and not the body. But we speak to their body, give gifts to the body, embrace and even love the presence of that body, and no one accuses us of materiality. We are relating to the essential spirit by means of their body vehicle. It is the same with the use of religious imagery. It is a matter of spiritual intelligence. Those who lack that intelligence will never get the idea. Jesus was speaking to those whose ignorance made them idolators.

How is yoga just the stopping of thoughts in the mind? Is that all there is to it?

No. Yoga is much more sophisticated than that. It is also pretty technical, but there is no avoiding that.

“Yoga is the suppression of the modifications of the chitta,” is the beginning statement of the Yoga Sutras as well as being Patanjali’s definition of yoga. Meditation establishes our consciousness in the true Self and renders the chitta (mental energy, mind substance) free from outer-caused modifications or vrittis (waves). We should look at this further.

“To the purusha the chitta is the sole object in the form of its modifications. And chitta with its modifications [vrittis] inhibited [suppressed] would no longer be an object,” according to Shankara. The spirit, whose nature is consciousness alone, experiences the modifications of the mind (chitta) and mistakenly identifies with them. Though it seems to see many things, the only thing it ever really does see is the chitta as it dances before it in the form of ever-changing waves (vrittis). It is this objective consciousness that is the root of bondage—actually is the state of
bondage. For Vyasa comments on Yoga Sutra 4:22: “Though unmoving and unchanging, the purusha-experiencer has as it were entered into the changing object [of the chitta and its many forms or objects] and conformed itself to its function” by false identification with it. Shankara, considering the same sutra, says: “A wave in the mind, by merely arising, becomes an object for the purusha,... [although] its true nature is pure awareness.” Therefore, over-simple as it may seem, it is the removal of such objective consciousness that is liberation. And meditation is the direct means to remove such a binding consciousness.

By the resulting direct experience of our spirit-self, “ignorance comes to an end, and when that ceases there are none of the taints. With no taints, there is no karma-fruition. In that state the gunas have finished with their involvement and no longer arise before the purusha as perceived objects. That is the liberation of the spirit when the spirit stands alone in its true nature as pure light. So it is.” This is the conclusion of Vyasa.

I hope you will help me with a long-standing question: Can a person realize Brahman just by spiritual activities such as meditation, without ritualistic worship and prayers or devotion to personal gods?

If ritualistic worship and devotion to various deity forms assist the sadhaka, they should be used. But they are not absolutely necessary. However the Gita indicates that we should cultivate devotion to the Infinite Being, Brahman, who was speaking through Sri Krishna.

The Bhagavad Gita gives instruction on how to realize Brahman. Please take the Gita as your most authoritative teacher and read a chapter from it each day. You will succeed in spiritual life if you follow its teachings. And you will surely have the living blessing of Sri Vyasa, the author, as well as Sri Krishna.

Isn’t Advaita the same as Monism?

Advaita should never be equated with monism. Advaita simply means Not Two and is not the same as the simplistic idea “All is One,” of monism. Certainly Advaita postulates an eternal unity, but again not in the simplistic sense of monism. The Gita and the Upanishads are the authoritative sources of authentic Advaita. Even a brief perusal will reveal the vast difference between their real advaitic teachings and the popular contemporary understanding of non-dualism.

Also, it should not be forgotten that Advaita, Vishishtadvaita, and Dvaita—the teachings of Shankaracharya, Ramanujacharya, and Madhavacharya—are all said to be orthodox Sanatana Dharma. In other words, all three are true. It is when we limit ourselves to only one that we get confused.

For some reason the West, especially, just cannot grasp the fact that One is not merely a numerical term, but is also a quality of essential being. The Christians killed each other over this when some said Jesus had only one nature (monophysis).
and others said he had two natures (duophysis). The dualists just could not get the idea that One could be taken in a metaphysical sense, not just a mathematical one.

Never did it occur to the ancient sages of India that someone would try to reduce their teachings to mere intellection. Being themselves adept yogis, they were writing to assist other yogis along the way to enlightenment. This is why the Gita and Upanishads are filled with practical instruction on how to reach the true vision of Reality.

There is a question I have been posing to various sadhus and pundits recently. I wonder if you might care to address it. It concerns “Ekam sad vipra bahudha vadantikam Sat...” Why is it said that the “wise” call the same truth by different names? Why are the wise singled out in this regard? Don’t regular people call it by different names, too? I’m sorry if the question sounds too elementary, but this is such a basic tenet of the Perennial Philosophy that I don’t want to simply pretend to understand just because it’s elementary.

The words you quote come from the sixty-fourth verse of the one hundred and sixty-fourth hymn of the first section of the Rig Veda (Rig Veda 1.164.46). There is really no problem at all in discerning its meaning provided it is translated honestly and completely.

First the honest translation: “The Real/True [Sat] is One [ekam]. The twice-born [vipra] call It [vadanti] variously [bahudha].”

In modern times “vipra” is usually applied to the Brahmins—those who have been invested with the yajnopavita (sacred thread) and initiated into the Gayatri mantra. (In earlier times the Kshatriyas and Vaishyas also did this.) Of course it has a higher meaning: one who has been “born” into the consciousness of Spirit as well as physically. Each of us has to decide which (or both) is meant in the Vedic text.


There you have it. The illumined sages of India have called the One Reality, the Ekam Evam Advityam Brahman, by the many names found in the Veda. Even though many names are used, the Reality spoken about in the Veda is one. There is not a thought of other religions. The question of their validity or invalidity just does not come into this matter.

Certainly there are parts of the Gita that affirm the validity of the various paths by which human beings seek God. But to quote these Vedic words in support of that view is simply indefensible. Satyam Eva Jayate. Truth Alone is Victorious.

Sex (thought and act) was part of my life while I was in college but I repent for having done that. Recently someone told me that if you commit a mistake it can never be erased, and that is the end to me becoming a
brahmachari because the guilt will always be there in my mind. Does this mean that even if I try now to improve myself and follow a strict life, I will never be blessed?

A person who has lied can reform and practice truthfulness (satya). A person who has stolen can reform and practice non-stealing (asteya). A person who has done harm (himsa) can reform and practice ahimsa. It only follows to reason, then, that someone who has engaged in sexual acts can reform and practice brahmacharya.

Some of the greatest sannyasis (and therefore brahmacharis) in modern times have been married and begotten children. Yet, when they took up a new order of life they attained liberation and uplifted many others and inspired them to observe brahmacharya. Among the great monastic disciples of Sri Ramakrishna, Swami Brahmananda—the first president of Ramakrishna Mission—had been married and had one child. The great Swami Sivananda of Rishikesh, founder of the Divine Life Society, was married and had two children. When his wife and children were killed in a fire he took sannyas and became one of the greatest yogis of our times, even writing a book on brahmacharya. The beloved Swami (Papa) Ramdas of Anandashram, the embodiment of purity, had been married and also had children.

In the Gita Sri Krishna tells us: “Even if you should be the most sinful among all the sinful, yet you would cross over all sin by the raft of knowledge alone. As the kindled fire reduces wood to ashes, in the same way the fire of knowledge reduces all karmas to ashes” (Bhagavad Gita 4:36-37) “If even an evildoer worships me single-heartedly, he should be considered righteous, for truly he has rightly resolved. Quickly he becomes a virtuous soul and goes to everlasting peace. Understand: no devotee of me is ever lost” (Bhagavad Gita 9:30-31).

In Autobiography of a Yogi, we find this: “A new student occasionally expressed doubts regarding his own worthiness to engage in yoga practice. ‘Forget the past,’ Sri Yukteswar would console him. ‘The vanished lives of all men are dark with many shames. Human conduct is ever unreliable until anchored in the Divine. Everything in future will improve if you are making a spiritual effort now.’”

This is the truth of the matter. Trust in God and in your divine Self.

To a friend who sent us an email asking whether scriptures claiming to be the teachings of a great teacher can be fully trusted.

The really relevant question at all times is: WHO AM I? Knowing that John Rockefeller was the richest man in the world does not put a penny in anyone’s pocket. Knowing who was or was not a true prophet does not affect our spiritual life in the least. Remember: Krishna, Buddha and Jesus wrote no books of teachings. We really have no way of knowing if the Buddhist sutras or the Four Gospels contain the pure or complete teachings of Buddha or Jesus.

No scripture is perfect because it is a product of a human mind and has been copied by fallible (and sometimes unreliable and even dishonest) scribes through
centuries—even millennia. Things get even worse when we have only a translation! Silly and outright incorrect things can be found mixed in with wisdom. The wise take the wisdom and leave the unwisdom, the way an ant upon finding a heap of white sand and sugar takes the sugar and leaves the sand.

The wisest advice in all this is given in the Bhagavad Gita: “The yogi is superior to ascetics (tapaswins), and considered superior to jnanis and superior to those engaged in Vedic rituals (karmakanda). Therefore be a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46)

Does God pay personal attention to our needs as most people think? If our sole purpose is to reunite with God, I get the idea that it is kind of one-sided. We do all the work and He sits there waiting for us to figure it out.

If that is what we need, that is the way it will be. The sum of it all is this: God is everything. There is a single, unitary field of conscious, intelligent Being. It is One. Yet in this Unity there is an eternal diversity—that is where “we” come in. God (Brahman) being Pure Awareness, It cannot but be aware of us in totality. The cosmos is also divine—whether we see it as real or as a dream of God. And remember: we are thoroughly one with the creation and the Creator. There is no point at which we leave off and That begins. So we are also the universe and one with the God of the Universe which responds to us. Separation is completely impossible. Therefore, when we decide to evolve everything begins to move toward that—even the things that seem to oppose it. God is in the mix right there with us. The Gita explains this, saying that God is in the heart of all beings. And since Brahman is the sole power, It is working right along with us.

Is God a “He” or an “It”?
God is both, since he embraces all modes of being. Let me tell you, God is no simplistic bore!

Do I have this right? The Atman is the part of God that is within each of us (like a drop of water in the ocean) and Brahman is the entire ocean?
That is correct. We are in God and God is in us. He is the Whole and we are the parts. But this is just the way we have to speak about it. In reality there is only the Divine Unity which we see variously—and not necessarily erroneously. Few things are more tiresome than those who seek to tell God and us what he and we cannot do. It is beyond all thought and concept, but not beyond experiencing. “Therefore be a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46).

Sometimes I am overwhelmed by how little I know and how much I have to learn.
Don’t worry. You have an infinite capacity for knowing (which is much better than “knowledge”) and eternity in which to gain it.

Can we really pray for another and affect their life or health? Is it right to try to intervene in another’s life through prayer?

Actually, when we pray for another person we are simply supplying them with the positive energies needed to help them. If their inner mind is receptive and agreeable, they are benefited. If not, the blessing energy comes back to the senders and we are helped! This is why prayers are not answered for a long time and then suddenly they seem to work. The decisive factor was the inner will of the one prayed for. Keep in mind that karma is both absolute and flexible, however our inner minds are reacting to or projecting it.

It is impossible for us to intervene in anyone’s life, though we can be instruments of their karma if that is part of the divine plan.

What is your opinion of suicide?

As you can imagine, Sanatana Dharma views suicide differently from other religions, since its fundamental principle is the divinity of the individual. Here is how the Sanatana Dharmis see it:

Suicide is the most destructive thing a person can do because it takes such a tremendous act of will, and in future lives this can cause the person to be born wanting to die and—even worse—create the habit of suicide. For the karmic situation that drove him to suicide will come up again and again until he works through it—in the sense of understanding and dealing with it.

In India it is commonly believed that a suicide goes into a kind of void, an astral version of solitary confinement. As a consequence, no prayers are offered for the suicide until a year has gone by. Many feel that a person stays “in solitary” until their normal lifespan has gone by, that a twenty-year-old destined to live for eighty will pass sixty years in that terrible condition.

I expect this is right as far as the immediate consequences, but since there are no cookie-cutter “divine legislative” rules about anyone’s life (or death) it only makes sense to pray for and send healing vibrations to the person from the time of their death. After all, they may have sometime before the final moment realized their folly and wished that they had not made that mistake. That alone could turn them back from experiencing the void and enable them to have the astral experiences of someone who died because of foolish self-neglect. As Jesus said: “Blessed are the merciful.”

We have long believed in the Yogic powers of our ancient Rishis and Siddhas. We have even heard of spiritually elevated Rishis walking on water
or levitating from the ground. Do we have any concrete references to this in any ancient text (Veda/Upanishad, etc.)?

The authentic scriptures of Sanatana Dharma are concerned with one thing only: the liberation of the individual spirit (Atman). The “siddhis” are mere psychic technology and of no spiritual value—quite the opposite: all the spiritual adepts of India have warned seekers against their exercise. Patanjali (4:1) takes pains to point out that these powers are purely psychic and can even be induced by drugs (aushadhi). Though real, they are in essence mere psychic tricks with no practical value at all except to the earth-oriented ego, which their exercise strengthens to a potentially dangerous degree.

Can others really help us, or do we have to do it all ourselves?
Each of us is eternally perfect by nature. Therefore any improvement occurs only because that perfection is being uncovered—never is it a matter of being “made better.” This is so important to realize, because we tend to think of needing to become something or have someone else (including God) change us. Rather, external factors (including books and teachers) are catalysts for the revelation of our inner perfection. They are valuable and in many instances necessary, but it is erroneous to attribute to them any power to make us something we are not already. They are worthy of respect, but our Self is worthy of reverence! As my beloved friend, Swami Sivananda, used to sing: “Know your Self and be free.”

We must “give permission” for improvement to take place on any level of our existence. No external factors really “do it”—they only trigger our own realization. Sri Ramakrishna often said: “The mind is everything.”

And we never really affect others—they respond to us. That is why if we wrong an ordinary person they will hate us and if we wrong a saint they will forgive us. They respond according to their nature. “Even a wise man acts according to the tendencies of his own nature. All living creatures follow their tendencies” (Bhagavad Gita 3:33).

It is possible to help others through prayer?
The best prayer is for someone’s perfection. That is going to take place eventually and is part of the divine plan. The rest can be left to their own higher mind.

Did Jesus learn much of what he taught in India?
Jesus learned in India EVERYTHING he taught.

What do you say to the idea that Krishna, Buddha, and Jesus were only
myths?

Everything we believe is nothing but a myth until we know it for ourselves. Even what we think is “us” is mostly a silly mythology. Yogananda points out in the last sentence of the thirty-fifth chapter of his autobiography that through meditation “persons who cannot bring themselves to believe in the divinity of any man will behold at last the full divinity of their own selves.”

However, I believe that Krishna, Buddha and Jesus are eternal realities that manifest the Eternal Reality.

What if I have no faith in yoga?

Yoga is wonderful. It requires no faith, but proves its own premises.

What are visions?

The subject of visions is vast. Anyone who gives you a simple/simplistic definition or analysis is either very ignorant or is putting you on. (This is true of just about any simple answer to anything.)

Some visions are seeing subtle levels of existence that normally are not seen. Some are projections of our inner (higher and lower) mind—usually for communication with us. Some are messages from other intelligences, using visual rather than verbal symbols. Some are exactly what they seem to be while others are totally symbolic. Some are incomplete; others are not. Visions are true, false, or mixed.

So how can we figure them out? Often we cannot. The best advice is that given by Sri Ramana Maharshi: “Visions do occur. To know how you look you must look into a mirror, but do not take that reflection to be yourself. What is perceived by our senses and the mind is never the truth. [He means this in the ultimate sense. Even hallucinations are “real” mental phenomena.] All visions are mere mental creations, and if you believe in them, your progress ceases. Enquire to whom the visions occur. Find out who is their witness. Stay in pure awareness, free from all thoughts. Do not move out of that state.”

As someone who grew up with visionaries and as a yogi has had visions myself, I assure you this is the best attitude.

Would you say more about visions?

Visions may be true or false, positive or negative. How do we judge? Many times we cannot, and that is why the masters of wisdom counsel us to basically ignore them altogether.

Higher intelligences, God and our own Self communicate through a kind of knowing that arises in us. This is trustworthy. Even Saint Teresa of Avila, the great mystic, wrote that visions are chancy and of much less value than inner knowing or
spiritual intuition—which she called “intellectual visions.”

Once we begin accepting visual “revelations” we are on a very dangerous path indeed. I have seen many people either trivialized or ruined by accepting everything they saw as truth. Some have even come to think that their every dream is a spiritual vision. I knew one woman who dreamed her husband was cheating on her, so when she woke up she starting beating and cursing him!

Many times people have visions that prove to be true, so they begin to trust everything. Then they have a very serious “message” which they follow and fall into disaster. It is as though they are being led along and trapped, as when gamblers let a victim win a few times and then wipe him out.

It is when the senses—even the inner ones—get involved that we must be wary and avoid trouble. Intuition or clairsentience is another matter. That comes from the intellect, not the lower, sensory mind. That is much more trustworthy. But even there we must be careful. That which arises from within, from our Self—and from God who is the core of our Self—is the only absolutely sure thing. Still, we work with what we get, but work discriminatingly.

What about miracles?

The more supernatural or miraculous a thing may be, the more normal it is—in the sense of being closer to the Source.

Is it necessary for me to be married or unmarried to succeed in yoga?

Both married and unmarried people have been great yogis. It is the yoga life that matters, not marital status. There is no need to be married or to be unmarried. What is needed is to be a real yogi.

What does the Bhagavad Gita mean by “devil”?

Swami Prabhavananda in his translation used “devil” as the translation of the word asura. Sargeant prefers “demon” or "demoniacal" and so do I. “Asura” literally means “without the light,” and is a term applied to negative people, especially those who are willfully evil. The entire sixteenth chapter of the Gita is devoted to both “devas” (“shining ones”) and asuras (“dark ones”)—those who dwell in the light and those who dwell in darkness. An asura can be either a negative human being or a disembodied spirit.

Are there really demons and angels?

Yes. There are dwellers in the dark (asuras—without light) and devas (shining ones filled with light). A great many human beings fall into these categories.

When the human asuras and devas leave the body they usually go to astral
worlds that reflect their consciousness until they are reborn. As you can imagine, the worlds of the asuras are hells and the worlds of the devas are heavens.

Some asuras have such unfortunate karma that they cannot make the transition from earth to the astral, but remain earthbound. They wander around, often influencing (obsessing) those still in the body and even sometimes invading their bodies and minds in possession. They do great harm in this way.

Some devas return from the heavenly realms in their astral bodies and do just the opposite: they bless and uplift human beings as much as they can, even bringing them mental and physical healing. They especially work to awaken and expand spiritual consciousness. We call them angels and saints.

The rest of humanity are “grays” mentally wandering in the misty flats referred to in the following poem by John Oxenham which is very wise and to be taken seriously:

To every man there openeth
A Way, and Ways, and a Way,
And the High Soul climbs the High Way,
And the Low Soul gropes the Low,
And in between, on the misty flats,
The rest drift to and fro.
But to every man there openeth
A High Way, and a Low.
And every man decideth
The way his soul shall go.

What about Satan—the Devil?

There is no malevolent, evil counterpart to God, some kind of inverted Deity. There are, as already explained, earthbound spirits that work evil. Some are very powerful, but still not “the Devil.”

However (a big however) there is a force of cosmic evil, a great field of negative energy that is produced by negative thought and action, the result of ages of evil intent on the part of intelligent beings. It is a reservoir of negativity. Since all things are inherently conscious to some degree, this dark field has a kind of lumbering, robotic sentience that does become attracted to negative emanations from sentient beings and responds to and influences and affects them. It does, in a magnetic sense, impel and even dominate them. It is very real, and has a definite effect on humanity. Since it is not really natural it is expended, like the power in a battery, but continual evil on the part of human beings keeps feeding and recharging it. Widespread wars and mass suffering (as in concentrations camps and oppressed countries) vastly increase its strength. Paramhansa Yogananda called this entity “Satan,” not to be confused with Lucifer, a fallen archangel that many people mistakenly think is “the Devil.”
Can demons and Satan harm me?
Not unless you are attuned to negativity by your thoughts, words, and deeds. If you stay tuned to God through spiritual life—which includes spiritual practice—Satan and demons cannot come near you, much less harm you. Also, your positive vibrations will lessen their power. So you protect and benefit the cosmos as well as yourself by your spiritual cultivation.

What is “Self-realization”?
Self-realization is the full knowledge—by direct and permanent experience—of the Self (Atman), our eternal, immortal Spirit. Since our Self (jivatman) and the Supreme Self (Paramatman) are essentially one, even though distinct, it is also knowledge of God. The result of self-realization is perfection in consciousness and freedom from all conditionings and karmas, and total liberation from the cycle of birth and death. It is absolute freedom (moksha). A self-realized person is truly a “god” within the greater Being of God.

What is the difference between the air and ether elements?
Ether—akasha—is the substance within which all the other elements exist. It is space, but not in the ordinary idea of emptiness. It is an actual substance—a substratum containing all that exists. Think of it as the canvas and the other four elements as the paints.

Since it is all a matter of our personal development, why do Masters come into the world at all?
The presence of a perfected being enables those who are ready to experience a more open state of spiritual awareness. Actually, such a person does in a sense awaken those who are capable of awakening. People are changed by encountering them if they are so inclined. Those who truly awake then listen to the teachings being given and follow them. The teachings of a real master are only minimally philosophical and theoretical. Most are practical ways for their hearers to gain realization for themselves. The last thing they want to do is start a religion.

All master-teachers are yogis and so are their disciples. Theologians and “believers” are those that move into the railway station and live there, never ever taking the train. “Why, the kingdom of God has come on earth!” they say. And never get anywhere. But, as Jesus said: “They have their reward” (Matthew 6:2). And to them he inquires: “Why call ye me, Lord, Lord, and do not the things which I say?” (Luke 6:46). It is in the doing, not the “believing,” “trusting,” “hoping,” “obeying,” “dedicating”—no, not even “loving”—that we truly follow a Great One.
In my yoga practice I have not yet reached the thoughtless state, so is it really meditation?

In their commentaries on the Yoga Sutras both Vyasa and Shankara define meditation as a continuous stream of identical thoughts. So if your mantra japa is continuous you are definitely meditating though sometimes distracted. It is only natural that in the beginning extraneous thoughts will be arising during meditation. But through diligent practice you will find that after some time in meditation the thoughts will cease and only the japa will remain.

The statement in the Yoga Sutras that yoga is the non-arising (nirodha) of waves (vrittis) in the mind-substance (chitta) is not a reference to mere thoughts, but to the much more profound state in which the mind cannot be influenced or conditioned by external experiences. So please be assured that the presence of thoughts does not indicate failure in meditation.

How should I go about “entering the stream” of Sanatana Dharma, so to speak? What do I need to do to accomplish this?

You–and every particle of every atom in the cosmos–are already in the stream of Eternal Dharma. It only needs to be recognized, and your inquiry shows that for you this has already happened.

Since I knew many people who wished to “officially” adopt Sanatana Dharma, I once asked Sri Ma Anandamayi about what they should do. Her answer was exactly this: “There is no need for anyone to do any special procedure or anushthana. All they need do to adopt our dharma is to take it up and begin to practice it.” This is logical, for dharma is in the living of a philosophy.

(Please note that Ma said “our dharma,” indicating that she identified with Sanatana Dharma, though it is often said that she did not identify with any one religion.)

“Anushthana” is the Sanskrit word for any kind of special spiritual observance or religious exercise undertaken for a special purpose or benefit. To express Sanatana Dharma in thought, word, and deed is the only “anushthana” we need.

The philosophical principles of Sanatana Dharma are to be found in their pristine purity in the eleven major Upanishads: the Isha, Kena, Katha, Prashna, Mundaka, Mandukya, Taittiriya, Aitareya, Chandogya, Brihadaranyaka, and Svetasvatara Upanishads. Two other texts tell us how to perfectly act upon the Upanishadic philosophy and attain self-realization/liberation: the Bhagavad Gita, which is a digest and exposition of the Upanishadic philosophy with emphasis on its practical application, and the Yoga Sutras (Yoga Darshan) of Patanjali.

Study and apply what you learn in these thirteen sources and you will be a true Sanatana Dharmi–one who embodies the Sanatana Dharma.
Wouldn’t a “virgin birth” be against natural laws? What would be the purpose, anyway?

Nothing is more natural than the supernatural! God is the only “natural” thing there is.

Just as there are many strata in the earth and in the sea, so there are many levels of existence with their own laws. The higher supersede the lower. Until humans discovered the laws of aerodynamics they could not fly—it seemed impossible, even miraculous.

Once a spirit has attained to Supreme Consciousness the rules are completely different. Remember, our bodies are in exact correspondence to our level of consciousness. A human body is for human consciousness. How, then, can Divine Consciousness totally manifest through a human body? It cannot. So a kind of “hybrid” body, human-yet-divine, is needed. Otherwise the body will be electrocuted or blown apart by the incredible force that is normal for an avatar. It seems that such a great one can have a mother, but not a father. The elements of a body can be drawn from a human mother, but that which is usually “supplied” by the father, such as the blood, must be created or manifested in a special form. These women experience a great light entering into them and pervading them, when the supernatural conception occurs. It was this way with the mothers of Buddha and Jesus. All the ins and outs are incomprehensible to us.

What really matters is the teaching of such sacred persons, for by following their words we also come into spiritual alignment with them and receive great blessings and upliftment. They really are “saviors,” but not in the spiritually lazy way supposed by most religionists.

An avatar is a perfectly liberated spirit returning to the world for the upliftment and liberation—salvation—of others. Such a one is a “divine incarnation,” though not Brahman or Ishwara, of whom an incarnation is impossible. However, God can take on an illusory form that is not a real “incarnation.” Such a form appears and disappears at the direct will of God.

Why is it considered unfortunate to die in a state of unconsciousness?

To be unconscious at the time of death is not fortunate because a yogi should consciously by an act of will leave the body. Being able to do so can be the difference between having to come back and being freed from (at least earthly) rebirth. How are we able to consciously leave the body? This ability is developed by meditation itself, for meditation enables us to even now begin separating our consciousness from materiality. Not that we can die from meditation! Nor do we need to work on some technique of consciously leaving the body, either. Meditation takes care of everything.

During one of my trips to India I met a yogi who told me that he had personally known several illiterate village women whom he had seen leave the body at will.
They were adept meditators, practitioners of mantra japa. At the end of their life they cooked a feast for all the inhabitants of their villages. When the feast was over they bade everyone farewell, then went into their huts, lay upon the floor and left this world—no doubt forever.

**What is the value of “holy company” (satsang)?**

One tuning fork can make another vibrate at the same rate if they are brought close to one another. The same is true of holy people—their physical proximity or the simple sight of them makes our innate holiness wake up and emerge.

**Does meditation affect dreams?**

Yes, meditation definitely affects the dreams, because it is restructuring the entire mind and consciousness. Meditation makes the subconscious conscious.

Odd dreams may occur, some even unpleasant, but it is all part of the clearing out and ordering process. There is usually little value in trying to figure them out. The purpose of the dream was accomplished while you were centered in the subconscious and often has no relevance to the conscious mind. Some dreams are significant, but it is best to let them be.

Life itself is a message from the inmost Self.

**Could you recommend a Gita translation or commentary that I could purchase?**

The most readable and beautiful translation is *The Song of God: Bhagavad Gita*, by Swami Prabhavananda and Christopher Isherwood. It conveys the spirit of the Gita as no other translation does. It is, though, an interpretive translation. But the interpretations are according to the great commentators such as Adi Shankaracharya. The supplementary material, including an essay by Aldous Huxley, is extremely valuable in understanding the Gita’s subtle philosophy.

However, in time you need to engage in a deeper study of the Gita, and for that you need translations that will give you the original Sanskrit text, a word-by-word translation, and some commentary. Among the best of these are the translations of Swami Sivananda, Swami Swarupananda, and Winthrop Sargeant.

All four of these translations can be bought from Amazon.com.

The Gita is a lifetime study which you will find continually reveals new insights and inspiration. When you are ready for a deeper study of the Gita, I recommend my commentary, *The Bhagavad Gita For Awakening*, and for the text alone, my translation, *The Bhagavad Gita, the Song of God*.

Since the Gita is a digest of the Upanishads, I also recommend that you get *The Upanishads: Breath of the Eternal* by Swami Prabhavananda. This is also available from Amazon.
Just what is a “Master”?  
There are many ideas about what constitutes a Master. I will give you mine so you will know what I mean by it in my writings.

Essentially, a Master is a liberated being. Such a one is a master of himself—not of others. And a real Master wants others to become Masters—not his disciples. As the great Master, Neem Karoli Baba, would say: “I do not make disciples—I make devotees of God.”

There are three major benefits of being with a Master: 1) His presence awakens spiritual consciousness. 2) His presence arouses inner spiritual energy (shaktipat). 3) He can teach you the way to attain what he has attained and advise you as you apply what he has taught you. A true Master does virtually nothing but these three things. And for the qualified seeker that is enough.

A Master will not guide your thoughts, direct your life, claim to be taking on your karma, or tell you he knows a shortcut to God realization. (Whether a yogi runs or walks he still has to go through the full length of the path. There are faster and slower ways of traveling the path, but no short ways.) Often he will answer philosophical questions, but will let you know that only practice matters—not philosophizing.

Furthermore, he will not manipulate or blackmail you with talk of “unconditional love” or either offer or demand it. You do not need love. You need God who is love. That is what a Master wants you to have.

No true Master will create or cultivate a “relationship” with you, because it cannot be done. You can only relate to the One. And when you do, then you will be one with everyone and everything. You will yourself be a Master.

A Master will never ask you to make promises or commitments, knowing that you will do what you will do—and not a bit more. A Master never makes, accepts or demands a vow. In fact, a Master will reject such things.

Beside the three I listed there is a fourth and wonderful benefit: A Master will help you to become free; an adult, not a child; independent, not dependent. If your childish ego demands anything less, a true Master will truthfully tell you to look elsewhere. (There is a lot of “elsewhere” for you to find what you want.)

What should you do? Listen; Learn; Practice; Experience; Know. That is it. Anything more is impossible, and anything less is worthless.

I have a CD of spiritual chants. Is it helpful to listen to this as I meditate?  
It is best to meditate in silence. Even beginners should do so lest they become conditioned by any artificial sound. But outside meditation such a recording can be very helpful in reminding us to keep our mind on God. A lot of contemporary Indian devotional music sounds more like a raucous hillbilly hoedown than praise of God. I recommend that you obtain recordings of Paramhansa Yogananda’s
spiritualized chants, particularly those issued by Self-Realization Fellowship.

One recording I can recommend for use outside meditation to help you to remember to do japa, no matter what mantra you use, is The Eternal Om by Robert Slap, issued by Valley of the Sun Publishing. You should play it very softly, so it is almost subliminal, for you want to be intent on the sound of your inner intonations of a mantra, not an external sound. The recording is very soothing, as well, and is good to listen to whenever it is appropriate or practical. If it helps you to go to sleep, use it at night.

My parents expect me to marry, but I am interested in the monastic life. What should I do?

Pay attention only to the Real Parent: God and your own Self. You have had billions of parents in the past, beginning with when you were a plant and on up till now. Does any pair of them have more claim on you than another? Just being the latest link in your chain of rebirths does not give anyone a priority of influence.

Krishna says that we must follow our own dharma (swadharma). “Better is one’s swadharma, though deficient, than the swadharma of another well performed. Better is death in one’s own swadharma. The swadharma of another brings danger” (Bhagavad Gita 3:35).

The wise thing is to meditate and get in touch with your own divine Self (Atman) and intuit what your dharma is for this lifetime. Both married people and monastics have been great saints, and both married people and monastics have been pathetic failures as human beings. The key is in following our swadharma, our own natural (innate) duty (dharma, based on our karma and samskara. This can only be revealed to us from within—not by another.

Yogananda is frequently mentioned in your articles. Do you recommend certain of his writings?

I certainly do. Autobiography of a Yogi should be read through carefully many times, giving very close attention to the footnotes.

Here is the order I recommend for the study of Yogananda’s writings:

- Autobiography of a Yogi (First Edition–Crystal Clarity Publishers)
- Man’s Eternal Quest
- The Divine Romance
- Journey to Self-realization
- The Science of Religion
- Sayings of Paramahansa Yogananda (The Master Said)
- Conversations With Yogananda (Crystal Clarity Publishers)
- God Talks With Arjuna
- The Second Coming of Christ

I put the last two at the end because they are so poorly edited–overedited,
Advice Regarding Brahmacharya

Occasionally I receive emails from spiritual aspirants asking me for advice on the subject of brahmacharya. The following are pointers which are essential for the establishment of brahmacharya.

1) Daily meditation and constant japa throughout the day are essential foundations of brahmacharya. Japa and meditation cause the subtle forces known as prana to rise upward. Those who become adept in these spiritual practices will become urdhvareta yogis—those in whom the sexual energies flow upward and become transmuted into spiritual energies. I recommend that you read the book *Soham Yoga: the Yoga of the Self*.

2) Satsanga, the company of holy people—or at least those who are aspiring to holiness—is extremely helpful in the maintenance of brahmacharya. If you know like-minded people, then meet with them regularly for spiritual study and conversation. It is not uncommon among both Hindus and Buddhists for spiritual aspirants to meet daily for meditation.

If you do not know any other spiritual aspirants—and this is not uncommon here in the West—then keep satsanga with saints and masters by reading their lives and teachings and keeping their pictures in your home. Holy images of divine forms are also beneficial.

Every day listen to spiritual music. Such music should be soothing and reflective—not the raucous banging and clanging that many shallow and worldly Christians and Hindus like. It is good to listen to devotional music, but shun merely emotional music, for it is linked to lower desires, no matter how religious the words may be.

3) Avoid asatsanga—the company of the unholy and the worldly—in the form of people who have no interest in spiritual life, as well as books, magazines, television, radio, and motion pictures that are centered on material consciousness. Absolutely avoid those which deal with the subject of sex or depict sexually suggestive (or outright) matters or images.

4) Avoid casual association with members of the opposite sex. Never be socially alone with a member of the opposite sex. This is an absolute. Make no exceptions based on seemingly spiritual character, age or intention. When an older woman tells you that she is your “mother” run away! The same thing applies when a man tells a woman that he is her “father.” This goes on in both India and America, including close—and private—association of men with female gurus and of women with male gurus. No one knows what impulses carried over from previous lives—many even from centuries past—are lying not far beneath the surface of the conscious mind, waiting to manifest.

“Spiritual” friendships with members of the opposite sex are doors to disaster. I
have seen it over and over. Even in my early teen years I watched “spiritual” associations inevitably turn into sexual associations. And that had usually been the intention from the first moment, even if only subconsciously.

5) If you happen to be homosexual, then what I say above applies to both sexes. (The number of “gays” that end up fathers is remarkable. It may be cute or poignant on the movie screen, but it is tragic in real life.) In your spiritual associations try to be sure that there are always several people involved. This is a trial, but many saints and masters have coped with much worse.

6) Avoid absolutely any person or thing that argues against your aspiration to brahmacharya or tries to persuade or force you into sexuality in any form. The “just try it once/for a bit” people are worse than tigers. Run for your life–literally.

7) I have warned you about external factors that harm the life of a brahmachari, but the biggest threat or danger comes from your own mind and impulses. Ruthlessly cut off all overt or oblique thoughts of sex when they rise in your mind. Note what “innocent” subjects of thought “somehow” end up in ideas of sex, and banish them the moment they appear in the future.

8) What about supposed physical “needs” and bodily “urges”? Never let them be an excuse for wavering in your ideal. But you must keep the body clean and pure to help you in maintaining brahmacharya.

9) Continuing the previous subject: Diet is an essential factor for the brahmachari and the yogi. Diet is a form of spiritual culture as well as physical culture. There should be total avoidance of animal protein in any form and to any degree whatsoever, and this includes dairy products. Gandhi said over and over that animal flesh, animal fats, and dairy products were detrimental to brahmacharya, that movements of lust could be attributed to the physical effects of their use. (This also happens to be the opinion of the Eastern Orthodox Church, especially the monks who watch their minds.) Become not just a vegetarian but a vegan: Also avoid sugar, and caffeine. Abstain totally from nicotine and alcohol.

   To learn the scientific and practical basis of what I have advised, see How Not to Die and How Not to Diet by Dr. Michael Greger. They are masterpieces of dietary wisdom. (How Not to Diet is not just for overweight people, but for everyone.)

10) Food for the mind in the form of spiritual reading is also a tremendous help to the yogi. Read the books of many traditions and teachers on spiritual life, taking what is useful and leaving aside what is not. Follow your intuition and do not get what Yogananda called “spiritual indigestion.”

11) What if you fail in some area or to some degree–or completely? Just keep on trying and be more vigilant. Do not let the ego or foolish associates or “authorities” convince you that it is hopeless, you should not even try, you will not be able, you are being hypocritical, blah, blah, blah…. Multitudes of holy people have struggled, some have failed at times, but all have succeeded if they kept trying. So will you.

12) Finally, know that God is on your side. And remember these words of the Bhagavad Gita: “Though a man be soiled with the sins of a lifetime, let him but love me, rightly resolved, in utter devotion: I see no sinner, that man is holy. Holiness
soon shall refashion his nature to peace eternal; O son of Kunti, of this be certain: the man that loves me, he shall not perish. You find yourself in this transient, joyless world. Turn from it, and take your delight in me. Fill your heart and mind with me, adore me, make all your acts an offering to me, bow down to me in self-surrender. If you set your heart upon me thus, and take me for your ideal above all others, you will come into my Being” (Bhagavad Gita 9:30-31, 33-34).

Some time ago I had an experience I consider enlightenment, but after a while things went back to normal. Still I think something real happened to me. Would you comment on this? What is the sign of liberation?

Just as an explorer will climb a mountain to see the surrounding country and then plan his route, in the same way our higher self sometimes lifts our awareness into a high level so we can realize that such a state of consciousness is possible. Then it is withdrawn, and we hopefully become motivated to seek to become established in that state. Once Sri Ramakrishna by a touch gave the future Swami Vivekananda an experience of the supreme state of awareness. When it was over—for that state was not really “his own”—Sri Ramakrishna smiled and said: “Now you have to work for it!” And he did. In the same way we receive “sample” experiences to encourage us to seek to make those heights our own.

As far as liberation is concerned, Yogananda used to say: “He who knows... he knows, none else knows.” But this we do know: Buddha meditated and followed discipline like any other monk until the day of his mahasamadhi, even spending months in intensive meditation retreats.

Please study the Gita daily, as that gives a perfectly balanced and complete picture of spiritual life.

I have dreams at night with sages from the past. I am wondering if these are just dreams or if the dead can make communication through dreams.

First, please see the previous questions on visions, because what applies to visions mostly applies to dreams. Ramana Maharshi’s cautions about getting involved with visions and dreams is most important and trustworthy.

It is extremely difficult to figure out whether a dream is real or not. Yogananda said that if we dream of a saint and they look exactly like their photographs, then the dream is real, for the subconscious mind cannot reproduce the form of a realized Master. I have found that this is an extremely valuable principle, for a lot of the time our mind is just fooling around or even trying to trick us. And we also try to fool ourselves. A yogi friend of mine claimed to believe the principle that only the holy person in a dream truly “looked like themselves” was the dream a genuine spiritual experience. But so many times she would say to me: “I dreamed of N. last night.” When I would ask if they looked like themselves, she would say: “No. But I know it was him/her just the same.” She ended up without any true spiritual life.
whatsoever, and died an unfortunate death.

But even if the dream proves to be real, is it completely trustworthy? For often a
dream has both superconscious and subconscious elements mixed together. It is not
uncommon for the mind to splice in subconscious footage even if the basic part of
the dream is real. I have known dreams to start out real and end up fantasy. How
can the difference be detected?

It is best to just take note of what is dreamed but to go no further than that. In
time life itself will reveal the truth or unreality of the dream, as well as its value or
worthlessness.

Masters never die, but live forever, and they can communicate with us. It has
been my experience that such communication is always backed up with more
objective elements, that the communications are more a pointing out than a stand-
alone kind of teaching or just a “dropped by to see you” matter.

There is no substitute for the intuition developed by meditation—not even
visitations from saints and angels.

On your website you discuss some benefits of astrology for spiritual life.
Do you recommend Vedic astrology over Western astrology? Can you
recommend an astrologer to consult?

It is my experience that although Indian astrology is more complex and the most
fully developed, still Western astrology can be as beneficial in most instances. The
crux of the matter is finding a good astrologer. A good astrologer is invaluable,
whichever type of astrologer is employed. I hesitate to recommend astrologers
because for some reason different people can have differing experiences with the
same astrologer.

What I do recommend is that when you find an astrologer you do not entrust
them with many questions or extremely important ones, either. First you should do
some “test” consultations on matters of moderate importance and see how they
perform. Only when they prove themselves should you have them do more complex
(and therefore more expensive) work.

Be cautious and realize that you may not easily find a skilled astrologer right
away. But the search will be worth it when you do.

What is the afterlife like for ordinary people or for those that are
liberated? What does the liberated soul do in the afterlife? If one is liberated
are they kept separate from those who are not? What decides where a person
goes after death?

Because of their unique karma, no two people have the same experiences after
birth in this world. It is just the same with birth into the astral worlds. Each
person’s experiences will continue to be distinctively theirs.

One thing and one thing only determines where we go after death: our level of
consciousness–our evolutionary status. What happens after we get there is determined by our karma.

A liberated person will have control over where he goes and what takes place there. But no world is inaccessible to him—including the earth plane.

*Through the Gates of Death*, by Dion Fortune, can be quite valuable.

In reply to someone who wrote that they are trying to keep in mind that we are ever perfect:

It is true: we are eternally perfect in our true being—even when entangled (seemingly) in ignorance. We need only recover that perfection in our consciousness and know that we and God are one. And that is all that is needed—ever.

There is a foolish theism and a wise theism. The Gita and Upanishads present the wise theism. The rest we can forget about. As Krishna says to Arjuna: “Therefore be a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46).

Will God mitigate karma sometimes?

We must understand the nature of karma. Karma is not a force, some kind of stored up energy, or power of destiny. Karma is the effect on the mind (which is energy) of an action. It is purely internal—a conditioning. If the conditioning persists, the reaction to it comes about in the external life. If the conditioning is modified or lessened, so is the result. But such is possible only to the creator of the karma. God has nothing to do with it. Only relative beings have karma. You cannot eat junk food and ask God to give you the effect of good food. In the same way you cannot expect God to alter the reaction to your action. That is silly to the maximum degree. That is why saying we are sorry and whining or crying accomplishes nothing but more ego entanglement and self-pity.

But we can change our karma, so there is hope, and more than hope. Karma is changed or eliminated by the changing of consciousness. We alone can do that. And yoga is the best and surest way to do it.

What sort of help does God provide? Or, more to the point, what sort of help won’t He provide, if any?

God has already provided all we need. What we do not need simply does not exist. Now it is up to us to start using what has been provided. First we have to wake up to the realities and the possibilities. Meditation is the process of awakening most accessible to us.

Do you believe that all religions lead to the same Goal?
They certainly do! They all lead right back to more rebirth. Only Dharma leads to liberation in God.

I thought the whole idea was to merge with God.
It is, but uniting or merging with God is not getting lost in God, to melt away or get absorbed so that we no longer remain. It is just the opposite—this is the teaching of the Sankhya philosophy on which yoga is based. Some Vedantists hold the idea that we cease to be individuals and only the Absolute remains. “One” is not just a number: it is a quality, a state of existence. Even when we are one with God a duality within unity remains. For there is an eternal distinction between us and God, as there is between a wave and the ocean.

Why is Yoga considered a science rather than a religion?
Because it is a precise methodology that has nothing to do with faith or the action of another being—including God. If you practice it, it works, if you do not, it will not. It is just like a machine. Doubtless there is such a thing as aptitude for yoga, as with any other practice, but the machine does not determine the success of the operator.

Facts are facts. And yoga is based on the highest facts. Also, even though a general philosophy has developed as a result of the unanimous experience of yogis through the centuries, the philosophy is a side effect and of absolutely no influence in success in yoga. We have lived so long at the whim of “authorities” that we just cannot imagine not needing someone interfering with us.

But yoga is a completely personal matter. You need not believe in it, and you can even laugh at it and deny its value. But if at the same time you are practicing you will get the same result as a person who has faith and values it. Oh, how wonderful it was to escape “If It Be Thy Holy Will, O Lord” religion and find yoga that worked no matter how worthy or sincere I might not be.

When I was little I reached for a metal ring that was lying on top of a heater. “Don’t touch it!” I was warned, “You will get burnt.” I have no idea why, but I did not believe I could not manage, so I picked it up and got the most painful burn of my life that hurt for a couple of weeks. My lack of faith did not affect the effectiveness of the ring to burn me.

No one need accept or believe in yoga. Just do it. As Yogananda pointed out, the critics and deniers of yoga are the ones that have no experience of it because they do not practice it.

When I was first learning yoga I often laughed at how funny the processes were, and how odd that they would produce the desired result. But they did. Always.
Now, can you equate that with religion? Hardly.
But yoga is the highest dharma. That is sure.
Please explain how the world goes—what is the starting point and the endpoint?
This is best explained in *Autobiography of a Yogi* (first edition facsimile published by Crystal Clarity Publishers). Some of the major Upanishads also give detailed descriptions of how the universe emanates from the Absolute—and why. The Bhagavad Gita also deals with these matters. I recommend you get information directly from these authoritative sources rather than a synthesis from me.

If we consider this world as cyclic when will Satya Yuga arrive?
This is also discussed quite completely in *Autobiography of a Yogi* as well as in *The Holy Science* by Swami Sri Yukteswar Giri.
Please be aware, that Kali, Dwapara, Treta and Satya are matters of prevailing consciousness at some point in time. But they are also indications of individual development. Therefore, we can inwardly be in Satya Yuga even when Kali Yuga prevails outside. And we can be in Kali Yuga inside even when the world in general is in Satya Yuga.
The answer to all this is meditation.

How long must I meditate to see God by my outer or inner eyes?
How long did it take you to become an adult? How long to get a university degree? Seeking Infinity takes a lot longer, so we have no time to waste. Seeing God is a matter of evolution.

Have you seen God?
I have seen forms of God (“gods”) and the formless Light. Yet I am still here, so I keep on meditating. In the twelfth chapter of *Autobiography of a Yogi*, Sri Yukteswar remarked: “Those who are too good for this world are adorning some other.”

There are many more people on earth now than there were when Hinduism began and when Jesus lived and so forth. Where do these new souls come from?
They are not new. Some people are reborn on earth in a matter of decades and others not for centuries or even thousands of years. Depending on the general mental climate of the earth, fewer or more people are born at a particular time. Also, in the past so many died in infancy, and plagues would wipe out entire populations. If they had not died there would have been a great many more people on earth after awhile. So it has nothing to do with how many souls are stocked in God’s pantry.
Does God continue to create new souls?

All are eternal, without beginning. They do not come from God, but exist forever in God. God does not predate us. We are as eternal as he–co-eternal with him. (See Chapter One of *Soham Yoga.*) Only those are in relativity who have decided to take the plunge and come down. Virtually infinite are those that are still thinking it over and have not entered into relative creation. There are no doubt many that never will. It is their choice.

If we plan everything before we are born, is that where we have free will or does it continue here?

You are not two people–one before birth and one after. There never is anything but free will.

If we do have free will here then can we choose to change something we planned before we were born?

If before birth we chose to be in a situation which we would then change or abandon–yes.

Do accidents happen?

There are no accidents.

If there are accidents and one senses help from a higher source, does that higher source help because It knows the accident wasn’t part of our plan and would, therefore, interfere with the life plan we made before birth?

Why make a simple thing complex? Sometimes we choose to create a situation in which we are miraculously helped so we will wake up and realize there is more than we presently think. Don’t forget, your true Self and God are one–even if not the same.

Just how much is really planned? If we plan everything and nothing is left to chance, wouldn’t we advance sooner? We wouldn’t want to suffer if by just doing what we are supposed to do, we could mitigate a lot of karma at once. You have said we can do this.

Do not assume that before birth you are omniscient. Being out of the body does not make us any smarter. So some people plan for themselves lives that are idiotic. But even those lives push them toward learning.
Someone once asked you if Jesus was an avatar. You said he probably was but it did not do much for you. Since I’ve read the Aquarian Gospel commentary and the obvious care you took with it, I’m not quite sure I believe your professed indifference.

The commentary is on the wisdom, not the person speaking it. The status of Jesus is irrelevant. Look at the people who claim he is God, but who have not been touched by him in the least. “Not every one that saith unto me, Lord, Lord, shall enter into the kingdom of heaven; but he that doeth the will of my Father which is in heaven. Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity.” (Matthew 7:21-23) Their “faith” in Jesus is iniquity in his eyes! So why bother with what someone thinks about him? What we do about him is what counts.

Can a Swami be released from his vows?
We do not take vows! Instead certain rituals are (usually) done, and then we make intense declarations of renunciation. We renounce the earth plane, the astral plane, and the causal plane. And that is that. It cannot be gone back on, any more than we can decide to not have been born. Of course we can commit suicide, and some monks do so spiritually by renouncing their renunciation.

Is Yoga also helpful for mentally ill people (people living with paranoid schizophrenia, etc.)?
A very adept Indian yogi once told me that crazy people should not be taught to meditate, for they will meditate in a crazy manner and make themselves crazier—and usually claim crazy experiences and revelations. He did, however, recommend that mentally ill people learn deep relaxation, and had cured a number of them in that way.

When did karma begin?
Karma means both action and reaction. Since action precedes reaction we can say that karma “began” as soon as we were able to act intentionally in any manner. Since intention implies conscious will, that would be as soon as we had evolved to that capacity—sometime during our manifestation on the animal level. (“Animal” is meant in a very broad sense that would include even micro-organisms.)
Would you describe your philosophy as pantheism, or panentheism?

“Verily, this whole world is Brahman, from which he [the individual] comes forth, without which he will be dissolved and in which he breathes. Tranquil, one should meditate on It.” (Chandogya Upanishad 3:14:1). Once this is understood, such Western distinctions as pantheism, or panentheism are meaningless and distractions.

Can you help me find or choose a spiritual name, one that will express my understanding?

Since you are interested in a name that indicates your inner spiritual life, you can go about it in two ways.

1) You can choose a proper name, such as that of a great holy person, a figure in religious lore (such as the Mahabharata, Ramayana, etc.) or scriptures, or even the name or title of an aspect of God. (There are thousands in Indian religion.) Of course to do so you need to be well acquainted with such things.

2) You adopt a spiritual quality or state as a name. For example, you can take a Sanskrit dictionary and look through it for words that correspond to your inner feeling. I recommend that you start with A Brief Sanskrit Glossary, and if that does not yield what you need, then look into larger dictionaries. In India many people have names that indicate spiritual qualities, such as Abhaya (without fear; steadfast), Jnana (wisdom), Viveka (one endowed with discrimination), or Brahmavadin (one who follows the path to Brahman).

But this is very important: the name should be your choice, not one recommended by another. Since you want it to express your inner feeling (bhava), it must be determined from within, through your own intuition. Otherwise in time you may not feel completely satisfied with it.

In the Yoga Sutras of Patanjali, the section on Yama and Niyama does not talk about helping others, being compassionate and loving to others. Why is this?

If a yogi cultivates the qualities of non-violence and non-injury (ahimsa), truthfulness and honesty (satya), non-stealing and non-misappropriativeness (asteya), unselfishness (aparigraha), peacefulness (santosha), and spiritual orientation (Ishwarapranidhana), which are listed by Patanjali, he will have a truly positive attitude toward others and be very considerate of them.

Patanjali focuses only on yoga in a very specialized sense. A continual, in-depth study of the Bhagavad Gita will give a full picture of authentic spiritual life. For the Gita embodies the wisdom of the Upanishads and the discipline of the Yoga Sutras in a totally practical manner. It is the guide to higher consciousness in all aspects of life.
I am wondering what the Hindu view of mental illness is. Plato said that it is a gift from the Divine but in my case it has been a curse. Please let me know what your view is. Also, I try to stay focused in my karma yoga and be detached in my actions but I am constantly afraid that I have not done enough to enjoy Krishnaloka and will be reborn. Please let me know if this is a proper attitude to have.

It is the Hindu view that all persons bound in samsara, whirling around in the constant cycle of birth and death, are to some extent mentally ill: deluded and confused. The important thing is that we can be cured and come to know who we really are and be what we really are. The Bhagavad Gita is a manual of self-healing. There are many who are not at present able to take up that therapy, but in time they will be. Until then we love and bless them, and have hope for their future. To be aware of our problem and our need is a sign of fundamental sanity. The seriously mentally troubled are those that think everyone else is the problem and that they are fine.

As far as your other question goes, in the sixth chapter of the Gita we find that Arjuna asked: “One who has faith but is uncontrolled, whose mind has fallen away from yoga without reaching perfection in yoga—which way does he go? Is he not lost like a dissolving cloud, fallen from both [worlds—here and hereafter], having no solid ground, deluded (confused) on the path of Brahman? You are able to completely remove this my doubt. Other than you there is no one who can dispel this doubt” (6:37-39).

To this Sri Krishna replied: “Truly there is no loss for him either here on earth or in heaven. No one who does good goes to misfortune. Attaining the worlds of the meritorious, having dwelt there for countless years, he who has fallen from yoga is reborn in a happy and illustrious family. Or else he may be born into a family of wise yogis. Truly, a birth such as that is more difficult to obtain in this world. There he regains the knowledge he acquired in his former incarnation, and strives from thence once more toward perfection. Truly, without his willing it his previous practice impels him on the yogic path. He who just desires to know about yoga goes beyond the Vedas. By persevering effort and mastery, the totally purified yogi, perfected through many births, reaches the Supreme Goal. The yogi is superior to ascetics (tapaswins), and considered superior to jnanis and superior to those engaged in Vedic rituals (karmakanda). Therefore be a yogi. Of all the yogis, he who has merged his inner Self in me and honors me, full of faith, I consider him the most devoted to me.” (6: 40-47).

This is the way to understand the matter. Yogananda said that if he summed up his entire life, the one thing he had learned was this: God never forsakes the devotee.

Could you tell me something about the Antichrist?
Saint John the Evangelist wrote: “Ye have heard that antichrist shall come; even now are there many antichrists” (I John 2:19). Then he defines antichrist as anyone that denies that Jesus is the Messiah. There is also a spiritual meaning: Antichrist is anyone or any thing that opposes our inner Christ, or usurps the place of our inner Christ. In both the Roman Catholic and Eastern Orthodox churches there is a great deal of speculation and prophecy about a single person to appear in the future as Antichrist, but there is no basis for this in the Bible. The subject need not interest us. We need to know Christ through knowing our own inner Christ-nature. The rest will take care of itself.

How can I know if I am progressing in meditation?
By your habitual state of mind outside of meditation. Read the Gita carefully and consider if what Krishna describes there is being attained—at least in some degree—by you.
In Journey to Self-Realization, a collection of talks by Paramhansa Yogananda, at the end of the talk entitled “The True Signs of Progress in Meditation,” he gives the following list of seven indications of progress in meditation practice:
1) An increasing peacefulness during meditation.
2) A conscious inner experience of calmness in meditation metamorphosing into increasing bliss.
3) A deepening of one’s understanding, and finding answers to one’s questions through the calm intuitive state of inner perception.
4) An increasing mental and physical efficiency in one’s daily life.
5) Love for meditation and the desire to hold on to the peace and joy of the meditative state in preference to attraction to anything in the world.
6) An expanding consciousness of loving all with the unconditional love that one feels toward his own dearest loved ones.
7) Actual contact with God, and worshipping him as ever-new Bliss felt in meditation and in his omnipresent manifestations within and beyond all creation.
Certainly the yogi should be experiencing the effects of a yogic practice. They can take many forms, but Yoganandaji’s list pretty well covers it all.

What is your perspective on the Virgin Mary? Should she be worshipped? And what about honoring images of the Virgin?
One of the most wonderful truths about spiritual life is its completely individual nature. The more evolved a species is, the more distinctive each of its members is. Those lower in evolution all look alike. It is the same with religion: those of lower evolution and spiritual insight try to make everyone the same. Religion of higher development encourages and enables each person to become more and more themselves, and encourages them to follow their inner nature as much as possible. In India this is known as swadharma, the mode of life that best evolves the person.
So although I will tell you how I see things, it is your personal perspective that is really important and which you should value and follow. Also, be prepared for your ideas and insights to change as you change inwardly–as you evolve.

All that exists is a reflection of the Divine Source; and the fundamental trait of existence is duality, which also exists within the divine unity. All awakened (evolved) religion is aware of this, and acknowledges that the Absolute contains within itself both positive and negative, masculine and feminine. Those religions that do not hold this insight are almost always the coercive, hateful, “everyone but us is wrong” type that claim God harbors displeasure, anger, hatred and vengefulness. Their God-concept is that of the quintessential abusive, bi-polar parent (usually male). They all originated in the Middle East.

When divinity manifests, it is often in a dual form to demonstrate the divine fatherhood and motherhood of God. This is well understood in Hinduism, Taoism, Buddhism and original Christianity. Jesus embodies the fatherhood and the Virgin Mary the motherhood of God. Jesus said: “He that hath seen me hath seen the Father” (John 14:9). And those that saw Mary had seen the Mother.

This is proved by her appearances at Lourdes, Fatima, Mexico (Guadalupe) and other places throughout the world, as well as her miracle-working icons and statues. Her great healing and awakening power has been evident throughout the history of the Christian Church. As Jesus said to his beloved disciple: “Behold thy Mother!” (John 19:27).

Through Jesus we approach the Divine Father and through Mary we approach the Divine Mother. We need both, for we are all included in the biblical statement: “God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them” (Genesis 1:27).

When the Wise Men “were come into the house, they saw the young child with Mary his mother” (Matthew 2:11). Where there is Jesus there is Mary; where there is Mary there is Jesus. They cannot be separated.

It is God Who is worshipped through both Jesus and Mary–as well as through many other forms. To honor a holy image is to honor the holy one it represents, and through them to honor God.

You made this statement in the Christian Vegetarian Diet post: “When we realize that any physical object has all the levels which we do, namely, the physical, biomagnetic, sensory, intellectual and will bodies, we can understand the importance of the kind and quality of food we eat. For not only does the physical substance of the food become assimilated into our physical body, so also do the subtler energies become united to our inner levels.”

How do you know ALL physical objects have an intellectual and a will body? How do you know a vegetable has an intellectual body for instance?

The Sankhya philosophy (and all the other darshanas–orthodox systems of
Indian philosophy), which includes the oldest form of physics, postulates that all material objects possess five levels or koshas (sheaths/bodies).

The universe is considered to have five layers that correspond to the five Cosmic Elements (Mahabhutas or Panchabhutas). The Primal Element, of course, is Pure Consciousness Itself–God, Brahman or Paramatman. On this ocean of Consciousness everything is based or “floating.” Akasha, the Etheric Element, floats upon Consciousness; Vayu, the Air Element, floats upon Akasha; Agni, the Fire Element, floats upon Vayu; Apa, the Water, Element, floats upon Agni; and Prithvi, the Earth Element, floats upon Apa. Nothing can exist in the material world that is not supported by the other five levels or elements. Thus all things possess five layers or “bodies.” These elements or bodies are really bands or bundles of energies.

In most objects, the levels are purely potential, or at best dimly awakened. But in sentient beings, they are all active, though in varying degrees according to the level of evolution. The bodies correspond to atomic, biomagnetic, sensory-mind, intellectual, and will energies or levels (faculties). In the human being these five are alive—but the degree of life also depends on individual evolution.

Vegetables possess all levels, mostly in the form of “raw” or unconditioned life energies (prana). Thus they are absorbed readily into our bodies and the subtle levels of our being, and become conditioned by our thoughts and the present vibratory character of our bodies.

This is not true of animals. Rather, all their levels are conditioned and locked into the vibratory patterns proper to their species. Thus, when we eat them we graft the animal bodies into our human bodies and create conflict and havoc, darkening and distorting our minds to an incalculable extent. Eating meat is one of the worst things we can do to ourselves, and it renders the practice of yoga and the awakening of higher consciousness virtually impossible. (I know you did not ask about animals, but it seemed good to complete the picture.)

Being “masters of our destiny” is mentioned in the article on the purpose of reincarnation. Does that include the two year old child in Haiti who is born into extreme poverty and contracts typhoid, malaria and yaws and dies a horrible death? That child is the “master of his destiny” due to free will? I simply do not grasp this.

It is quite simple, once you shake off the blindness of Western religion and come to understand the truth about the divine status of the Self, its absolute control over everything that happens to it in each lifetime, and the reality of karma—not only as an absolute law, but as a tool wielded by the evolving soul.

The sole purpose of the universe is the evolution of the individual spirit-consciousness. But the cosmos is not some kind of machine into which the spirit is dumped against its will, and subjected to a “sink or swim” situation. Rather, it is governed by very precise laws, all of which are being operated by the Self.

Our particular section of the universe is populated by people who, having made
wrong choices (sankalpas), have come into conflict with the cosmos and its purpose. Just as it is not the fault of a wall if we bang our head into it, or the fault of a window if we fall or jump out of it, so it is with the world around us. When we touch fire it burns us—that is the law—but we are not being punished. We are experiencing the ultimately beneficial mercy of the universe which is using pain to warn us away from mistaken action.

I have said that our life is fully under our control, and that is so. However, we have made a great deal of wrong turnings and fallen into the quicksand of ignorance and confusion. The resulting suffering is great, but the only remedy is for us to quit making foolish decisions and actions and rectify what we have already done to our detriment.

As with every other aspect of life, the Bhagavad Gita deals with this matter clearly and very concisely. Arjuna asked Krishna: “Impelled by what does a man commit evil, even unwillingly, as if urged by [some] force?”

To this Krishna replied: “This [force] is desire and anger born of the rajo-guna, the great consumer and of great evil. Know this to be the enemy. As fire is enveloped by smoke, as mirrors are covered by dust, as wombs cover embryos, in the same way knowledge is covered by this, the constant enemy of the wise, having the form of desire which is like insatiable fire. The senses, mind, and intellect are said to be its abode. With these it deludes the embodied by veiling his [innate] wisdom. Therefore, controlling the senses at the outset, kill this evil being, which destroys ordinary knowledge (jnana) and supreme knowledge (vijnana). They say that the senses (indriyas) are superior [to the body], the mind (manasa) is superior to the senses, the intellect (buddhi) is superior to the mind. And much superior to the intellect is the supreme intelligence (param buddhi). Having learned this, sustaining the [lower] Self by the [higher] Self, kill this difficult-to-encounter enemy which has the form of desire.” (Bhagavad Gita 3:36-43).

Most human beings have developed a form of schizophrenia in which the true Self and the body, emotions, mind, and intellect have become estranged by the arising of the negative ego which usurps the natural kingdom of the Self. Just as a person with multiple personality disorder is unaware of the other personalities, so it is with us.

Although the Self and the lesser self have become disconnected to a great degree, the Self is still fundamentally in command, and so it does all it can to awaken its alienated levels so reintegration can be accomplished in the manner indicated by the Gita. Because of our present status, pain and suffering are usually the only things that get our attention. So yes, the baby is born in terrible conditions and suffers accordingly. Even though it may not understand on the conscious level what is going on, a subliminal conditioning is taking place that will eventually surface in a future incarnation. In the meantime, between lives the individual becomes aware of what is going on during material incarnation, analyzes it and arranges its next birth(s) accordingly. Consequently only benefit results, however long it takes for that to become obvious to us.
There can be no end to this karmic dilemma without an intentional, conscious, and thorough restructuring of consciousness. And this is accomplished only through yoga, as it is the only way to follow Krishna’s instructions to Arjuna: “Become a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46). This does not mean that the individual cannot bring about a marked degree of relief by other means, but until the knowledge of yoga is gained the process cannot be completed and the freedom from pain made permanent. As the great Master Paramhansa Yogananda used to say: “Yoga is the beginning of the end.”

Please explain how we can save ourselves from Satan.

First we must understand that Satan is not a person, but a negative cosmic force that is continually being fed by the negative thoughts and deeds of sentient beings (not just humans). It is like a vat of acid—if we do not touch it or breathe it, no harm comes to us.

By negative thoughts, words and deeds we come into alignment with Satan and are influenced by it, just as a powerful river or its undertow will carry us away if we jump into it. If we are continually in alignment with Satan, in time we will become controlled by it and become its tool. This is the state of a large portion of humanity today.

We save ourselves from Satan by not going near it or touching it, just as we cannot get wet or drowned if we stay away from the river. What if we are already in the river? We get out by deliberately changing our words, deeds and thoughts. After all, Satan is just a “thing” and we are intelligent beings with the power of will.

Do not worry and wonder if you are helplessly controlled by Satan, for if you were it would never occur to you that you might be. The fact you ask the question is proof that, even though you may be influenced to some degree, you are not its prisoner and can remove yourself from its influence.

Prayer and meditation are the surest ways to escape and distance yourself from Satan. Regular meditation and constant japa ensure your escape and freedom. Nevertheless, you must change your life, eliminating all that is negative and bringing into it all that is positive.

In conclusion, never forget the assurance of the Apostle John: “Ye are of God, little children: because greater is he that is in you, than he that is in the world.” (I John 4:4)

What makes a holy scripture either holy or a scripture?

An excellent question, and one that more people should ask. Just because someone claims to have gotten a revelation from God, angel or whatever, does not mean the written record is holy scripture. Often it is fraudulent, stupid or insane.

God is holy, and any writing that tells us how to communicate with God and our own innate spiritual, divine nature is a holy scripture. It does not make any
difference if it was written thousands of years ago or only a few days ago. It is the
content that matters. Holy scriptures contain demonstrable truths that can be
proven by each person who applies their principles. They are not speculations of
philosophy or metaphysics, but practical statements that can be assimilated into our
lives and produce perceptible results: eternal facts.

Books that claim to tell us God’s will and give us God’s commands should be
approached very cautiously. And do not forget that throughout centuries they may
have been interpolated or edited by liars and lunatics (often both). Books filled with
dire threats and “precious promises” are usually nonsense and often destructive.

A holy scripture tells us how to know God and awaken into our oneness with
God. Any descriptions or expositions about the divine nature are not made for us to
just accept and believe intellectually, but are intended to help us draw near to and
awaken in God–and know when it is really happening.

Only God-realized Masters can write a holy scripture telling us what to do to
know Eternal Verity for ourselves. After we have come to know That, then we can
be assured that is a holy scripture.

A true holy scripture points us to God and makes it very clear that words are not
truth: God alone is Truth. And it tells us that once we know God we have no need
for it. “When the whole country is flooded, the reservoir becomes superfluous. So,
to the illumined seer, the Vedas are all superfluous” (Bhagavad Gita 2:46). This is
an essential trait of a holy scripture, along with the fact that a holy scripture never
claims to be the one and only truth, threatening punishment or offering reward for
our rejection or acceptance of it. That is sociopathy, not sanctity.

When an animal that you have lived with for years and been as close to as
another human being–maybe even more so–dies, what happens? Can they still
stay with you, though unseen? Can they see you? Will you ever meet or
reunite with them?

Death, like life, is solely determined by the individual, so there are no cut and
dried answers or rules about it. However, in many cases the departed are aware—at
least for a while—of those they leave behind, and this includes animals. Just as with
humans, if the departed see their friends in grief and distress they are also grieved
and distressed. So for their sake it is good to express our sorrow as little as
possible.

There is no reason to think that you will not at some time in the future meet
your friend. I have known two animals, a cat and a dog, that in a short time were
reborn and reunited with their former human friends. There was abundant proof
that they truly were the departed ones.

Animals are sentient beings; the only difference between them and us is the
level of development of the organism through which they express. And that
difference is only temporary, for they are evolving upward—as are we. This being so,
you can pray for their welfare (which sends loving energy to them), and can even
inwardly speak to them and express your love. Do your best to remember all the happiness of your time together, and express your gratitude for that, and hope for more in the future. Remember this: There are no dead. All are alive forever.

Someone recently wrote to me asking what mode of life he should live—and saying what it was he wanted to do. This was my reply:

Part of your evolution is learning how to live life, not having others tell you how to live.

Does hell exist? If so, why, and what is it like?

In Sanskrit the word for hell is *naraka*, which means “pertaining to human beings.” That is telling us something, isn’t it?

In the authentic (original) Christian tradition hell is simply the Anglo-Saxon equivalent of the New Testament Greek word *hades*, which means both “the unseen realm” and “the lower [under] world.” There is no connotation whatsoever of a place of fire and torment, or indeed any quality whatsoever. It is just the invisible world of disembodied spirits. When many people converted to Christianity in the fourth century after the Emperor Constantine made it the state religion, they infused a great deal of their old pre-Christian ideas into the subsequently modified Christian theology. Part of that importing was the concept of Tartarus, a place at the center of the earth ruled over by Pluto. This was a place of darkness and sorrow, and sometimes even of punishment by avenging spirits. Aristotle loved the idea and insisted that it was eternal. But Judaism never knew such ignorance, and neither did original Christianity.

That is what is not true. But what is the truth? All that exists is brought into manifestation by God for only one purpose: the evolution of the individual spirits to enable their perfection and union with God. There is no other purpose, and no other motive for any part of creation. To enable the spirits to evolve, many worlds or levels have been created, this material world being the most objective. Beyond it are worlds of increasingly subtle energies. And all the existent worlds are rungs on the ladder of evolution, reaching ever upward unto divinity Itself.

The basis of evolution is knowledge—particularly self-knowledge. So the worlds are really mirrors in which we come to see our own face—however much we may dislike, deny or ignore it. Our whole life is a visual lecture on our own present state of development. Karma and rebirth are the two major factors in this lecture, as they determine what the lessons will be. Nothing that happens to us is ever intended to be reward or punishment. Instead, all that we experience is reaction—reaction to our past and present deeds and thoughts. And these reactions reveal to us the nature of those deeds and thoughts.

For example, if we heedlessly steal from someone, causing them pain and perhaps deprivation, we, then, shall be stolen from and caused to experience the
identical degree of pain and deprivation. This is not to punish us, nor even is it meant to simply deter us from repeating the error of stealing. Rather, it is intended to show us the character of our act of stealing and reveal to us why stealing is wrong. It is not wrong because we get slapped for it, it is wrong because it causes another to suffer. Understanding that is a step upward in our development.

A person who abstains from wrong action out of fear of its attendant suffering is not evolving, but is simply being a conditioned animal. A truly evolving person refrains from stealing, even in time of need, because he does not want to wrong and hurt another person. This is the only right motive for honest action—or for right action of any kind. Part of the purpose of karma is to develop the divine traits of compassion and kindness in us. It is not rectitude but mercy that is the intent. Which is why Jesus more than once reminded his hearers of the divine principle: “I will have mercy, and not sacrifice” (Matthew 9:13).

Anyhow, as I have said, our life is a mirror that shows us our evolutionary face. This is not easy for our egos to accept, but it is nonetheless true. If people lie about us a lot, that shows us that we have lied about people in our past lives and that the root of that lying is still inside us on the subconscious level—even if in the present life we never lie. Therefore when people wrong us the first thing is to figure out how to correct ourselves of the trait that is being responded to. This is also why the wise have said that their critics and enemies were their best friends: they were showing them the aspects of their minds that needed correction. And that is what the world does for us.

Each time our physical body dies we pass into subtler worlds for some time. Those worlds more exactly mirror us, and in those worlds we are much more insightful than we are here. In the earth plane something can happen to us that seems inexplicable. But in those worlds as the thing is happening we see what is the force and purpose behind it. So we learn better there. Further, those worlds correspond to our subconscious mind, so they reveal what is usually only subliminal for the physically embodied.

Just as our dreams reflect the thoughts and experiences of the previous day, so the astral worlds reflect the inner character of our previous earth life. If our subconscious is harmonious and positive, we will automatically pass into a harmonious and positive realm at death. And that will be heaven. If, on the other hand, our inner mind is filled with negativity, especially such things as hatred, lust, greed, resentment and suchlike, we will enter a world that vividly displays those forces to its denizens. And that will be hell.

Some people naturally associate with worthy and uplifting people. Others prefer to run with the rat-pack. Each will go to the worlds where that type of person is being instructed. And that is the key: instruction. Since that is necessary for our growth, we can see that it would be folly to want to deliver people from hell, for that would deter their growth. However miserable an academic examination may be, we would be doing no one a favor by kidnapping them from the examination place and taking them on a jaunt in the countryside. They need that exam! And we
need both heaven and hell if we are to ultimately transcend the need for either of them.

So the only worthwhile prayer for the dead is a prayer for their increased understanding. That is why in the Byzantine Orthodox Church’s service for the dead, they continually sing the refrain: “Blessed art Thou, O Lord, teach me Thy statutes.” For that is the purpose of whatever world the departed might be in. This is why in the creation of a truly esoteric Mass, Bishops Wedgwood and Leadbeater formulated as the usual Gradual: “Teach me, O Lord, the way of Thy statutes, and I shall keep it unto the end. Give me understanding, and I shall keep Thy law: yea, I shall keep it with my whole heart.”

I think I have somewhat indicated what hell is and what its purpose is. As far as what it is like, that is impossible to delineate, because there are many hells and many heavens, each portraying an infinite number of psychological states. In some worlds the pleasant or unpleasant qualities are more external, and in others they are mostly internal. The entire range of psychic possibility is covered by them.

The important aspect of all this is, that since it is our own inner state that determines where we go after death, we should get busy and clean our psychic house through right action, right thought, right worship, and right meditation. Then we need have no worry, but can say with Saint Paul: “I have fought a good fight, I have finished my course, I have kept the faith: Henceforth there is laid up for me a crown of righteousness, which the Lord, the righteous judge, shall give me at that day: and not to me only, but unto all them also that love his appearing” (II Timothy 4:7-8)

I understand that Vedanta is an accepted form of Indian philosophy. But what is it?

Vedanta means “end of the Vedas,” and usually refers to the Upanishads which are appended to the Vedas, thus coming at their end. On the other hand, the philosophy of the Upanishads may be called Vedanta because it speaks of spiritual realization that results from–comes at the end of–Vedic study and practice.

Vedanta has three forms, and all three have been declared “orthodox”–that is, in conformity with the Vedas, the Upanishads and the Brahma Sutras (a philosophical exposition by Vyasa, the codifier of the Vedas). These three forms are Dvaita (Dualism), Vishishtadvaita (Qualified Non-Dualism), and Advaita (Non-Dualism).

Dvaita Vedanta says that God and the individual soul (as well as the creation) are absolutely separate and different from one another, although God pervades or encompasses all.

Vishistadvaita Vedanta says that there is an eternal distinction between God, the souls, and creation, but at the same time there is a unity because God is the root, the ground, of everything. From the viewpoint of creation and the individual soul, there is duality or distinction. But from the viewpoint of God, which the soul can ascend to spiritually, there is oneness alone.
Advaita Vedanta says that duality or difference between God, spirits and creation absolutely does not exist at any time, and that any experience of difference is completely an illusion, for all are manifestations of the One.

It is extremely important to understand that Advaita is not Monism. Monism means Oneness—that there is only One, and nothing else but the One. Advaita, however, only means “not two.” It does not mean “one.” So an Advaitist would say that there is no duality, but would not say there is only unity. He would simply say nothing except that there are not two. This is because the Real transcends both “two” and “one” and is ineffable and inexpressible. Therefore, as in Christian apophatic theology we can only say what is not, but cannot say what Is.

As already said, all three are true—are orthodox.

The three forms of Vedanta correspond to the Trinity: Advaita corresponds to the transcendent Father, Vashistadvaita corresponds to the immanent Son, and Dvaita corresponds to the Holy Spirit, Mahashakti, the very basis of duality. They are three ways of seeing reality according to which aspect of the Trinity in the individual is dominant.

The three Vedantas also correspond to the three Gunas: Sattwa, Rajas, and Tamas. If Sattwa Guna predominates, the individual experiences the Advaitic, non-dual state. When Rajas predominates, then both duality and unity are perceived—the Vashishtadvaitic view. And when Tamas predominates, then duality is the only perception.

The Vedantic views also correspond to the three states of consciousness delineated in Indian thought: the waking (jagrat), dreaming (swapna), and dreamless (sushupti) states. In the waking state only the duality of the world and spirit is perceived; in dreaming, their unity-diversity is experienced; and in dreamless sleep only unity is experienced. Jagrat=Dvaita. Swapna=Vashishtadvaita. Sushupti=Advaita.

But the Vedic sages tell us that there is a fourth state which transcends these three states of consciousness and is at the same time the substratum of the three. That state is called Turiya—Pure Consciousness. Those who attain that ultimate state perceive neither duality, semi-duality, or unity. What do they perceive? It is beyond words, and only those who have attained It know. So all three forms of Vedanta are eventually gone beyond as we enter that which is beyond all distinctions of views or states. Is not that state a state of unity—of Oneness? No, it is not, for it is no state at all. It is the true Nirvana in which these distinctions or labels simply cannot arise. That we must seek.

How much does the afterlife concern a yogi?

To a yogi, where we go after physical incarnation—which happens many times—is only symptomatic. Where we end up after death (or within this life, since we believe in the law of karma) is just an indication of our interior condition, an
indication of our inner status: the development of the consciousness of the individual.

Not only is the material universe evolving, the conscious entities within the universe are evolving as well. To the yogi, salvation is the attainment of perfection through evolution. It is not having our sins forgiven, or being classified by God as one of his chosen. Rather it is making the grade and graduating from this earth-plane school, having learned the necessary lessons—not intellectually, but through our own evolution beyond humanity, our ultimate goal being divinity.

Having used the expressions “school” and “graduate” I should explain that karma and reincarnation are fundamental realities for the yogi, providing the context in which he lives and acts. They are absolutes. Karma is the absolute law that everything we do must have a reaction—not as a reward or punishment, but for learning. Since we are so slow to learn, and life is so short, it is therefore necessary for us to keep returning to the school of earthly birth for many terms, many lifetimes, until we do learn. This kind of learning is not merely intellectual—in fact hardly so at all—but is a matter of evolving the consciousness to its full potential in the human status. Then we can pass on to the higher worlds and start evolving through and beyond those as well, until our innate divinity is totally revealed.

**How important is belief in karma and reincarnation?**

Comprehending the two principles of karma and rebirth is not needful just because they are facts of universal life, but because they give us a right perspective on all facets of life itself. Without knowledge of these two fundamental laws, a working concept of ourselves and our present life is impossible. Seeing ourselves as fundamentally evolving consciousnesses confined in bodies and an environment whose only real purpose is evolution, we will naturally shape our lives accordingly and deal with our life experiences within that greater context.

We will also realize that salvation is not a matter of being stamped “approved” or “passed” as though we were nothing more than USDA-inspected carcasses. Most important we will comprehend that there is actually no such thing as approval or disapproval on God’s part. It all lies in our hands. We alone must determine our future by the way we deal with the present. We can learn and graduate, or refuse to learn and be sent back again and again until we do. God is patient. He has eternity—and so do we.

**What about belief in heaven and hell?**

First, we must not equate the Eastern view of heaven and hell with the Western view. The Eastern view is that heaven and hell—as well as our situation during earthly incarnation—are valuable teaching instruments. They are mirrors revealing to us the state and qualities of our inner minds (but not of our spirits, for they are ever perfect).
In Western religion the view is that these are rewards and punishments, indications of whether God is pleased or angry with us according to whether we have been good or bad. This belief views the soul as a veritably inert thing that merely pleases or displeases God, rather than a living entity that grows. It only follows then that those who hold it consider salvation as no more than getting to heaven and avoiding hell. Seeing the soul as intrinsically static, exoteric religions treat people accordingly. They put their adherents through certain rites and rules of behavior whose only purpose is a guarantee of a place in heaven. But this approach is simply not viable because the soul is a growing and developing thing. Consequently salvation (liberation, moksha) is a process that can take millions, if not billions, of years in many worlds, not just this one.

**How important is theology or formal philosophy?**

Even the way Sanatana Dharma presents its ideas—its philosophy—is affected by the evolutionary view that is fundamental to it. We realize that no one can be coerced to believe something he does not believe, and that to force him to pretend to believe is a spiritual crime. True dharma cannot say to anyone: “This is the truth: believe it or else.” What really matters is the person’s present state of evolution and his interest in and capacity for consciously working toward higher states of evolution.

Intellectual theology or philosophy becomes insignificant unless it can help us in the practical application of evolutionary spiritual life and understanding. It is basically useless to believe that God exists unless we comprehend that we, too, are eternally part of the divine life. It means nothing to believe in Deity if we do not believe and act upon the fact of our own divinity. Nor is it of any value to consider anyone our absolute savior, for each one of us must realize and exercise our innate capacity to save ourselves from ignorance, from birth and death.

Every belief or principle should be looked at from the perspective: What does it do in my efforts for evolving myself? If it has no application (and we must be sure that we do not judge hastily about this) then why bother with it? The approach to beliefs should be thoroughly pragmatic. We should see Sanatana Dharma itself in the same light. If someone sees no meaning or value in what it has to offer, then they should pass on and keep looking for a religion that does. If they adopt Dharma without really seeing its value they will be thwarting their necessary freedom and growth. Any religion is a hindrance if it is not what the individual needs for his spiritual progress. There is no such thing as the religion or the philosophy for everybody at a single time.

**How exactly do you consider other religions as valid?**

The various religions of the world do great good for those who are on their wavelength. They all are necessary to those for whom they are intended. True
exponents of Sanatana Dharma always ask seekers to be sure they understand other religious traditions—especially if they were born into one—before adopting the Eternal Dharma. It is good for them to experience other religions. Otherwise, how can they make an intelligent decision if they do not know the alternatives?

Here, too, the simile of a school helps us out. No teacher walks into a classroom with the idea that everyone in the school is supposed to be there. He knows that some are not ready for the class, some have already taken the class, and some are studying a totally different subject and they are never going to take that class. This is as it should be. And no responsible teacher would insist that every member of the class go out and get others to enroll because otherwise they would remain uneducated. Such a teacher would be considered a fool or insane. It is amazing to see how we tolerate and even expect people to behave in religion in ways that we would instantly repudiate in any other area of life.

This has perhaps come about because exoteric religion has no real practical application or demonstration of its worth. It is all emotion, theory and “pie in the sky when you die” for them. If we believe that this is the nature of all religion, we then become inured to utterly senseless behavior on the part of “believers.” And God!

What is the purpose or mission of your religion?

Let us consider the basics of growth as it takes place on this earth. The sun shines and warms the earth and the seeds in the earth. This stimulates the life principle in the seeds and they grow upward into the light. It is a very natural thing. We would think someone crazy who would sit out in a field and yell at the plants to grow, promising rewards if they do and threatening punishments if they do not.

When we are dealing with human beings we are dealing with potentially infinite consciousnesses, with gods in embryo. We consider that we are dealing with a spark of God’s own life, because we do not believe God created the spirit. We believe instead that each individual spirit has existed eternally within God. So we consider that we are dealing with a potential god, and act accordingly. Gods should not be poked, pinched and pulled.

Maria Montessori came to see that children should not be coerced to learn any subject. She proved that a subject need only be presented to them and when they are ready for it they will respond—until then they will not, and should not. Our present system of education fails because it assumes that chronological age determines readiness for learning. Maria Montessori, being a believer in reincarnation, understood that this was a matter of evolution, and that everything would come to pass in its own good and right time. This is why no child goes through the Montessori system and comes out having not learned. It is successful because it is based on the evolutionary nature of things.

In Sanatana Dharma we follow the same procedure. We present. The response is up to the individual. And any response, Yes, No or Maybe, is fine. Certainly there
are those who at their present stage of evolution need what we offer, but they have to recognize that themselves. It is not for us to tell them. It is definitely our duty to be there for those who need us, and we should let ourselves be visible. Beyond that it is in their hands.

I learned something very early in my first visit to India. The fake teachers were more than volunteering their supposed wisdom, they were cornering people and forcing it on them. But the real teachers had to be asked for instruction. Otherwise they just conversed affably on unimportant matters. Their insight was that only when the question arises in the mind of the seeker is he ready for the answer. Until then the teacher can do nothing worthwhile.

Consider the material on our website: it is a matter of simple presentation, of visibility. If someone reads the material and says that they believe what is in them because they convinced them, we are not satisfied. That means they are simply spinning in the realm of the intellect and not in touch with their real inner self—something that has to occur for conscious spiritual growth. Also, they should not be accepting our ideas. It should be a matter of their own conviction that arises from deep within. We cannot eat or digest for other people, and neither can we reveal wisdom to them. What we can do is present what we consider wisdom, and they may recognize it through their own inner response.

So what do we want people to say about the web material? We want them to say the truth. It they think it is nonsense, that is perfectly all right. For them it is nonsense. We do like someone to say: “I had never thought of it before, but when I read what you wrote I realized that it was the truth and that I had always believed it somewhere in the back of my mind.” In other words, we did not convince them of the truth; they recognized it as already being part of their inner intuition that had not heretofore been verbalized.

The word “education” comes from the Latin, its literal meaning being “to lead out.” That is, real education is the process of evoking the student’s innate knowledge, of bringing it out so he can consciously work with it. It is a reminding of him of what he really already knows. Not many people are educated, then, nor are many people authentically religious or spiritual. And we cannot make them so. They have to grow into it. Then we can help further their growth by showing them how to pass from natural automatic evolution into intentional evolution through yoga.

From the lowest form of life up to and including a great deal of the human experience, evolution happens automatically with no intention or effort on our part. We just live and it happens. But a time must come—the real time of conversion or being born again—when the individual must take control of his own evolution and consciously direct and produce it. For at that point it will no longer come automatically. It is somewhat like a child who at a certain age must learn to dress himself and in time make his own living. He must finally do on his own, for himself, what others had heretofore been done for him.

The earlier “child” evolution is natural or automatic. The “adult” evolution is
completely in the purview of the individual. A religion that cannot show a person how to do this is not of much use to an awakening person. The wandering spirit has forgotten itself and its attendant realities. It does not need to be taught, it need only be reminded, profound as that experience is. That is the sole purpose of Sanatana Dharma.

Can the Infinite God–and all things besides–really be known?

All Eastern religions say adamantly that God is both knowable and unknowable. We must not forget that the Greek word for God is *Theos*: The Absolutely Other. God is the ultimate Alien.

In our view God is unknowable, inconceivable, unseeable. We hold that it is impossible to even make a statement about God–not even that he exists, because he is totally beyond our ideas of existence. We only know the relative, whereas God is the Absolute. Even to attribute any characteristics or qualities to God in his pure being is considered erroneous in Eastern religion. This is called apophatic theology–that is, we enumerate what we cannot say about God.

In Sanatana Dharma this approach is called *neti neti*, which means “not this, not that.” The idea is that when we have negated anything we might say about God, then we are left with what God is. And it is beyond all words. So we both can and cannot know God. This sounds like some kind of Zen koan, but it is not.

Let me give an example from our external life. Can we, standing on the shore, see the Atlantic Ocean–in the sense of seeing the whole ocean? Of course not. We can only see a part since it is so vast. So we never see it. That is, we cannot encompass it within the scope of our sight. This helps us to understand what is meant by our incapacity for knowing God. It is a matter of the limited scope of our consciousness. We can know something of God, the hem of his garment so to speak, but in the sense of knowing God as he is in his totality, it is impossible. But we can enter into union with God–be drawn into his Being–and transcend all relative existence. This is the whole purpose for our being here. We are intended to evolve and expand our consciousness to the point where, by entering into union with God, we can indeed see the “all” of him. Or more precisely, we can evolve to the point where we can see God with his own eye of infinity. That is, he will share his vision with us. This is glorious.

Without doubt the instruments of knowledge we presently have–the sensory mind and the intellect–cannot embrace an infinite vision because of their inherent limitation. In the Upanishads God is defined as “That from which the mind and the senses turn back.” Saint Thomas Aquinas used a very good expression about approaching God in our present condition: *sensum defectui*: the senses fail. There is also the implication in the Latin that the senses fall back or fall away in that endeavor.

But we have more to us than the mind and the senses. We have much higher faculties whose existence we have not even guessed. And they can be evolved to a
condition in which they will not turn back or fail. That is the purpose of yoga.

How can you know but not know, or see but not see God?

God is not an object. Therefore no faculty of perception can see him. God is an eternal Subject—as are we. The higher faculties I have spoken of are not instruments of objective perception but capacities for spiritual union, the ability to link our consciousness with the consciousness of God and see with it. This seems incredible, I know, but it is even more wonderful and heartening. We are not worms before God or mere tamed animals or human slaves. We are part of him. And this need not remain a beautiful principle of philosophy. It is a principle of possibility, the possibility of our own divine potential. Yoga is the way to realize it. And that realization is true Knowing–Gnosis or Jnana.

Yet, such knowledge is “unknowing” in the sense that it is beyond all our presently-active faculties of perception, a direct knowing beyond all objective knowing. It is, as I already mentioned, a subjective knowing which takes place through the evolution of our capacity for union with God without any intermediary “eye” whatsoever.

God-knowledge (Brahmajnana) is possible only in the state of direct, immediate union with God. When this occurs we know everything—not just God—but it is not our knowing, it is God’s knowing in which we are able to participate. We can experience the state of being and consciousness that is unique to God. It is a sharing by God of his own knowing.

Rather than take up a long time explaining the implications, let me just say that the purpose of our unfolding evolution is the development of the capacity to eventually share fully in the Divine Life, to be “god within God.”

Since you have Christian-oriented or Christian-based material on your website, will you explain to me what the Christians mean when they talk about a “spirit” and a “soul”? They seem to be two things.

Your question reminds me of the time when after twenty years of “Bible believing” I woke up and wanted to know what the Bible meant, not just what it said. And the only people who could tell me were yogis. The actual teachings of Jesus are found in The Second Coming of Christ by Paramhansa Yogananda and his talks and articles. This is because Jesus was a yogi and an adherent of Sanatana Dharma, the religion of India. (See The Christ of India.) The Aquarian Gospel of Jesus the Christ by Levi Dowling also presents the authentic teachings of Jesus.

Although in the West “spirit” and “soul” are used interchangeably, in the New Testament and the theology of Eastern Christianity they are different. The word translated “soul” is psyche (psuche), the psychic nature which includes the mind, intellect, astral and causal bodies. The word translated “spirit” is pneuma, which is the pure consciousness, that which gives life or makes alive—the spirit itself. So you
can see that they are quite different.

It is very important to differentiate between them, as the psychic must never be mistaken for the spiritual. One of the reasons esoteric Christianity is so confused in its analysis of the human condition is the idea that a human being is only a physical and spiritual entity—the psychic is simply not in the picture. As a result it considers simple psychic phenomena and functions to be spiritual and has a totally erroneous conclusion and reaction to them.

**Can you tell me what determines who is born as one’s children?**

A single thing: karma. Of course there are many types of karma, some personal and some general. For example, if it is your karma to have a child of a certain quality, a soul of that type will be born to you. On the other hand, a particular person you have lived with before and developed an intense bond with, either negative or positive, may be born as your child. In either instance, the karma must be dealt with, there is no avoiding it. The parents should keep in mind that the child is their karma, and they are the child’s karma. The blame or the praise is on both sides. However, your duty is to learn from the part that is your karma. The child has to deal with its own, though you may be able to help in some instances.

**Is the knowledge gained in a lifetime carried over to the next life?**

The subconscious conditionings, the samskaras, of one life do continue into future lives if they are strong enough and relevant to those lives. Usually the samskaras manifest as instincts rather than intellectual knowledge, but if strong enough the past life knowledge can carry over into the intellect. It is not uncommon for people to know something they never learned in that life.

In the matter of spiritual-knowledge karma, if we build on it we will increase it from life to life. If, however, we neglect it, it will weaken and may be lost to us in the next life. Our evolution does not take place in a steady ascent, but in a series of back and forward steps until our spiritual consciousness has become strong enough to carry itself forward in each subsequent life. Then no spiritual effort is ever lost.

I was wondering about the Yugas. It is mentioned in your Sanskrit glossary that we are currently in ascending Dwapara Yuga but in descending Kali Yuga in the cosmic scale. I am quite familiar with *The Holy Science* and the 24,000 year cycle, but not so much with the cosmic cycle. I was wondering about your conclusions that we are in the cosmic Kali Yuga, and how that might tie into the 2012 predictions and Kali and Dwapara?

These are not my conclusions but the standard view in India. If you will look up references to the yugas in *Autobiography of a Yogi* you will find the relevant information. Here is the entire entry from *A Brief Sanskrit Glossary*:
“Hindus believe that there are four yugas: the Golden Age (Satya or Krita Yuga), the Silver age (Treta Yuga), The Bronze Age (Dwapara Yuga), and the Iron Age (Kali Yuga). Satya Yuga is four times as long as the Kali Yuga; Treta Yuga is three times as long; and Dwapara Yuga is twice as long. In the Satya Yuga the majority of humans use the total potential–four-fourths–of their minds; in the Treta Yuga, three-fourths; in the Dwapara Yuga, one half; and in the Kali Yuga, one fourth. (In each Yuga there are those who are using either more or less of their minds than the general populace.) The Yugas move in a perpetual circle: Ascending Kali Yuga, ascending Dwapara Yuga, ascending Treta Yuga, ascending Satya Yuga, descending Satya Yuga, descending Treta Yuga, descending Dwapara Yuga, and descending Kali Yuga–over and over. Furthermore, there are yuga cycles within yuga cycles. For example, there are yuga cycles that affect the entire cosmos, and smaller yuga cycles within those greater cycles that affect a solar system. The cosmic yuga cycle takes 8,640,000,000 years, whereas the solar yuga cycle only takes 24,000 years. At the present time our solar system is in the ascending Dwapara Yuga, but the cosmos is in the descending Kali Yuga. Consequently, the more the general mind of humanity develops, the more folly and evil it becomes able to accomplish.”

Opportunists and alarmists (usually the same people) are continually creating “coming events” and “impeding crises” to worry about and obsess on and wonder how to prepare for. Then the indicated time comes and passes, and nothing has happened—all is the same as before. In the last fifty years we have had 1) the stellium in Aquarius, in which we were told California would fall in the ocean, and many people sold their homes and moved inland, 2) the Comet Kahoutek about which many books were written, 3) Haley’s Comet (ditto), 4) the Harmonic Convergence (a lot of fakes got rich on that one and a lot of fools ended up in the desert, having sold everything back home), 5) the “end” of the traditional Buddhist calendars, which sparked off conferences of Buddhist leaders throughout the world, 6) Y2K, and 7) 2012!

May I make a prediction? Nothing will ever happen except the scrapping of books and revision of websites and a lot of thought being given as to what people will buy into next.

If all the interest and energy and dedication wasted on these bugaboos had been channeled into spiritual life, this world would now be a much better place.

In response to a Christian’s letter listing differences between “orthodox” Christianity and the beliefs presented on our website, and asking our explanation of those differences:

It has been my experience that when Christians write to me with a list of Biblical “what abouts?” and I take the time to answer, the response is simply another list of “what abouts?” As you yourself say in your email: “There will always be questions of course.” Therefore I hope you will permit me to answer in a straightforward manner, interpreting it as you will. (On previous occasions when I
have been direct in my reply I have been accused of hostility, defensiveness, ignorance, or--horrors!--anger.)

Your questions should be asked of yourself, rather than your condescending comment that we have presented our views “with obvious good intentions.” If the principles espoused on our website are true, then why does the Bible contradict—or seem to contradict—them? That should be your concern, not ours. If the principles we present are not true, then what does it matter to you? (Hopefully you are not concerned for our souls.)

The members of our ashram all have a Christian background, and are not unaware of Protestant, Catholic and Eastern Orthodox beliefs. We consider that we cannot in good conscience be “Christians” that are actually Churchians and be able to follow Sanatana Dharma and Jesus. *The Christ of India* presents our view of Jesus as a Sanatana Dharma missionary—neither Jewish nor Christian. Further, our posting of *The Aquarian Gospel* text and extensive commentary on it underlines our beliefs on these matters.

As indicated on our website, we recommend *The Aquarian Gospel of Jesus the Christ* by Levi Dowling and the writings of Paramhansa Yogananda regarding the teachings of Jesus as a correct presentation of their meaning.

In response to a letter in which someone expressed discouragement over having made some mistakes in spiritual life.

Many mistakes—and even falls—can occur in spiritual life, but a person can always get up and keep journeying onward, for his essential divine Self (nature) is unchanged. As long as this is done there is always hope for eventual success. There is only one thing that can prevent this: discouragement. If the sadhaka becomes discouraged at his failures and begins to feel hopeless and gives up his efforts, then no improvement is possible. Because of this, discouragement is the worst thing that can happen to a sadhaka, for it will end all spiritual progress. Be sure that you never give into this most harmful thing, and you need never fear failure. If it comes, arise and keep on moving. Then nothing will be able to stop you in reaching the Goal.

Are you aware of the current scene in N.? Do you think that true spirituality still exists in N. and other holy places in India, or is it being replaced by a market-place spirituality? Can such holy men as Sivananda still be found there? I would appreciate your honest opinion.

The French have a most insightful proverb: “The more things change the more they stay the same,” the idea being that changes in externals only point out the fact that human nature stays just what it always was. Only the childish and foolish think that they are different from preceding generations.

India is just what it always was. As in any other country there have been saints, sages, scoundrels, fools and hypocrites. It is all a matter of magnetism: we
encounter those that are on our own wavelength. I knew some people that went to India to find a guru and ended up making connections with drug dealers instead.

Throughout my first trip to India I was always in walking distance of at least one saint. But at the very same time other Westerners were searching and searching—even for years—and met not one worthy spiritual teacher. Others met the real saints and thought they were bores and fools, so the result was the same.

It is all a matter of karma, of mental vibration, whether or not we meet holy people and whether or not we benefit from the meeting. This I can tell you: the saints do not waste their time on non-yogis or those that do not have the potential to be yogis.

The key to whether you will meet great souls in India is in you alone. If you meditate—really meditate—and are leading a purified life, observing the moral precepts of yama and niyama (and not just for a few weeks), then you will find the saints and the saints will find you. And be careful who you have as a traveling companion. They can spoil everything.

Market-place teachers have always existed in India for market-place seekers. Unfit disciples get unfit gurus. The unclean and impure easily meet unclean and impure gurus who will eagerly help them ruin their mind and life. Water does find its own level.

Right in N. there is one of India’s spiritual gems, living in total obscurity and even secrecy. A true jnani, this holy one does not play the guru game, dresses like any rural Indian—no gerua, big beads, or big tilak—does the housework, cooks and cleans, and only lets a few people come at designated times for satsang. Westerners who have pushed in and demanded teaching have been sent right back out the door. Others have been welcomed and conversed with freely and openly. But they had to be prepared for some straightforward and pungent truths! They left uplifted and inspired to work on themselves—not to “take refuge in the guru” or any such nonsense. Please do not ask me the saint’s name or location—it would do no good if the saint did not want the meeting. And if the saint wants the meeting it cannot be avoided.

Work on yourself. As Buddha said: You are your only refuge; all else is external and therefore ultimately delusional.

---

In response to a letter in which someone expressed concern over “drifting from my Christian background” through esoteric interests.

If your Christian background lacked esoteric knowledge and insight into Eastern religion, then you have drifted from ignorance and should thank God for it. Keep drifting!

---

If we don’t remember our past lives, how can we make ourselves better people?
Actually it works the other way round. As we begin bettering ourselves by living according to the principles of dharma, and most especially by the steady practice of meditation, the purification of mind and heart enables us to spontaneously remember our past lives as they relate to the situations of our present life.

There is no value in just knowing about our past lives if it has no practical purpose. Just saying: “I did this” or “I was that” in a previous life means nothing. Those who apply themselves to yoga meditation will find that they will automatically remember a past life, if remembering it will help them understand their present situation in life and help them in finding the best direction for their life in the future. So the attempt to live a higher mode of life and spontaneous past life recall go hand in hand. But first take the advice of Krishna: Tasmad yogi: “Therefore become a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46).

When we are reincarnated as lower beings of life, how can we get good karma to became a higher being? If you are a tree or snail or something, what can you do to get good karma?

For most subhuman species, simply living according to nature is sufficient. The presence of the Atman in each one of them is the force that draws them upward into higher forms. However, selfishness, greed, hatred and other passions can be seen in them, and this will determine the kind of animal bodies they will be taking on. For example, there are beneficial snakes and poisonous snakes. In many species we find this to be true–some are harmful and some are not. Both insects and animals suffer from disease and from “natural predators.” Intelligence is to be found in all animals, but the most intelligent are the vegetarians. Unfortunately humans fall back into the way of carnivorous animals that can lead to animal births, though rarely. Instead they are born among other “humanimals” that hate, fight, and kill one another and end up being far worse than any animal. Though in human form, they have become demons and take on demonic form after death and retain the demonic nature when they are reborn.

Human beings create human karma, so once we come to the level of human beings we rarely if ever return to animal form. Instead our karma, even if unfortunate, impels us into further human births. We have instances of humans taking animal form, such as Jada Bharata (see Glossary), but when that is done the person has full awareness as a human even in that body. In this way the intended lesson is learned. Their misery ensures that they will not make that mistake in future births. In Jada Bharata’s case the lesson was the unwisdom of over-attachment. Sadly, some people take animal form because they have made many animals suffer greatly in their previous life. Those who own or work in slaughterhouses run the risk of animal incarnation, as do those who experiment on animals. And what then about those who eat the flesh of animals? By the end of their lives they have incurred the karma of the slaughter of thousands–tens of thousands–of sentient beings.
I want to experience sweet fellowship with God. Also, how do I get to know God without giving my mind away while still being surrendered?

Intimate communion with God is not just a possibility—it is your actual nature. Even at this moment you are absolutely one with God, but the focus of your consciousness has slipped away from the divine center into a state of disunity in which the dream has hidden the divine dreamer. Nevertheless, nothing has really changed any more than dreaming you are someone other than your waking self makes you that someone. When you wake it is all over. In the same way, when you awake from this prolonged dream we call samsara and maya, you will not be attaining something or becoming something, you will be remembering the reality of yourself and the Reality that is God.

How to go about awakening is the crucial question. Even though awakening is a purely spiritual process, we cannot forget the body and mind that presently are blinding us to the Divine Vision. So here are some recommended steps:

1) For the body: Become a vegetarian if you are not already so, eliminating all meat, fish, and eggs totally from your diet. Further, abstain absolutely from alcohol, nicotine, and mind-altering drugs. Best of all: Go vegan.

2) For the mind: Obtain and read:


   The Upanishads, Breath of the Eternal, by Swami Prabhavananda.

   Autobiography of a Yogi, by Paramhansa Yogananda—the first edition facsimile by Crystal Clarity Publishers (with the exception of this and editions also published by Philosophical Library, the original publishers, later printings are so altered and falsified they are misleading.)

   The Philosophy of Gorakhnath, Akshaya Kumar Banerjea, Motilal Banarsidass.

   And read the other books recommended in A Yogi’s Recommended Reading List on our website.

3) For both body and mind: Adhere strictly to the principles of yama-niyama as outlined in the article The Foundations of Yoga.

4) For the spirit: Begin meditating seriously and regularly.

Now about your second sentence. First of all, the idea of “surrender” in spiritual life is a Western concept and a colossal aberration. God is not your enemy, so why would you surrender to him? Surrender is a tool of cult domination intended to bully people into giving up their intelligence and personal welfare. Guru cults traffic in this propaganda of surrender, but they are spiritual criminals, not true dharmic institutions. In Sanskrit the word they mistranslate is sharanam, which means refuge, protection and shelter. Taking refuge in God is hardly surrender: it is safety and assurance, the end of doubt and fear. It is loving trust placed in the only worthy Protector.

As far as giving your mind away is concerned, it is the same situation. This is
why the Bhagavad Gita should be studied. Krishna keeps speaking of the necessity for Buddhi Yoga, the cultivation of the intelligence and discrimination. There is no giving up of the mind in the path to Self-realization. It is just the opposite, for the spiritual cultivation of yoga enables us to master our mind and use it as a tool for furthering our evolution. In the final chapter of the Gita, not far from the end, Krishna says to Arjuna: “Now I have taught you that wisdom which is the secret of secrets. Ponder it carefully. Then act as you think best” (Bhagavad Gita 18:63). There is no hint of “surrender” here!

What is needed is reclamation of what we have never really lost. That is one of those glorious contradictions in which Eastern wisdom abounds, but whose realities can be experienced by the yogi.

“Therefore become a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46).

According to the Aquarian Gospel, Jesus was born at the beginning of the Piscean Age. The question is, in which Age would Jesus come during his Second Coming? Would it be The Aquarian Age? and would The Aquarian Age begin in December 2012? I am just a seeker of Truth and hope and pray to the Almighty One to guide us all to complete truth and wisdom.

The Piscean Age began 2,000 years ago, and the Aquarian Age in the year 2000. This is calculated according to the vernal equinox and the astrological point on which it falls.

As to the next birth of Jesus we will just have to wait and see and make sure we are not deceived. I have met three people claiming to be Jesus and one claiming to be the Virgin Mary! They were the embodiments of foolishness and ignorance. (One of the Jesuses was in an adulterous relationship with the self-proclaimed Virgin Mary.)

God being present here and now to each one of us, we need not worry much over the future.

I have read a lot of information on Christian websites about meditation and its ability to attract “demons” to you. How would you counter the Christian point of view?

First, this is not a Christian viewpoint, it is the propaganda of ignorant bigots—including some sects in India, by the way. “The moment you sit for meditation you are opening yourself to evil entities,” said one adherent of an Indian sect to me in my beginning days of meditation. East and West have people who will try to tell meditators that they are in danger of either going insane or coming under the power of (or possessed by) evil spirits. It is simply not true. I do not bother to counter it—I meditate and prove it false.
I have been practicing meditation, specifically to get through some severe anxiety and panic I suffered after an accident (and to get closer to God). I believe I am plagued by some type of oppression and have been for a long time. I am experiencing a great amount of fear now when I meditate—despite the fact that it helps me immensely.

A situation such as yours is impossible to comment on, as it can be very complex. I would advise you to consult qualified medical practitioners as they have the means to determine if your problem is physically-based. I say this especially because you say your trouble began after an accident. A friend who was a healer told me that feelings of fear and oppression can arise from problems with the adrenal glands. If for some reason they leak small amounts of adrenalin, the person can experience unexplained anxiety, panic or fear. He had helped many people who thought they had a psychological problem simply by correcting the condition of the adrenals.

Diet, which determines the chemistry of the blood and cells, can also play a part in these matters, especially through food additives. Meat is often saturated with chemicals and with the toxins (including adrenalin) released by the fear and suffering of the animal when being killed.

The relaxation produced by meditation can be of help, but it is only wise to seek a medical solution in case the problem is based on a physical condition.

Is there a difference between “unnecessary experience” and karma?

There is no such thing as unnecessary experience, nor is there anything that is not karma. That is why in Yoga Sutras 2:18, Patanjali says that the entire range of relative existence “has for its purpose (providing the purusha with) experience and liberation.” (The words in parentheses are added by the translator I. K. Taimni in his commentary The Science of Yoga.)

To someone who wrote about conflicts between his Eastern Orthodox Christian tradition and the principles of Sanatana Dharma—which he was increasingly accepting as true:

The prime question each human being should be asking is not “What is the true religion?” but “How can I find God?” When you learn how to find God and start doing so—that will be the true religion.

Sanatana Dharma cultivates respect for all religions, but often those religions cover up the teachings of their founders, and even substitute themselves for the founder. Many are more interested in a church as “the Body of Christ” than in Jesus himself. “Outside the Church there is no salvation” should be seen as nonsense by any Christian. There is no salvation outside Christ—that is the truth. But unfortunately they have very little understanding of the nature of Christ, who should not be confused with Jesus.
This I will say: If the Holy Spirit is guaranteed to lead Christians “into all truth,” why do they know so little truth? I think it is because they do not really possess the Holy Spirit. Although all Orthodox Christians are chrismated, Saint Seraphim of Sarov spoke of their need for “the acquisition of the Holy Spirit.” He apparently saw things quite differently from the official Church. The Orthodox Church thunders that anyone who prays with heretics is to be excommunicated and suspended from clerical functions, but Saint John of Kronstadt prayed with Moslems who came to him asking assistance. Saint John Maximovitch cured a dying Jewish woman by calling out to her: “Christ is risen!” And he never tried to convert her. Christ and contemporary Christianity are just not the same.

I believe that Jesus lived in India for most of his life before returning to Israel, and that after his resurrection he returned there. More to the point, I believe that he was a Sanatana Dharmi—not a Jew or a Christian.

We must ask of all religions: Do they reveal or do they veil?

I hope it is clear that our website presents Sanatana Dharma and not popular Hinduism.

The final word is this: It is not what you believe but what you know that matters. And all knowledge comes from God, Who is seated in each heart. Seek there for the truth.

To someone who wrote asking if eating fish would be a “blemish” on the character or personality of a sannyasi, or whether it was not necessary to be a vegetarian:

Yes, it is a terrible blemish of character that an Indian sadhu—or anyone claiming to be a yogi or sanatana dharma—would ever eat meat, fish, or eggs. To eat meat is to break with thousands of years of sacred tradition—and to what purpose? No supposed sadhu or even “avatar” however famous and revered can make their own rules. Dharma is dharma, and exceptions cannot occur. Satyam eva jayate. Truth alone conquers (prevails).

What is the point in worshiping the Divine forms as God? Do they have any existence apart from the imagination? Since the reality and goal is pure consciousness, does it distract our attention from the truth? Is the saguna worship meant for developing concentration?

In the Gita we find these two very important verses: “Others worship me, knowing Brahman in all things: some see me as one with themselves, or separate: some bow to the countless gods that are only my million faces” (Bhagavad Gita 9:15). “Whatever wish men bring me in worship, that wish I grant them. Whatever path men travel is my path: no matter where they walk it leads to me” (Bhagavad Gita 4:11).

From these verses we see that God is merciful and takes into account our
weakness and lack of understanding. However, it is certainly more correct to worship God in a formless manner. Here is something I recently wrote on the subject:

“This is very important: When we want to swim in the ocean, we do not dive into a particular wave, but into the ocean itself—though we may pass through a wave. Also, the wave, being only a manifestation on the surface of the ocean must be left behind if we are to sound the depths of the ocean. If we stay with the wave, we will remain as separated as the wave is from the ocean. If we ‘ride’ the wave like a surfer we will find ourselves being thrown onto the shore and out of the ocean. It is the same with meditation on names and forms—whether of ‘gods’ or liberated ‘masters’—rather than diving down where name and form cannot go. We must meditate on the Self—not on external deities or symbolic forms of psychic states. As Sri Ma Sarada Devi said: ‘After attaining wisdom one sees that gods and deities are all maya’ (Precepts For Perfection 672).” The major Upanishads, Gita, and Yoga Sutras know nothing of meditating on ‘gods’ or ‘ishta devatas’—only on the Self.

The usual excuse for saguna worship is that it enables the devotee to concentrate his mind and prepare him for meditation. But in fifty years I have never found this to be true for anyone. You must start out with what you want to end up with. Right meditation alone concentrates the mind in a meaningful manner. That is why Sri Gajanana Maharaj of Nasik said: “Some people say that meditating upon Nirakara [the Formless Reality] is difficult. But in my opinion it is very easy and in addition it is natural. A man easily gets into the state of samadhi by meditating upon Nirakara. The path of doing so is, however, concealed and secret. Once you get it you can be in that state although outwardly you may be talking, laughing, playing, or sleeping. This power is concealed like the river Saraswati. As some people have not understood this secret path, therefore, they say that it is difficult, and that it would require the passing of various lives to obtain success in it.”

How are kundalini and pure consciousness related? Does a seeker have to bother about kundalini?

All Shakti is really Shiva. That is, there is nothing but Pure Consciousness, really, but in projecting the creation there is the appearance of movement or vibration. Kundalini is Primal Power and therefore Primal Consciousness in evolutionary activity. As a consequence, when your atmic consciousness is awakened, so is your kundalini—which is the same thing. So you need not give it a thought. Everything will happen as it should from your meditation. I recommend you read Philosophy of Gorakhnath by Akshaya Kumar Banerjea, for an excellent explanation of this.

Is the return of Christ—as spoken of in the Gospels of the Bible—a bodily return or is it the spiritual return of the Christ in man? We are entering a new
age—the age of Aquarius, or the revealing age. I feel that the book of Revelation is a picture of the true reality of the struggle within man himself and what every man must face and overcome.

The Greek word translated “coming” in the New Testament is *parousia*, which literally means “presence,” but it means both to be near (“at hand”) and a returning. As is usual in spiritual writings, words that have more than one meaning give a latitude in interpretation. Yogananda said that all scriptures should be considered as having three levels: physical, mental and spiritual, both literal and symbolic, both external and internal.

Each person should be seeking the advent of Christ in the sense of Divine Consciousness. Yet there is a tradition in Jewish mysticism that says the Messiah will come twice: first as Son of Joseph and be rejected, and later as Son of David and be accepted. Jesus was born at the beginning of the Piscean Age and we may assume that he will be reborn at the beginning of the Aquarian Age.

Obviously Jesus can manage things for himself so we need not do anything about this, but should be intent on the birth, resurrection and ascension in us of the Christ Life (Consciousness). As he said: “My time is not yet come: but your time is alway ready” (John 7:6). So it is wisdom to stay focused on our own personal Advent. Nevertheless there is nothing wrong in praying to be aware of his second physical appearance and to meet with him. Nor is it amiss to pray for the hastening of his birth and revelation to the world.

Yogananda wrote a thousand-page commentary on Revelation as a yoga scripture rather than an earthly prophecy, but it has not been printed after nearly sixty years. So a person will have to meditate deeply and use his intuition in figuring it out. Of course, the four Gospels are the same: a symbolic presentation of the path to Christhood. The early church not only interpreted them as symbols, it denied that some parts of them were literal history. Chances are they are both literal and symbolic, with maybe a bit of alteration. (For example according to Anna Catharine Emmerich the stigmatist, Jesus was born in an Essene family that never worshipped at Jerusalem because of the animal sacrifice, but on Mount Carmel. So the stories of Jesus being presented in the Jerusalem temple after being born and questioning the elders at the age of twelve are not accurate, but took place on Mount Carmel.) Anyway, it all comes back to the need for us to learn truth for ourselves.

You have written: “All spiritual life is self-initiated from within; we are both guru and disciple as Krishna and Arjuna symbolize in the Bhagavad Gita.” Do you believe Krishna to be a real person who came to earth, and spoke the Bhagavad Gita, or do you hold that the Gita is purely symbolical? I feel confused on the idea of what the avatars of Vishnu/Krishna really stand for.

Certainly Yadava Krishna was a historical person as was Arjuna, and they were involved in the Mahabharata war—also a historical reality. But it is stretching it to
believe that Krishna and Arjuna spoke to each other in poetic meter and that the seven hundred verses of the Gita were a continuous conversation just before the battle.

We can be sure that Krishna spoke to Arjuna regarding the war and his obligations in relation to it, but it seems a bit silly to think that at that time he discoursed at length on such subjects as diet being a reflection of the predominant guna of a person, the constituents of Maya/Prakriti and other technicalities of the Sankhya philosophy.

Someone once remarked to Paramhansa Nityananda of Ganeshpuri: “In the Gita Krishna said...,” and Nityananda interrupted him, saying: “No. Vyasa said that Krishna said....” Although the Mahabharata war actually took place, Vyasa used it as a symbol of the battle that faces all serious sadhakas, and Krishna and Arjuna are symbols of the internal dialogue that takes place between the higher and lower selves of the individual.

The Bhagavad Gita is the supreme scripture; none are equal to it. Even the Upanishads that are extensively cited in the Gita are not its equal, because they are only philosophical and the Gita is the perfect synthesis of philosophy, practical dharmic life and yoga.

The Gita should be the daily study of the aspirant to liberation, because it describes the attitude, the entire psychology, of the person who is going to attain liberation. Many of the deluded and fraudulent “yogis,” “masters” and “avatars” that abound in India and throughout the yoga world would not succeed if people looked at them with the perspective of the Gita on what is the real nature of enlightenment.

The razor’s edge path to the Supreme is extremely difficult to traverse because of the many ways in which our clouded and deluded mind can lead us astray or spoil our needed focus. The Gita alone keeps correcting us and holding us on the right path—provided that we do not approach it with preconceived, sectarian ideas.

As I have pointed out, the teachings in the Gita are those of the Sankhya philosophy, as were the teachings of Paramhansa Yogananda. According to Sankhya (and therefore Yogananda), an avatar is a totally liberated jiva who has attained such perfect union with Brahman that all differences (but not distinctions) have been erased between his consciousness and that of Brahman. When such a one is born—not by karmic compulsion but through his liberated will—practically speaking that jiva is an avatar, a manifestation of Divine Consciousness which acts and speaks through him.

Since the real question is “Who am I?” it is a waste of time to go round and round as to who Krishna or anyone else might be.

In Gaudiya and other Vaishnava traditions, the view seems to be that the devoted bhakta eventually goes to Vaikuntha to be eternally with the Lord, whereas they believe the lesser, advaita way of doing things will leave the
advaitin to merge with “the void/Brahman” instead of enjoying Vaikuntha. On the other hand, Advaita Vedanta seems to advocate that all will essentially merge with Brahman, regardless of the path. Could you help explain your views on all this? I am feeling somewhat lost in the big city that Hinduism can be.

You are right, Hinduism is “the big city,” but that is because it is all-embracing. Every possible truth and view of that truth was long ago set forth by the sages of India. However, in contemporary India we can find a lot of errant nonsense, for some parts of the big city have become slums and others are really outside the city limits even if they appear to be inside.

The truth as set forth in the Upanishads and the Bhagavad Gita is the same: the goal of the individual is to unite with Brahman the Absolute. Then, like Brahman they can do/be whatever they like. Just as Brahman is both with and without qualities and both with and without form, so they can be. To say that one is superior or more ultimate than the other is to miss the point: Brahman is beyond such distinctions, for Brahman is absolutely ONE. In fact, Brahman transcends anything that can be said about It. The wise seek the Goal and leave the talk to others. As they say in the American South: “The empty wagon rattles the most.”

The Upanishads and Gita affirm the eternal, divine nature of the Atman-Self. It, too, is part of Brahman. This perspective is essential to right understanding of any philosophical point.

You mention both the personal and impersonal aspects of God in an article. You say that since Brahman can manifest as the transient universe, then obviously he can manifest as a deity (according to the particular devotee) as well. I certainly agree with you. My only thing is, the existence of the personal God in that way seems dependent upon an individual to perceive and need such a thing.

Brahman and the jiva are really one and inseparable and in a sense interdependent. Consequently as the jiva moves toward the Goal, whatever it needs will come to it or arise in its consciousness.

Are paths like bhakti and the impersonal advaitic path of yoga and meditation equal? Do they all lead to the same thing?

Certainly, because there is only the One to realize. The Bhagavad Gita affirms this unequivocally. Yoga is advaitic, but not Advaita. Yoga, like the Yoga Sutras, is based on Sankhya philosophy.

Are a personal God and the impersonal Brahman on the same level, or is one more ultimate than the other?
There is only The One. Our distinctions rise from our limited, unenlightened consciousness. As the verse says:

This is the Complete; That is the Complete.
The Complete has come out of the Complete.
If we take the Complete away from the Complete,
Only the Complete remains.

This can be applied to many things, including Saguna and Nirguna Brahman.

I experience “pressures” in my body—mostly in the third eye area. It gets quite intense sometimes, for example, when I read your web site—expanding the pressure through my forehead, etc. I have not found anyone to help me understand this and wondered if this may be something written about that I have not discovered yet. It seems to happen randomly, sometimes throughout the day. But I seem to have an effect on it when I open to spiritual matters. I cannot say that it is painful, especially if I “breathe” it or kind of “ride it.” I sometimes experience vibration or radiation in my throat chakra—sometimes along with this. Would you address this? I am grateful for any reply.

I understand your situation. In my beginning years as a yogi I sometimes had such intense energy and pressure sensations in the third eye that I more than once lay down on my bed and waited to die, I was so sure that my brain would rupture. But I did not die, and the sensations stopped after a while.

The mind and the body are not just interrelated, they are fundamentally the same thing: cosmic energy in conditioned form. That is why when we see appealing food the digestive system immediately reacts and gets ready for digesting food.

Our mental (manas) and intellectual (buddhi) levels can be profoundly and dramatically affected by our thoughts according to the nature or primary vibration of those thoughts. Therefore when a person begins thinking more and more of spiritual matters, and especially meditation, reactions may be experienced on the physical level. Many people are perplexed or even worried about this, and sad to say most religious and even yoga teachers have no understanding of it.

Such sensations are evidence of a change in the vibratory structure of our body and mind. They are normal and natural—for the yogi. Sometimes the energy sensation can be very intense, but no harm results, and in time the process transmutes into a subtler form until it becomes purely intellectual, resulting in insights and intuition.

Strong creatures eat weaker ones day and night. How can one say God is great? The world is seen to be very painful. If God is the creator, why did He create like this?
What God permits is not the same as what God wills. God manifested the creation many creation-cycles ago as a perfect entity, but humans have spoiled it by their misdeeds and murderous ways and corrupted the lesser forms of life as well. The individual human life and the cosmic life are interrelated. Just as a human being carries his karma along with him, and that karma determines what his future lives will be, so it is with the world. Humans have progressively caused the creation to be increasingly flawed and painful. Until they change themselves and thereby change the state of the world, things will keep on as they are or get worse. The choice is ours, not God’s. That is what free will is all about.

If I kill another person for money and feel good about it and believe I am right, does that mean I will have no bad karma? If I cheat another person for money and believe it is justified and that I am right, does that mean I will have no bad karma?

No, it just means that you are a murderer and cheat that has no conscience. It also means that you are going to be cheated and killed in the future by someone who does not think he has done wrong. And it will not stop until you become a changed person.

Buddha said that karma is determined by the mind. That is, if we do something accidentally we are not guilty; but if we intend to do a wrong, then we are guilty, whether we succeed or fail in carrying out our evil intention.

The day our Original Christianity and Original Yoga website was launched we received an irate communication from one of those Western “Hindus” that believe they are more truly Hindu if they hate other religions—especially Christianity—and deny that Jesus ever existed. Here is our answer:

If Jesus did not exist, how is it that great spiritual masters of India have seen him in visions, researched and proved not only his existence but his having lived in India, and expounded his teachings as being the same as (not just consistent with) Sanatana Dharma?

Sri Ramakrishna, his great disciple Swami Brahmananda, Swami Rama Tirtha, Swami (Papa) Ramdas, and Paramhansa Yogananda all saw Jesus in visions—some more than once, and some actually spoke with him. Sri Ramakrishna kept a picture of Jesus in his room at the Dakshineswar Kali Temple. He also told several of his disciples that he had seen in visions that they had been disciples of Jesus. Both Swami Rama Tirtha and Swami Ramdas had visions of Jesus while staying at Vashishtha Guha north of Rishikesh in the cave where Jesus had lived for a while, though they did not know that fact at the time of their visions, but only learned it later.

Swami Abhedananda and Swami Trigunatitananda, disciples of Sri Ramakrishna, at separate times journeyed to Ladakh and verified the existence of ancient
Buddhist texts recording the life of Jesus. Swami Trigunatitananda was also shown two paintings of Jesus by the Buddhist monks, and had a copy of one made from his memory when he was living in America as a Vedanta missionary. Swami Abhedananda, also for a while a Vedanta Missionary to America, printed a translation of the part of the Buddhist text on Jesus and defied the British government’s ban on Nicholas Notovitch’s *Unknown Life of Jesus Christ* which contained the complete text. He not only brought copies of the book from America, he had an edition printed in India. He also wrote the pamphlet: *Why a Hindu Accepts Christ and Rejects Churchianity*.

A friend of mine gave me a copy of a letter Sri Ramakrishna’s disciple Swami Saradananda wrote to her aunt, Edith Grey, who had gone to India and become a disciple of Sri Ma Sarada Devi, the consort of Sri Ramakrishna. Miss Grey had written to the Swami about how she felt equal devotion to Sri Ramakrishna and Jesus. The Swami wrote back: “Our Master assured us that they were just the same.”

Later in the twentieth century the Shankaracharya of Puri, Jagadguru Bharati Krishna Tirtha, found what he called “proof positive” of Jesus actually having lived in the Govardhan Math, the present math of the Order of Shankara in Puri. He even wrote a book on the subject, which he found had been thrown away by an illiterate servant when he returned from his world tour, and which he did not live long enough to rewrite.

Before that, Swami Sri Yukteswar Giri, the guru of Yogananda, wrote a study in which he proved that Jesus had lived in India and that his teachings were identical with the Sanatana Dharma which he adopted and preached in the West. That was borrowed by a Christian missionary who refused, in Sri Yukteswar’s lifetime and even after his death, to return it.

Paramhansa Yogananda wrote and lectured extensively on the teachings of Jesus. In fact, at his first speech given in this country he announced that he would be holding classes in Boston which would consist of three half-hour periods each: one on the teachings of the Bhagavad Gita, one on the teachings of the Gospels, and one demonstrating that their teachings were the same. His two-volume commentary on the Gospels, *The Second Coming of Christ*, is perhaps the only complete and reliable presentation of Jesus’ real teachings—which are mostly unknown to Churchianity.

Swami Prabhavananda of the Hollywood Vedanta Society wrote *The Sermon on the Mount According to Vedanta* and often referred to Jesus in his lectures.

Swami Akhilananda of the Boston Vedanta Society wrote a valuable study called *Hindu View of Christ* and also cited Jesus as a spiritual authority. (Both Vedanta Societies celebrated Christmas, too, as does Belur Math, the world headquarters of Ramakrishna Mission.)

As a final example which I witnessed myself, my beloved Swami Sivananda, founder of the Divine Life Society in Rishikesh and perfect example of Sanatana Dharma, not only wrote and published a life of Jesus for popular circulation and celebrated Christmas each year, in the daily evening satsang kirtan he led us all in
singing: “O my Jesus, O my Jesus, Lord Jesus: Come, come to me! O my Mary, Mother Mary, Virgin Mary: Come, come to me!” And often at the end, along with other exclamations in praise of Dharma, he would call out: “Jesus Bhagavan: ki jai!”

All these great yogis of India did not just believe Jesus existed, they honored him as a liberated son of God and considered him a Sanatana Dharmi just like themselves.

Our irate correspondent concluded with the statement: “Hinduism/Yoga is not Christianity.”

We agree. But like so many Westerners he has it all backwards. Sanatana Dharma and Yoga are not Christianity, but authentic Christianity is indeed Sanatana Dharma and Yoga and not Churchianity at all.

In response to our recent blogposting about the reality of Jesus in the experience of India’s great yogis, we received a most heartening letter from India. I want to share parts of it with you and my reflections on it for I feel it presents the most authentic perspective on these matters.

“I agree with the contents of the blog that the truth of Christ’s coming should not be doubted. In fact, if someone whom I can accept as a good Christian were merely to tell me Christ is for real, I would believe him. I would tell him that my mother, a practising Hindu, taught me that long ago, and had read out his parables to me in my childhood!! Christ is as real to me as my mother.”

More than one person has told me that they never met anyone who really understood Jesus until they went to India. My doctor told me that the only meaningful discourse he ever heard about Jesus was given by a sadhu in South India one Christmas. One of my most valued acquaintances made in India was a French lady who told me: “You will be surprised to learn why I am in India. My local Catholic priest sent me. He told me: ‘You will never understand the Gospel of Saint John if you do not go to India and study the religion there.’” She did so, became a disciple of Swami (Papa) Ramdas, and later permanently moved to India and became a resident of Sivanandashram in Rishikesh. It is my conviction that intelligent belief in and understanding of Jesus is impossible without the perspective of Sanatana Dharma. (In fact, I don’t think any valid religion can be comprehended without that perspective.) Our friend continues:

“I already believe. But I am not alone. It is a natural way for most of my fellow-Hindus. Sri Ramakrishna and Swami Sivananda were representative Hindus who gave all faiths their due. Though they were Hindu spiritual giants, it is not because of them that the ordinary Indian people are magnanimous in religious belief. The Sanatana Dharma (even in the form of Hinduism) is beginningless and endless. It is a way of life, a way of thinking for the Hindus, of which the above-mentioned sages were spokespersons. Everyone has freedom of faith and worship in India, that is its ageless tradition of openness and religious egalitarianism.

“On the reality of Christ’s advent, therefore, and his ascension, there can be no
doubt.”

This is the unique glory of India—only there have people’s minds and hearts been fully opened to Reality. I often comment to people that it is all very well for people of various religious backgrounds to say that all religions are true and lead to the same goal, but only Indian religion teaches that as a real principle. Absolutely those great souls mentioned are representative of Sanatana Dharma, not unusual or unique. I know, because I received my faith in Jesus from India. In the university I had come to completely disbelieve in Christianity and therefore in Jesus. But when I read the Bhagavad Gita and Paramhansa Yogananda’s *Autobiography of a Yogi* I gained real faith in Jesus, but not in the religion that betrays him in his name. The more I understood Dharma, the more I understood Jesus, and the less regard I had for Churchianity.

Our friend then asks:

“What would interest me is to know whether you and the followers/monks of ocoy.org would also keep pictures of Sri Ram/Siva in their rooms like Sri Ramakrishna or sing the name of the Hindu god(s) like Sri Sivananda in their ‘Light of the Spirit’ monastery, in line with the Hindu sages you have cited. And it is my fervent hope that they would.”

I really had to smile when I read this, because I knew exactly what our friend was wanting to know. Quite some time back when I was in Delhi an Indian friend asked me to go with him to visit some “open-minded” and “ecumenical” Christian monks who were “friendly” to Hindu Dharma. I had my doubts, but I went—and got my doubts confirmed. The abbot was very gracious and showed us around, commenting in the chapel that quite a few Hindus sometimes came to their church services and appreciated them. Then we went to the monastery’s common room where all the monks were in conversations with one another, and the abbot and the senior officers of the monastery began talking with me. Again, the abbot remarked about how “open” Hindus were to their Christian worship. So I replied: “But tell me, Father, what would you do if one of those Hindus said to you: ‘Father, I have worshipped your Christ; will you now come and worship my Krishna?’ What would you do? Would you go worship Krishna?” His response? NONE. Literally he acted like I had never spoken those words. So I asked the same thing. No reply. A third time I asked, and he just turned to one of the monks and began a conversation as if I were not even there and had not spoken a word. My Indian friend was shocked at this revelation of the hypocrisy of the “good fathers.”

There is indeed in our office a picture of Shiva (Umapati Shankar) and Sri Rama (Ayodhya Pati Ram). At first in writing this I listed every holy image in our office, but the list was much too long to impose on you, so I encapsulate it in this way: In our office there are twelve Christian images, nine Buddhist images, and eighteen Hindu images. Yet they are all images of the One Reality and we reverence them all. Such sacred depictions can be found throughout our monastery, and outside as well, among them many photographs of saints—Hindu, Buddhist, and Christian. Yet, as I say, to us it is all ONE.
I would like to mention here that we have daily satsang in the late afternoon at which we always have three readings from spiritual texts. Right now we are going through: *Man’s Eternal Quest*, a book of talks by Paramhansa Yogananda, *The Christian Gnosis*, by Charles W. Leadbeater the renowned Theosophist and founder of the Liberal Catholic Church, and *Philosophy of Gorakhnath*, by Akshay Kumar Banerjea. I want you to understand from their nature that our approach is not a mere tinge of the East.

It has been our custom for years to attend and participate in (not just observe) Buddhist and Hindu temple worship. Of course we will sing the Names of God in kirtan. There is only one God, and he is all his “many faces.” Any religion that makes people sectarian is a false and destructive religion.

There is more:

“And further that the Light of the Spirit Church has unequivocally and publicly repudiated the principle and practice of proselytisation. In fact, an assertion/clarification prominently in the web-site to the above effect would go a long way to confirm the ‘Sanatana Dharma’ aspect of the monastery and the ethical integrity of the ‘Original Christianity’ that is professed, and set the Light of the Spirit Church apart from the ‘Churchianity’, besides drawing more and more readers to your excellent blogs.”

This is most important. Each person’s religion is a matter of his swadharma as expounded in the Gita. And swadharma is determined by samskara and karma. No one should ever try to persuade someone to adopt any religion. Each person must decide this for himself. Nor should anyone try to dissuade a person from following a path he feels drawn to. After all, see how many paths Sri Ramakrishna followed and discovered that each one led to Brahman.

There is really only one ultimate religion: Brahmajnana or Atmajnana (knowledge of God or knowledge of the Self, however a person prefers to view it). Without jnana, religion becomes superstition and distraction. Yet if that is what a person likes he should be free to follow it. After all, he has eternity to learn for himself the way to God. This is part of the divine plan.

Do you want to know if we believe that Jesus is the only way or the best way? NEVER. God is the only and the best way. How we reach God is part of our personal evolution. Great world-teachers like Krishna, Buddha and Jesus only show the way to God. As Buddha said, they are a finger pointing to the moon. It is good to learn and apply the wisdom of great Masters, but God must be the focus of our search, for Patanjali says: “He is Guru even of the ancients.”

Why then are we followers of Jesus? (The word “Christian” has been so ruined, just as the Nazis spoiled people’s impression of the Swastika, that I don’t much like using it.) It is not my place to answer for others, so I will say this:

1) I follow Jesus because I believe he was a teacher of Sanatana Dharma alone and that his teachings cannot be understood apart from that Dharma.

2) What is distinct about Jesus is the fact that he presented spiritual life in terms that Occidentals could relate to and that were in accord with their
psychology. He was bringing the eternal wisdom of India to the West that simply had no background to help them in relating to it. Therefore he translated those truths into forms they could comprehend. The Trinity is a prime example. Instead of Brahma, Ishwara and Shakti he used the terms Father, Son and Holy Spirit—not as perfect as the Sanskrit, but still conveying the concepts.

3) Knowing that the traditional Samskaras of Indian religion were very important as a foundation and fostering of spiritual consciousness, he created the Sacraments to accomplish the necessary openings and empowerments in forms the West could relate to and benefit from, even if their philosophical understanding was not perfect. This is the living heritage of Jesus still remaining in the world, however poorly it has been understood through the centuries. For those outside the spiritual environment of India these rites have an inestimable value in imparting spiritual stability and perseverance. I have written about this at length in The Yoga of the Sacraments. Again, no one thing is absolutely necessary in spiritual life except the aspiration to liberation (moksha). As Sri Ma Anandamayi often said: “The desire for God is the way to God,” and: “There are many ways to the One Way.”

And in conclusion:
“I may kindly be pardoned if I have written in ignorance but I felt like telling you what I have and so I out-ed with it!”

And I hope you will forgive my long response! But I wanted to leave no doubts as to our position on these things. Christian bigots and Hindu bigots will both hate what I have written, but hate is their favorite pastime, and that is their choice. We, dear friend, can join hands and hearts and walk together along the path from the unreal to the Real, from darkness to the Light, and from death to Immortality.

Please explain the twenty-four elders standing around the throne of God.
It is most important to realize that the book of Revelation is purely spiritual symbolism and not prophecy at all. It is a book of mystical teaching, relating especially to the esoteric experiences of the evolving consciousness. Paramhansa Yogananda stated that it is a book of yogic wisdom, and he wrote a (yet unpublished) commentary of one thousand manuscript pages to expound that fact.

One of the symbolic pictures given in Revelation is that of a throne in heaven around which twenty-four venerable persons known as presbyters are seated. The Greek word presbyter literally means “elder,” but in Christianity it referred exclusively to a priest. The elders are mentioned in chapters four, five, eleven and nineteen of Revelation.

Before I begin to tell what I consider their meaning to be, I want to point out that spiritual symbolism can usually be interpreted in many ways that are accurate and were intended to be applied. So what I am going to say is not the only way to understand this subject.

“Heaven” is the sahasrara chakra, the thousand-petalled lotus of the astral and causal brain. This is the highest center of consciousness in the human being and is
the point where Cosmic Consciousness is first experienced. The sahasrara is a reflection of creation, a miniature universe containing many levels of consciousness (existence). Consciousness Itself–Spirit–is the core of existence and is “he that sits upon the throne.” The twenty-four presbyters are the twenty-four cosmic principles of creation that are expounded in the original philosophy of India: the Sankhya philosophy on which yoga is based. They are also the twenty-four basic forms which consciousness can take in relative existence, and no doubt correspond to twenty-four intelligences that are the creators, supervisors and maintainers of all that is. They are given several names, none of which is sufficient to indicate their nature. This is because they are as ineffable as God whom they “sit around.” In our present level of evolution it is impossible for us to comprehend anything about them any more than we can comprehend God. But the ancient yogis of India, particularly Guru Gorakhnath, insisted that eventually every yogi will attain perfect comprehension of them as well as all else in creation. (See *Philosophy of Gorakhnath* by Akshaya Kumar Bannerjea.)

The twenty-four elders exist in each one of us as twenty-four centers of consciousness-power in the sahasrara chakra. In their midst is our spirit-self, the Atman, the divinity in each one of us, the jiva (individual spirit) that is essentially Shiva (infinite, cosmic Spirit).

Therefore meditation and japa done with the awareness centered in the sahasrara, is the way to enlightenment. That will bring about our own personal Revelation, the realization of the Self. (See *Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self* for more on this.)

Revelation 4:6 speaks of “a Lamb...having seven horns and seven eyes, which are the seven Spirits of God sent forth into all the earth.” What are the “eyes” and what are “the seven Spirits of God”?

In Ezekiel and Revelation various supernatural beings are described as being “full of eyes” to indicate that they are fully conscious, “eyes” symbolizing their awakened faculties of perception. In some esoteric writings “eyes” also symbolize the points of spiritual power and consciousness that the yogis call “chakras.” Although yogis mainly focus on seven chakras, we really have hundreds of chakras throughout our bodies, which is why the Bible speaks of “eyes” within and without, before and behind. But all of them are dependent on the seven major chakras.

Regarding the Seven Spirits of God, there is this from *Robe of Light*:

“These are the seven great creators who project and direct the universe. We read about them in the book of Revelation: ‘Grace be unto you, and peace, from him which is, and which was, and which is to come; and from the seven Spirits which are before his throne’ (Revelation 1:4). ‘These things saith he that hath the seven Spirits of God’ (Revelation 3:1). ‘And there were seven lamps of fire burning before the throne, which are the seven Spirits of God’ (Revelation 4:5). ‘In the midst of the elders, stood a Lamb as it had been slain, having seven horns and seven eyes, which
are the seven Spirits of God sent forth into all the earth’ (Revelation 5:6).

“These are the seven greatest and most highly evolved beings in existence, so near to Divinity that they might even be called the seven fingers of God since their consciousnesses are to so great a degree merged with God. Only the slightest tinge of separate consciousness remains in them so they may carry out the divine creative plan. Yet so nearly total is their oneness with God’s Consciousness that their wills are flawless reflections of the divine will and their actions are truly acts of God. With God as their inmost Guide, they project the entire universe from the most subtle to the grossest realms and enclose all conscious entities in those body vehicles which correspond to their individual levels of evolitional development. The principal thing to realize in all this is that in the final summation of things there is really only one source of the entire creation: God.”

I have practiced meditation for many years. But, I feel I only achieved a very little progress. I have tried several methods of meditation, but when one would seem to produce no progress, I soon got dissatisfied and changed from one method to another. Is it a must to have a personal guru who can provide me initiation? Can one do meditation without a guru but just practice through the guidance of books?

Certainly a person can learn and practice meditation without a guru in the present-day sense, though it is good to have the advice of a person with experience in meditation. Nevertheless, the way can be learned even from the written word. It is practice that matters.

It is first necessary to understand what indicates progress in meditation. Because yoga is being peddled like a kind of drugless drug, its salesmen want people to think that visions, revelations, and “kundalini experiences” are the purpose of yoga practice. But as Paramhansa Yogananda said: “The path to the Divine is not a circus.” Progress in meditation manifests as both the deepening and expansion of consciousness, as well as the purification and correction of the mind. Real meditation is basically the cultivation of spirit-consciousness, the knowledge of our true Self.

Without devoting a great deal of time to meditation very little benefit can be obtained. Nor can anything be attained if the practice is not correct. Furthermore, unless a person observes purity in thought, action, and diet, nothing can be attained in any practice. The Yoga Life is absolutely necessary for success in yoga. If you have not already done so, I urge you to carefully read Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self, paying special attention to Chapter Six: The Foundations of Yoga.

Since there is a Law of cause and effect or the Law of Karma is it still necessary to pray and ask God for something like world peace, elimination of our financial problems, good health, etc.? If God fulfills or answers one’s
prayer does it not mean that God is breaking the Law He himself has created?

It is absolutely true that God, being all-knowing and all-caring, need not be constantly pestered with prayers. Sadhana is the supreme prayer in action. However, prayer itself is an action, and therefore a producer of karma. So prayer can result in various benefits since praying in a positive manner generates positive karma. It is still a matter of the law of cause and effect. Also, prayer is often a sending of positive thoughts and vibrations to those who need help. All my life I have seen blessings and even miracles result from prayer. Ultimately, though, it is up to the spontaneous feeling of the individual and not to be dictated by anyone else.

Can I follow the right path without a spiritual leader to initiate me and guide me?

It is certainly necessary to have a guru, but everyone already has one: God himself. Patanjali very clearly says about God (Ishwara): “He is guru even of the ancients” (Yoga Sutras 1:16). This indicates that at no time in creation has there been any other guru than God.

But how can we get in touch with this guru? Through meditation. And for that we certainly need teaching either from a personal teacher or from studying the teachings of a good instructor in meditation. It is beneficial to have someone experienced and qualified to answer questions about practice and experiences in meditation, but Vyasa and Shankara both say that yoga itself becomes the teacher when our practice is correct and prolonged. I know this to be true. My first meditation teachers in America were extremely limited, though sincere, and often could not answer my questions. But in time my meditation experience gave me the needed answers. Later in India I met highly qualified teachers, all of whom answered my questions and gave me practical instruction—never with the demand that I make them my guru. They were rare for India, that is true, but they were there and I found them by the grace of the One Guru. Twice I fell into the guru-trap, but both times I escaped through the mercy of that One Guru, and learned my lesson permanently.

I read your article “Rainproofing Our Mind” about desire and spiritual liberation. It raises this question: How can someone live without desire in the world today?

“Desire” is the usual translation of the Sanskrit word kama, which means egocentric desire, passion or lust. Desire arises from the ego and is always “I want” with little regard for the principles of spiritual life or the ultimate consequences of fulfilling such desire. That is why the Gita says: “Renounce all your desires, for ever. They spring from willfulness” (Bhagavad Gita 6:24). And: “He who abandons all desires attains peace, acts free from longing, indifferent to possessions and free
from egotism” (Bhagavad Gita 2:71).

On the other hand, the wise person is to live by *sankalpa*—resolve and act of will and intention based on enlightened intelligence (*buddhi*). This is a manifestation of *icchha shakti*, the power of will (*ichcha*).

For example, someone can desire to become wealthy so they can have whatever they want and impress others and perhaps even have power over them. This is *kama*, and egotistic evil. Someone else may decide to do their best to become wealthy so they can repay personal debts and help others. I know of an entire family in India that is of this second type. The family members have united in forming the largest charitable trust in India. The individual members engage in many personal charities, as well. One whom I met, Sri Jagannath Roy, always traveled third class on the train, had only two changes of simple cotton clothes, and lived extremely frugally. When a friend of mine asked him: “Mr. Roy, why don’t you spend any of your money on yourself and your comforts?” he replied: “Then how would I be able to give that money to help others?”

“Getting ahead” in the usual sense is not a worthy intention, but success in doing good and benefitting others and enabling one to follow dharma more easily and effectively is laudable.

Desirelessness is attained only by the yogi. Therefore the Gita says: “When a man can act without desire, *through practice of yoga*;… when his heart is poised in the being of the Atman no bonds can bind him” (Bhagavad Gita 4:41). And: “He puts aside desire, offering the act to Brahman. The lotus leaf rests unwetted on water: he rests on action, untouched by action” (Bhagavad Gita 5:10). And most importantly: “The abstinent run away from what they desire but carry their desires with them: when a man enters Reality, he leaves his desires behind him” (Bhagavad Gita 2:59).

This is why we must heed the exhortation of Krishna to Arjuna: “Therefore, Arjuna, become a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46).

It is important for you to also consider the principle set forth by Jesus: “Seek ye first the kingdom of God, and his righteousness; and all these things shall be added unto you” (Matthew 6:33). This is not a promise—it is a law.

If everyone presently on this planet did not take birth again because they had attained liberation, does that mean the planet would be empty of human beings but only be occupied by flora and fauna? Is this condition possible?

Individual spirits (*jivas*) are coming into manifestation all the time in the most basic form and evolving upward in ever more complex forms throughout the cycles (*kalpas*) of creation until as human beings they attain liberation from earthly rebirth. And then, since this world is only the first of many levels (*lokas*) that comprise relative existence (*samsara*), they have many more creation cycles to keep evolving until they eventually attain total union with God (*Brahman*). So some are entering at one end and others are leaving at the other. It never stops. There has
never been a beginning to this process and there never will be an end. It is as eternal as God, because it is all the manifestation of the eternal God.

Is the faith and destiny of us human beings completely due to God’s will without anything to do with our karma? In other words, is it predetermined because we have no control? Is it just like a drama, a human being only an actor, but God being the author of the scenario?

In the universe, karma is the law of God. But we alone determine our karma by our thoughts, words, and actions. That is under our control completely.

God has built the theater, the cosmos, and equipped it. We have come into the theater by the actors’ entrance and come onto the stage by our own will. The drama is written by us by our own actions and karmic reactions. How it comes out is determined by us, no one else. Life is like a musical instrument that has been made by someone else, but how we play it and what kind of music comes out is up to us.

Even though we pray every day, it seems to me that without God’s will our prayer shall never be fulfilled. So what is the use of praying? Why shouldn’t we just surrender our fate to the will of God?

It is God’s will that we live in such a way that we fulfill our own prayers. But we can refuse to do that, and end up with a tangle of confusion instead of a worthwhile and worthy life.

We can ask the help of God in living rightly, and if our prayer is sincere we will receive divine assistance. God has not left us on our own, but we can be if we so choose. As Krishna explains in the Gita (3:5), no one can remain without action, as that is the nature of relative existence, but we can live according to divine, spiritual principles or ignorant, human whims. It is all up to us.

There are devotees who never ask God for anything, trusting him to guide and protect them. When they are sincere and devoted, not just trying to avoid using initiative or taking responsibility, it works. But if we consider the matter, we will see that this, too, is a form of action and taking charge—just in a different direction.

In the next life will we reincarnate in the same family or nationality as in this present life? Or will we be born in some other family, nationality or even a different religion?

I think by now you know my answer: It is all according to your karma, which includes your desire.

For nearly my whole life I have heard and read that the religion of India is what turned it from one of the wealthiest countries in the world into one of
the poorest in the world. What do you say to this?

A single word: Nonsense.

Vivekananda, upon his return to India from the prosperous West, said that after having been outside India every square inch of India’s soil was now a sacred place (tirtha) to him. He of course deplored India’s poverty, but he said: “The problem is not the religion of India, but the fact that it has not been followed.”

This is true, but there is a far more obvious reason for India’s economic plight at Vivekananda’s time and even past the midpoint of the twentieth century: one thousand years of rapacious foreign domination and oppression, including in the last three hundred years, deliberate sabotage of India’s economy. I will not start on that subject because it is too horrible and infuriating. But it is a matter of history and many of my friends in India have told me of their own experiences in this matter.

Why cannot people notice that after India gained Independence the economy began to rise—slowly, yes, but surely? And consider India now. It is emerging as one of the leaders in global economy! Why? Have the people of India abandoned Sanatana Dharma? Not at all. They practice it more openly and freely than ever before. Therefore India is rapidly progressing beyond a “third world” status. I have myself seen amazing changes since my first pilgrimage to India at the end of 1962. Others I know marvel at the tremendous strides they see in India every time they visit.

This is only to be expected, since: “In the beginning the Lord of beings created all men, to each his duty. ‘Do this,’ He said, ‘And you shall prosper. Duty well done fulfills desire like Kamadhenu the wish-fulfiller’” (Bhagavad Gita 3:10). Now that India is free both politically and spiritually, this is being demonstrated.

Do you believe that the Virgin Mary is an incarnation of the Holy Spirit?

It is our belief that the Virgin Mary is a perfect reflection or image of the Holy Spirit. Only in that sense is she an incarnation of the Holy Spirit. The same is true of Jesus: he is a perfect reflection-image of the Son, the Second Aspect of the Trinity. Both Mary and Jesus are divine, gods as are all spirits, but God alone is God.

Why are animals sacrificed at Kali temples in India? What is the purpose? Isn’t it against the principle of vegetarianism?

The killing of animals is always an offense against Life Itself. But in perverted religion throughout the world animal sacrifice is found. Only a misunderstanding of Kali could seem to justify such a terrible practice.

Holy Mother Sarada Devi absolutely forbade animal sacrifice at the time of Kali Puja at Belur Math. Sri Sri Ma Anandamayi also banned such barbarism. Animal sacrifice is not just a violation of vegetarianism, it is murder.
If I am not mistaken, the Bhagavad Gita says that to attain spiritual awakening it is mandatory to have a guru or spiritual master. . .

No; that is the usual interpretation, but it is incorrect. Krishna (Vyasa) tell us to seek out worthy teachers–acharyas. This is not same as the mythological guru figures of degenerate Hinduism. That is why the great master Swami Sivananda often said: “I abhor gurudom,” and Neem Karoi Baba: “I make devotees of God, not disciples.”

Is initiation necessary in the search for spiritual awakening?

Definitely not. Spiritual awakening arises from within the individual when a certain level of evolution is reached. This is why Buddha is referred to as Self-Awakened. The same is true of all of us.

There are a number of religious scriptures in the world. But some of them are in contradiction to one another. If these are all really divine revelations from God why then do different religions war with one another?

Scriptures are not divine revelations directly from God. Those that claim to be so are false and should be ignored. Rather, scriptures record the insights of those who have gained some experience of spiritual realities. The limitations of those authors naturally limit what they write. Their own understanding of their experiences may also be limited or even mistaken. That is why the Bhagavad Gita urges us to seek direct spiritual experience for ourselves. This is why the Gita tells us to become yogis. Without yoga there is no hope of full spiritual understanding, much less enlightenment.

Within Hindu religion ritual animal sacrifice is still being practiced in some parts of India, Nepal and Bali which quite contradict the teaching of ahimsa. How can we explain this?

Contemporary religion in India is often a mishmash of the most sublime truths and the most profound ignorance and superstition. Like the ant we must take the sugar and leave aside the sand. Many spiritual associations and ashrams follow the pure traditions of India’s enlightened sages, and these should be sought out. It is not philosophy we need, but our own inner experience through personal yoga practice.

What is meant by “messiah” versus “avatar”? How are Jesus and Krishna similar and how are they different? Are they both Messiahs? Are they Avatars?

“Messiah” is a Hebrew word meaning “anointed one” as does the Greek word
Christos from which “Christ” is derived. Almost always “messiah” refers to that single person whose coming was predicted by the Jewish prophets. He was expected to be both a spiritual and a political figure, both teaching and leading Israel. Many people have appeared over the centuries and been considered the Messiah by differing numbers of people.

Also, in Jewish mysticism “Messiah” refers to a supreme level of enlightenment and is also the name of the high spiritual realm in which those who have attained such enlightenment usually dwell and from which they may descend into lower worlds for the welfare of others. (This is the basis of the concept of Christ found in the Aquarian Gospel.)

An avatar according to Sankhya philosophy is someone who has attained total enlightenment and perfect union/identity with God and then returns to lower worlds for the upliftment of those living there.

Only God, Jesus and Krishna know their spiritual status, whatever their devotees may believe about them. Certainly Jesus taught some of the same things that Krishna did, but Jesus lived most of his life in India and would have known the Bhagavad Gita, as he also knew the teachings of Buddha.

One thing both Krishna and Jesus have in common is the exaggeration and misunderstanding regarding them on the part of those who claim to be their followers.

This we can know: both Krishna and Jesus pointed people to God. As Buddha said, a true teaching or teacher is like a finger pointing to the moon. The moon is the message—not the finger. Both indicated that Self-knowledge and God-knowledge are interdependent and fundamentally the same. Again: yoga is the path.

When the Self leaves the body at the time of death, who carries the memory of the karma, which is going to be the foundation for the next reincarnation?

Karma exists as energy impulses, waves or whorls in the astral and causal levels of the subtle mind body. They depart from the present body, remain for a while in the subtle worlds, then create the next body, enter into it and manifest through it.

What do you say to some pious individual who uses his or her “religion” like a weapon? If I am a believer in Sanatana Dharma, how do I defend myself against wing-nuts who have convinced themselves that I am going straight to hell? The relentlessness of the judgment is quite unbelievable, pretty psychotic and irrational, in my opinion. It actually has nothing to do with me! The next time I get called a godless heathen and a great disappointment to humanity, what do you think I should say?

First: Congratulations. If you weren’t on the right track those on the wrong track would not be so hysterically fearful of you and your spiritual path. Whenever
emotion enters into a matter, the emoter is in the wrong. This is a principle I have found to be true for over fifty years when encountering the self-righteous and the willfully ignorant. The Sanskrit proverb, *Satyam Eva Jayate*: Truth Alone Conquers (or Is Victorious) is true. Evil and ignorance (basically the same thing) are terrified of simple truth. The evil and the ignorant therefore hate and fear spiritual reality in any form. So their reaction to you is a trustworthy assurance that you are in the light they detest. You are right: it has nothing to do with you. It is all on their shoulders and in their hearts.

All right: what should you do?

1) Remember that you were just like them in some previous life and reacted just like they are doing now. Otherwise this would not be happening to you. It is part of your karmic purification and will benefit you if you respond wisely.

2) Realize that they are suffering. Their religion is a hell in which they are trapped. Oh yes, they hold to it and exalt it, but that just shows what a terrible trap they are in.

3) Be aware that their reaction to you may be a sign of their own awakening—an awakening they do not like, but which you can assist if you always respond to them calmly, reasonably and even with humor, unless that sets them off even more—you will have to be cautious with this. I have seen the most argumentative and obnoxious people turn completely around in a short time and change permanently in their thinking.

4) Yogananda said foolish people argue and wise people discuss. Never argue or let them draw you into their emotionality. Be very firm about this. Just speak quietly and to the point. Say what you have to say and then be silent.

5) Consider if the situation requires silence from the very first. Sometimes you have to refuse to even speak with such people.

6) On occasion you have to just leave and make it clear that you will not be around in the future if the unpleasantness persists.

7) Do your best to love them throughout. If you can’t love them, try to respect them. If you can’t do either of these, then fake it.

These seven points are not easy, but by following them, I have lived to see people (including my parents and some relatives) who declared me crazy and a devil-worshipping heathen come to believe in reincarnation and karma themselves and even consider their former beliefs foolish and false. Others have kept to their ideas but decided that God must somehow accept mine. And the incurables have not bothered me because I have not given them a chance. There is no place in my life for them.

Again: Congratulations. You are going to manage just fine, because truth does prevail and conquer.

**What is the best time to meditate?**

Whenever you can and do meditate—that is the best. However, it is my
experience that the Brahmamuhurta, around 4:00 a.m., is the best because the mind is calmest then. Here in the monastery we meditate from 4 to 7 each morning and prefer that. Some people find they meditate best in the evening, so it is really an individual matter.

I would like to advise you to meditate for at least three hours in a single session whenever you can manage. Once you can sit for three hours you can sit for much longer.

**How can I succeed in maintaining brahmacharya? It is not easy.**

Whenever we begin any positive endeavor it is only natural that opposition to it will arise, both from without and within. However, “greater is he that is in you, than he that is in the world” (I John 4:4). That is, your pure spirit is greater than any force out in the world, and also greater than those aspects of your own makeup that are also “in the world.” Perseverance is needed as well as careful vigilance to see that no subtle elements are entertained in the mind that will lead to endangering brahmacharya. Often delusion wears a mask so the nature of its presence is not recognized.

This I can assure you positively, three factors are crucial in the matter of brahmacharya: environment, diet and meditation. Without purity of all three there is simply no hope.

The environment must be free of all elements that are incompatible with perfect brahmacharya both physically and mentally. This includes anything that coarsens our mind and senses, even if not specifically related to sex. It also includes people who are coarse and sexually oriented. As Yogananda often said: “Company is stronger than will power.”

The diet must be very pure and truly healthful. Merely being vegetarian or vegan means very little as there is a lot of junk food that has no animal elements. Nevertheless, all animal protein should be avoided in any degree, both animal flesh and animal glandular secretions—i.e. dairy. Gandhi had a tremendous struggle to achieve and maintain brahmacharya. He found that milk of any kind was very detrimental to brahmacharya. Sugar, caffeine and chemical additives are enemies to health as are mind-altering drugs, including nicotine.

Most important is meditation—as much as is practical and sensible.

Also, to keep the mind where it should be, continuous mantra japa is absolutely essential. Without it nothing can be done with the mind. From Swami Sivananda’s own sacred lips I heard the teaching that of all methods to preserve brahmacharya, japa is supreme. For his written instructions on brahmacharya go to the website: [http://www.dlshq.org/download/download.htm](http://www.dlshq.org/download/download.htm). Book 33 in the list is *Practice of Brahmacharya*. Download it or read it online.

Be a 24/7 yogi. If you succeed in this, then your life will be directed by divine grace and your spiritual future will be assured.
I want to convert to Christianity—can you help? I am a Hindu.

If you want to convert to the religion popularly called “Christianity” then you need only find a church and join it.

If, however, you want to be a follower of Jesus Christ, then like him you must become a dedicated student and practicer of Sanatana Dharma. According to the Bhavishya Maha Purana, a book of Kashmiri history, when a king of Kashmir met Jesus in the Himalayas and asked him about himself, Jesus told him: “I hail from a land far away, where there is no truth… the land of mleccha darkness.” This proves that Jesus did not believe in Judaism. Nor, as can been seen from the whole account of this conversation (see The Christ of India), did Jesus teach Churchianity. Rather, he learned and taught the pure principles of Sanatana Dharma. His disciple Thomas did the same, as you will see by reading The Apostle of India section in The Christ of India.

Please study the following: 1) The eleven principal Upanishads: Isha, Kena, Katha, Prashna, Mundaka, Mandukya, Taittiriya, Aitareya, Chandogya, Brihadaranyaka, and Shvetashvatara, as well as the commentaries written on them by Shankara and Ramanuja. 2) The Bhagavad Gita. 3) The Yoga Sutras (Yoga Darshana) of Patanjali. If you do so, then you will know what Jesus really taught, because they were studied by Jesus himself.

The books by Swami Sivananda of the Divine Life Society are also invaluable, especially the ones about sadhana. Many of them are posted online and can be downloaded for free. (Go to http://www.dlshq.org/download/download.htm.)

It may seem strange to you that I have answered your question as I have, but it was my interest in the real teachings of Jesus that led me to India and its eternal wisdom. Only there did I come to understand what Jesus really was and what he really taught.

I hope you will do the same.

Jesus lived around 2 BC to 33 AD. Adi Shankaracharya (who founded the Govardhan Math) lived between 788 AD and 820 AD. In your website it is mentioned that Jesus lived in Govardhan Math. How can it be?

Quite simple. Shankaracharya lived sometime between 500 and 300 BC.—so I was taught as a young monk by more than one sadhu of Joshi Math, the “home base” for all members of the Giri branch of the Dasanami Order, and I have never had cause to doubt them.

A later dating for Shankara is simply without basis and is from the influence of Western “scholars” and those in India who are influenced by them. Like the fabricated “Aryan Invasion of India,” such a dating is intended to both deny the ancient character of Sanatana Dharma and to imply that the wisdom of India is post-Christian and perhaps even influenced by Christianity.

During his world tour in 1958, Jagadguru Shankaracharya Bharati Krishna Tirtha
stated to various people, including some of my acquaintances, that he possessed "historical proof positive" that Jesus had lived in the Govardhan Math. He told them that he had found evidence of this fact in the archives of the math, and had written a book on the subject which he intended to publish on his return to India.

Unhappily, when he returned to the math he was told that a servant had thrown away the manuscript thinking it was waste paper. That may or may not have been the situation, considering that in the nineteen thirties a Christian missionary had borrowed and destroyed the manuscript of a book by Swami Sri Yuketswar Giri, the guru of Paramhansa Yogananda, in which he proved the same thing: that Jesus had lived in Govardhan Math and had been a student and teacher of Sanatana Dharma, a spiritual son of India.

A useful online source on this subject is: http://www.hindu-blog.com/2008/02/year-of-birth-of-adi-shankaracharya-509.html

What are the indications of purification of memory in the context of the Nerve of Memory?

In the Sri Sri Ramakrishna Kathamrita (English version: The Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna) we find the most authoritative teaching about both the nerve (nadi) and its indications. On March 9, 1884, a devotee named Mahimacharan asked Sri Ramakrishna: “Sir, why does a man become deluded by worldly objects?”

Sri Ramakrishna replied: “It is because he lives in their midst without having realized God. Man never succumbs to delusion after he has realized God. The moth no longer enjoys darkness if it has once seen the light.

“To be able to realize God, one must practice absolute continence. Sages like Sukadeva are examples of an urdhvareta. (A man of unbroken and complete continence. [A yogi in whom the sexual energy flows upwards.]) Their chastity was absolutely unbroken. There is another class, who previously have had discharges of semen but who later on have controlled them. A man controlling the seminal fluid for twelve years develops a special power. He grows a new inner nerve called the nerve of memory. Through that nerve he remembers all, he understands all.”

I am aware that some people are interested in this subject to improve their ordinary memory, but that is like seeking a king’s ransom in order to buy chewing gum.

Is an exoteric religious tradition necessary?

An exoteric religion tradition is certainly not necessary for everyone, but everything in this world is necessary and has a purpose.

There are two classes of human beings: 1) those that are mostly aware of external existence and therefore think and act with an almost total degree of outer awareness, motivation and response, and 2) those that are also aware of internal existence to such a degree that it affects their thinking and behavior to a marked
degree. They are mostly affected by psychological and even psychic awareness. Though living side by side, they live in two different—even contradictory—worlds. Origen called the first type Somas–Bodies, and the second kind Pneumas–Spirits.

For the externally-oriented people, exoteric religion is a necessity, for by continually bringing God and spiritual principles to bear on their outer material life they very slowly begin to spiritualize their thinking and living. This can take a lot of lives, but it does inevitably happen.

For the internally and psychically aware people, esoteric religion is a necessity for it furthers their growth into progressively higher awareness until they transcend this purely material life and become capable of passing into higher, subtler worlds where their evolution will be greatly accelerated. Until then, yoga can be the major factor for their development, not mere religiosity even if extremely “spiritual.”

So for some people exoteric religion is necessary and for others esoteric religion is necessary and some need both together. It is all a matter of karma, samskara and intuition, and must be determined by the individual, not some external person, factor or authority.

The prime necessity is that each person figure this out for himself while respecting the needs of others, however different they may be.

Can you please guide me as to how to increase the predominance of sattwa guna so as to move steadily in the path of devotion while continence is being practiced?

Three things are necessary to help the sadhaka increase in sattwa, and all are very simple and easy.

1) Follow a strict vegetarian diet (vegan is even better), for only that diet is sattwic, and since as the Chandogya Upanishad tells us that our mind substance is formed of the food we eat, we must have a sattwic diet always.

2) Carefully study and apply all the passages in the Gita which outline sattwa guna as it manifests in various aspects of the spiritual life, including diet.

3) Meditate regularly and for an adequate amount of time each day.

Success will be assured.

I am wondering if the So’ham mantra requires initiation.

The So’ham mantra does not require initiation because it is innate in each sentient being. Please see our publication Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self.

Are you Saint Thomas Christians or Hindus?

To us they are the same thing. Jesus lived in India from the age of fourteen until he returned to Israel when he was thirty. There he taught the dharma he had learned in India and incurred the wrath of the religious authorities who eventually
brought about his death by the Romans who were occupying Israel. His guru, Chetan Nath, a leading figure of the Nath Yogi Sampradaya, revived Jesus by his yoga powers and they returned to India where Jesus lived the rest of his life mostly in the Himalayas in Kashmir.

A short time after Jesus returned to India Thomas, one of his twelve apostles, also went to live in India, first in Kashmir and then in what is the present-day state of Kerala. He had many disciples who were called Ishannis (“of Isha”–Isha Nath being the Sanskrit name of Jesus as a Nath Yogi). The Ishanni Sampradaya was fully a part of Sanatana Dharma as were the sampradayas of other acharyas such as Shankara, Ramanuja, etc. All of the Ishannis were Brahmins who adhered strictly to Sanatana Dharma. Only after the coming of the Portuguese and other Europeans did the Ishannis become known as Saint Thomas Christians.

It is important to understand several distinctive characteristics of the Saint Thomas Christians who adhere to the original principles of Jesus and Saint Thomas:

1) They consider Jesus of Nazareth to be a perfected yoga siddha, a jivanmukta like the great acharyas such as Shankara. They honor him greatly as all sampradayas honor their founders, but they do not believe him to be God the creator or somehow the only savior of the world in whom people must believe to be “saved.”

2) Their beliefs are completely those of Sanatana Dharma, the religion of the ancient rishis of India as found in the eleven major Upanishads, the Bhagavad Gita and other scriptures.

3) Just as each sampradaya in India has distinctive features, mostly ritualistic, in the same way the Saint Thomas Christians are distinguished by the rituals instituted by Jesus and known as sacraments. However these rituals reflect and express the principles of Sanatana Dharma such as karma, rebirth and the divine nature of the Self in all human beings.

To understand the Saint Thomas Christians fully I recommend that you read The Christ of India which you can find on our website, ocoy.org. It is available in a print edition as well.

Is your Apostolic Succession from the Saint Thomas Christian Church in India?

Yes, we do have Apostolic Succession from the Saint Thomas Christian Church in India. Our succession comes from Saint Gregorios of Parumala who with Mar Julius Alvarez consecrated Joseph Rene Vilatte at the order of Ignatius Peter III, Patriarch of the Syrian (Jacobite) Orthodox Church in 1892. Archbishop Vilatte consecrated William Henry Francis Brothers in 1913. In 1967 Archbishop Brothers consecrated Joseph Anthony MacCormack. And in 1977 Archbishop MacCormack consecrated me.

Where is the Atman (soul) located in our body?
The Atman is everywhere in the body and beyond as the basis of the aura.

Should we focus on the Atman when we do meditation since the Atman is a part of God?
The object of meditation should be identical with the Atman itself. Otherwise the meditation will not lead to atmic experience (atmadarshana) or knowledge (atmajnana). This is extremely important for the yogi to realize. Otherwise a great deal of time and even an entire incarnation can be wasted. Please read Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self, which discusses this.

When we meditate should we close or open our eyes? Which one is better?
Closing the eyes is best because it removes visual distractions and reduces brain-wave activity by about seventy-five percent, thus helping greatly to calm the mind. A person who cannot meditate with closed eyes is in a pathological condition and needs the attention of an adept yogi he knows personally (not someone at a distance like myself) or even a neurologist.

Tell me, please, where is the Mother God in Saint Thomas Christian Dharma?
Where She was originally, we have no idea because all the books from the time of Saint Thomas were burned by the Portuguese. (See The Christ of India.) Jesus and the Virgin Mary certainly represent the divine masculine and divine feminine in God. It is sufficient for us that the basic scriptures of India present the concept of the Mother God. But to make up for what was destroyed we use The Aquarian Gospel of Jesus the Christ. There we find:

“And Spirit breathed, and that which was not manifest became the Fire and Thought of Heaven, the Father-God, the Mother-God.” (Aquarian Gospel 9:16)

“And Jesus went into an ancient plaza and taught; he spoke of Father-Mother-God; he told about the brotherhood of life.” (Aquarian Gospel 34:3)

“And in the tomb I will remain three days in sweet communion with the Christ, and with my Father-God and Mother-God.” (Aquarian Gospel 127:28)

I am full of doubts about my ability to realize the Self. What is the right course for me to follow?
First: Understand that since you ARE the Self, you cannot help but realize it. So relax and fear not.
Second: Become a yogi, for it is the nature and purpose of yoga to reveal the Self.
Third: Persevere.
It is said that reincarnation is due to our own karma and we alone are responsible for the past, present, and future life. But what part within every individual living entity actually can create words, thoughts, and deeds either good or evil? Is it the Atman (soul), mind, or a particular organ of the body?

Someone said that life is suffering. This means that every birth is accompanied by suffering. But what or who really suffers, the soul or Atman by getting rebirth (taking a new body after death), or what?

Presently we are functioning in and as a duality. Our Atman (Self) is encased in various bodies or koshas that are formed of vibrating energies of various frequencies. The Atman never acts, but only experiences. All action is done by the bodies; they alone create good and evil karma. But the Atman experiences the effects of the actions. That is why the Mundaka Upanishad says:

“Like two birds of golden plumage, inseparable companions, the individual self and the immortal Self are perched on the branches of the selfsame tree. The former tastes of the sweet and bitter fruits of the tree; the latter, tasting of neither, calmly observes. The individual self, deluded by forgetfulness of his identity with the divine Self, bewildered by his ego, grieves and is sad. But when he recognizes the worshipful Lord as his own true Self, and beholds his glory, he grieves no more. When the seer beholds the Effulgent One, the Lord, the Supreme Being, then, transcending both good and evil, and freed from impurities, he unites himself with him. This Effulgent Self is to be realized within the lotus of the heart by continence, by steadfastness in truth, by meditation, and by superconscious vision. Their impurities washed away, the seers realize him” (Mundaka Upanishad 3.1.1-3, 5).

I have come across the word “prana.” What is the relation between Atman and prana?

“Prana” means “life,” and is the vital energy, life-breath and life-force. One of the bodies in which the Atman is encased is the pranamaya kosha, the pranic body. It consists of vital forces and the subtle energy system that is the power behind the physical nervous system. It is a covering of the Atman, not the Atman itself.

What is “chitta”? What is the meaning of “when the mind and Self are one”?

A Brief Sanskrit Glossary defines chitta in this way: “The subtle energy that is the substance of the mind, and therefore the mind itself; mind in all its aspects; the field of the mind; the field of consciousness; consciousness itself; the mind-stuff.” This covers a lot of territory, but that is because in Sanskrit all the aspects of a thing are considered and included.

The meaning of the mind and Self being or becoming one is impossible to determine unless we know the word used for “mind.” For example, it could be
chitta, manas or buddhi. Certainly whatever word is used, it is based on the conviction that vibrating energy (shakti) is really one with consciousness (chaitanya), with spirit (Atman), that it is an emanation from the Atman even if only in the sense of a temporary illusion—not a reality but an idea or concept. In that case, when the Self is revealed the sadhaka realizes that everything is the Self and in that sense everything, not just the mind, “becomes” the Self. Another view is that the mind is truly an emanation of the Self, and that the Self withdraws the mind-thought into itself.

There is certainly a difference in saying that the mind and the Self are one or that the mind becomes the Self. I have read both, but they were English translations of Indian languages. So we must be very careful before we attribute a particular meaning to a teacher, even if we are quoting a translation of his words. Naturally, translations are done according to the level of the translator’s understanding and experience (which is often nil).

So what is the solution? To find out for ourselves by practicing meditation and experiencing the results. And even then, we may find that there are no words to accurately express our experience, and we will remain silent.

Will meditation alone improve one’s moral sensibility? Will one naturally move toward the good as one meditates?

If meditation alone would improve one’s moral sense or automatically move us toward the good, Patanjali would not have outlined the ten principles of yama and niyama for us:

1) Ahimsa: non-violence, non-injury, harmlessness
2) Satya: truthfulness, honesty
3) Asteya: non-stealing, honesty, non-misappropriativeness
4) Brahmacharya: sexual continence in thought, word and deed as well as control of all the senses
5) Aparigraha: non-possessiveness, non-greed, non-selfishness, non-acquisitiveness
6) Shaucha: purity, cleanliness
7) Santosha: contentment, peacefulness
8) Tapas: austerity, practical (i.e., result-producing) spiritual discipline
9) Swadhyaya: introspective self-study, spiritual study
10) Ishwarapranidhana: offering of one’s life to God

Although these are presented as prerequisites for meditation practice by Patanjali, it has always been considered that meditation can be practiced at the same time the aspirant is striving to embody these observances and non-observances. However, if these are not developed early on to a goodly degree, the yogi will fail to attain any significant realization.
What is the difference between Atman and jiva? Also do you believe that we are all one soul, or many living entities?

The Atman and the jiva are the same thing, though since Atman is derived from the root at, which means “I breathe,” you could say that Atman is the jiva in relative existence and experience, and the jiva is the pure spirit-Self. Still, they are the same.

There is only One Reality: Satchidananda Brahman, the Paramatman. Yet in a way beyond our comprehension there are a virtually infinite number of individual spirits or jivatmans existing within Brahman, absolutely one with Brahman. They are not separate from Brahman, but there is a very real distinction between them and Brahman—distinction, but not difference. Only those yogis who have attained Brahmajnana (atmajnana) can comprehend this.

The Ribhu Gita seems to say that the mind and its concepts are all unreal, that all is Brahman. Does this mean that they have no reality or that they are within Brahman?

It is important to realize that in the East a statement can mean many things simultaneously. Also the East believes that all verbal statements are only hints or approximations of the way things really are; that human beings are not at all capable of seeing or grasping the full truth of anything. Consequently words are always far from the full reality of anything. Please keep this in mind always when considering anything relating to the Eternal Dharma.

Brahman alone is real; everything is Brahman. This is the fundamental position of the enlightened. But they also tell us that all things are held in the consciousness of Brahman and their essential nature is consciousness alone. So there is a sense in which they are unreal, but remember that a dream or a hallucination is real as an experience.

I prefer the way Yogananda explained it. God is the Cosmic Dreamer, dreaming (holding in his consciousness) all things, and we are co-dreamers with him, living and moving in his dream as we dream the dreams of our individual lives. The reality or unreality of it depends entirely on what we consider to be the nature of reality. If we hold a materialistic view of existence, then in actuality all that we see or seem is unreal however much we “believe” it. But if we hold a spiritual (spirit-based) view, then everything is real, since God is real and God is All.

Do advaita and Buddhism teach non-existence upon liberation or nirvana?

This is another of those Eastern riddles!

Advaita teaches that all is One: Brahman. Since Brahman is existence itself, non-existence is impossible. In the Gita Krishna says to Arjuna: “That which is non-existent can never come into being, and that which is can never cease to be. Those who have known the inmost Reality know also the nature of is and is not” (Bhagavad Gita 2:16). Just before that he said: “There was never a time when I did not exist,
nor you…. Nor is there any future in which we shall cease to be” (Bhagavad Gita 2:12).

Mahayana Buddhism teaches the existence of the Buddha Nature within each sentient being. Therefore they exist forever. As far as the Theravadins are concerned, I do know that the monks of the Thai Forest tradition believe there there is an eternal part of each one of us that exists forever.

**Within your system of yoga, is time considered as linear or cyclic?**

The yogis of India have for centuries been assuring us that time, along with space, is an illusion, a mere appearance of a misperceived reality. They also say that time is both linear and cyclic according to how we look at it. They do not take it very seriously, because in the terminology of *The Maltese Falcon* time and space are really “the stuff of which dreams are made.”

**To someone who wrote asking how to cure the habit of negative thoughts.**

The solution to negative thinking is immersion of the mind in positive thought—specifically in meditation and japa. Regarding negative thought patterns, Shankara says: “They are dissolved along with the receptacle, the chitta…. Because they have no effect, they are not given attention, for when a thing is falling of itself there is no point in searching for something to make it fall.” I. K. Taimni says: “As the object of meditation continues to fill the mind completely there can be no question of emptying the mind.” It is simple. Not easy, but worth the effort.

**Someone wrote the brief message: I AM A SINNER AND I DO NOT DESERVE FORGIVENESS.**

All human beings have sinned and may sin, but they are not sinners by nature. Rather, they are divine, eternal spirits ever one with God. But many births in the material world have darkened their consciousness and they have forgotten their real nature.

No one needs forgiveness because God cannot be “offended” or “angered.” A religion which teaches he can be is demonic falsehood and should be abandoned. What is needed is awakening to actual spiritual realities. Buried within each one is the divine light, covered over so only darkness is presently perceived. Yoga is the means by which the holy light is uncovered and we know ourselves as we really are. Meditation and continual japa is The Way.

**To someone who wrote juggling all kinds of statements about how much better it would be to not believe in reincarnation and the problems such a belief caused.**
In the West the question about a belief is its utility, its plausibility, its acceptability (appeal) and its practicality. In the East it is a simple question of: Is it true? No other question really matters. That each person settles for himself.

Those who purify and refine their consciousness through yoga meditation have no problem, because their intuition and their own memories of past lives settle the question, as well as the testimonies and the research of many, many others.

Reading the words [about God as guru] in Soham Yoga a bell went off: the realization that the teacher we need to “introduce” us to God is him who already is within us and he comes into our lives when we “see” this. Am I right?

You certainly are. Along the way we often do need teachers—but not enslavers such as those who would have us believe that without them or their teachings we cannot know God—or even worse, those that would addict us to their “love” and “blessing” and insist on “loyalty” to them. God leaves us free at all times, and so does a true spiritual teacher such as Swami Sivananda and Paramhansa Nityananda. All true masters, including Patanjali, teach that God is the ultimate guru.

I eat meat, however I have decided to adopt a strict vegetarian diet for sixty days. If it does enable me to go deeper into meditation, I will never eat meat again. My question is, is sixty days long enough for me to experience a difference? Or how long (approximately) should it take for me to see results?

In yoga everything is individual, and that includes yogic diet. The present condition of your bodies, gross and subtle, determines your usual state and function of mind. Therefore I could not at all say when a perceptible change will come about from a vegetarian diet.

Many years ago I taught a two-week seminar on yoga at a university in northern California. A friend had been slated to teach, but asked me to take his place. I agreed to do so free of charge, on one condition: that everyone in the seminar would have to be purely vegetarian for the two weeks. This was worked out with the university and accepted by the nearly twenty students in the seminar. To my surprise, after only one week they had noticed such a difference in their minds—which included better functioning in their other classes and even one examination—that they declared they would be vegetarians for life. Three years later I met some of them in India, and they were still vegetarians.

For a really dramatic change I find that six months and three years are somehow magic numbers. By that I mean that after six months of being a vegetarian a very marked difference is noticed, and after a total of three years as a vegetarian the change is seen to be astounding.

The bacteria in the digestive tract of meat-eaters is anaerobic. Since oxygen is inseparable from evolving life, such bacteria are literal death-bearers, and one of the
reasons that vegetarians have been proven to have at least three hundred percent stronger immune systems than non-vegetarians. Anyhow, if a person becomes a strict vegetarian (no lapses and no cheating), after three years the bacteria in the digestive tract will have completely changed over to aerobic bacteria: oxygen-based and life-giving. This is, I expect, the reason for the three-year period.

So enter upon the Great Experiment and see what happens. This I can tell you: if you eat junk food, even if vegetarian, do not expect much improvement. And if you use nicotine or alcohol, both of which are much more harmful than meat, then who knows what will result?

This person wrote back and said that in a few days the benefit of a vegetarian diet became so evident that he did not need sixty days to decide that a vegetarian diet was the best for a yogi.

To someone who wrote describing many kinds of psychic experiences including astral travel and kundalini manifestations.

So many kinds of experiences are possible that even the yogis of India have not listed and classified them as to their character and value. For the yogi there is a single question: What is or was the lasting effect of an experience, if any? Did it impart knowledge and wisdom or did it leave me asking, “What happened to me?”

An experience may be real, but our response to it may be mistaken. For example, I knew a man who believed he was the present King of India because someone told him so in a dream. He would also dream someone was dead and would spend hours praying for their soul only to find out they were alive. Yet he never questioned the accuracy of his experiences. I knew a very intelligent woman who supposedly had a revelation that she was a part of the Divine Mother that had broken off (???) and incarnated as her, and her husband was the Archangel Michael who was born on earth to protect her.

Another question to ask is: Have I learned anything from this experience, or do I just have more questions? It is extremely important to approach these matters with great caution because it is so easy to misunderstand and come to a wrong conclusion about them.

Astral travel is certainly real, but whether or not it is beneficial is the question. I have known several people who astral traveled from childhood because in a previous life they had been forced to develop psychic powers including astral projection. In this life it caused them great confusion and had a negative effect on their health.

I grew up with people who were visionaries and spiritual clairvoyants, but they were very stable and understood everything. One of them was a great healer and miracle worker. On the other hand I have known others that were confused and ultimately harmed by taking their experiences seriously. Quite a few people have reported to me receiving “initiations” when out of the body, but they neither understood their experience nor learned anything from it.

I am telling you these examples to urge you to carefully analyze everything and
determine for yourself the character of your various experiences.

Do you have some kind of test to apply during these various kinds of experience? It is very important to do so. I recommend that you intone a mantra such as Om over and over and see what occurs.

I advise you to forget experiences that do not come with understanding, and put your attention on those that give you insight and practical knowledge. It is very common for psychic life to consist of both worthless and worthwhile events. Sand and white sugar look alike, but an ant knows which is which. So the yogis say to be like the wise ant and take the sugar and leave the sand.

To someone who asked about Saint Bartholomew the Apostle in India.

In ancient documents Ethiopia is often called “India,” as in the case of Saints Barlaam and Ioasaph. Saint John of Karpathos wrote a book of spiritual instruction entitled “For the Monks of India,” but he meant Ethiopia. It is much like Columbus thinking he had reached India, and the way Native Americans are still called “Indians” today.

To someone who wrote about vegetarianism.

This I can tell you, the longer you are a vegetarian the more crazy meat-eating appears. But a real vegetarian never pesters non-vegetarians, and those that carry on about how disgusted they are when they see meat are often wishing they could have some. People who are the real thing in any area of life are always content and keep quiet, never pestering others.

Peggy Dietz, one of Yogananda’s secretaries, told me that a woman who fancied herself a spiritual light in the Los Angeles area sometimes came with some of her followers to visit Yogananda on Sundays when people gathered with him in what had been the lobby of the hotel that then was the ashram. She would monopolize the event by carrying on and on about vegetarianism, finally declaring: “I have no meat in my body: I have never eaten meat in this life. I have no meat in my astral bodies: I have never eaten meat in any of my past lives, either!” She would go through this monologue every time, ending only with her departure. One time Yogananda went with her to the door and said goodbye. Then as she walked away from the building he turned to everyone there and said: “My God, I wish somebody would slip that woman a ham sandwich. It wouldn’t hurt her a bit and she would never know the difference!”

Do you believe that there are individual atmans? Also I wonder if you feel that jivas retain their individuality or merge in Brahman as Shankara believes?

Absolutely there are individual atmans, though they are all in eternal union with Brahman. There is no difference between them and Brahman, yet a distinction is
also eternal. This we cannot figure out intellectually but have to experience for ourselves through yoga sadhana.

The idea that everyone melts back into a cosmic lump is a misunderstanding. This is not the position of Shankara, although it is mistakenly attributed to him. In the Gita Krishna tells Arjuna: “There was never a time when I did not exist, nor you, nor any of these kings. Nor is there any future in which we shall cease to be” (Bhagavad Gita 2:12). So the distinction is eternal. The jivas retain their individuality forever, but their consciousness is merged completely in Brahman.

This is why the Yoga Darshana is based on Sankhya Philosophy and not on Advaita Vedanta. And why the Bhagavad Gita should be the daily study of the yogi to retain the correct perspective.

Is consciousness an attribute of the Self, or is it the Self?

Spirit is consciousness itself, and the Self is spirit. Consciousness is not an attribute, it is essential being, the nature of God himself. Consciousness does not depend on anything else for its existence, but is itself the basis of all things.

Also is the “I” the ego as Shankara believes or is the “I” the self as Ramanuja believes?

Both are correct. The ahankara, the ego, is the false Self—a mirage. The true Self is the eternally existent “I.” That is why Sri Ramana Maharshi said that it was good to say “I” a few times at the beginning of meditation to attune to the true Self.

Considering the occult anatomy of a human being, how do you feel about the principle: Brain=seat of mind, and mind=tool of Awareness?

The astral/causal brain, the Sahasrara chakra, is both the seat of the mind and the instrument of the mind. Mind itself has two levels in Sanskrit terminology: manas and buddhi. The manas is the sensory mind, the receiver of impressions relayed by the senses. The buddhi is the higher mind, both intelligence and intuition. The buddhi in its higher levels is so subtle that it is indistinguishable from spirit whose nature is pure consciousness. But of course in the philosophy of India everything ultimately is spirit, so we must not exaggerate the distinction between material, psychic and spiritual.

Can we underestimate the (physical, even subtle) healthy/sick condition of brain as the seat of mind?

We certainly can underestimate the condition of the brain in yoga. Frankly a lot of psychotic people in India, many of them sociopaths, claim enlightenment experiences and are believed. Actually, there is more gullibility shown in India
regarding these false yogis than anywhere else. You would think that centuries (millennia) of yogic knowledge would make the people of India more cautious than anywhere else in the world, but that is not the case at all. Of course in both East and West the disciples have an incredible ability to rationalize outrageous words and behavior on the part of their so-called gurus.

“Living in the abyss of ignorance, yet wise in their own conceit, the deluded go round and round, like the blind led by the blind” (Mundaka Upanishad 1:2:8).

“They be blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch” (Matthew 15:14) said Jesus, who had surely read (or heard) the Mundaka Upanishad in India.

Some say anyone can practice meditation and some say only initiated persons should meditate as it could be dangerous for some. What is true?

Over half a century of yoga practice and observation of other yogis has demonstrated to me that anyone can practice authentic, traditional yoga safely and with benefit. By “authentic” I mean what is taught in the eleven principal Upanishads (Isha, Kena, Katha, Prashna, Mundaka, Mandukya, Taittiriya, Aitareya, Chandogya, Brihadaranyaka, and Shvetashvatara), the Bhagavad Gita and the Yoga Sutras.

Initiation is not needed to practice such meditation. Other forms such as Tantra are dangerous even for those who receive an initiation into them. That, too, I have seen for half a century.

See Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self.

To someone who wrote asking about involvement in an avocation for the enjoyment of it.

Our lives are an unimaginably complex system composed of innumerable influences. Any simplistic view or opinion must by its very nature be irrelevant and often (usually) wrong. Karma is an irrevocable and all-encompassing law. Nothing can be done that is not in our karmic store, and nothing can be avoided that is. Certainly karma can be mitigated or altered, but it rarely is because the question does not arise until it is too late, anyway.

So we can all say: My Karma Made Me Do It. Especially when we realize that karma is purely psychological, not an outside force except in the sense that all things are external to our true Self, the spirit.

My advice is to enjoy your abilities and interests. Being creative and constructive is manifesting our divine image.

I am looking for a type of meditation that focuses on the heart in order to attain pure love: a loving path, a way to be purified in heart as Jesus said. Can
you help me in this?

It is important to realize that the heart is not a place in the body, but rather is all-pervading spirit-consciousness which certainly includes the heart region and even the physical organ, but is actually infinite in its potential.

All authentic yoga leads to the heart, for the heart is everything. Love is the very Consciousness of God. This is why Paramhansa Yogananda said that yoga must be practiced with devotion, the practice itself being a gift of loving aspiration toward God.

Please help me: In some religions if one commits a sin and then sincerely asks for forgiveness the same is granted. On the other hand in the Hindu philosophical thought, one has to keep on being reincarnated until all the Karmas have borne their fruits. How do we reconcile this?

Karma is a law: whatever we sow we reap–for every action there is an equal and opposite reaction. That is the way the universe is set up. However, we need to understand the nature of karma. Karma is not some blind force set loose in the universe. Rather, karma is totally psychological.

The acts we do condition the mind, which is really a field of vibrating energy. Negative actions create negative conditions in the mind, and positive actions create positive conditions in the mind. As a result, negative karmas can be mitigated or wiped out completely by positive actions, especially in the spiritual realm: meditation, prayer, worship, almsgiving and good deeds of many sorts. Of course they must be done with the right disposition and intention—not as a bribe to placate God or the gods. The heart must be pure in its intent. Only then can the defiled mind become pure through such tapasya.

In the Gita Lord Krishna tells us: “If even an evildoer worships Me single-heartedly, he should be considered righteous, for truly he has rightly resolved. Quickly he becomes a virtuous soul and goes to everlasting peace. Understand: no devotee of Me is ever lost. Truly, those who take refuge in Me even though they be from wicked origins, they also attain the Supreme Goal. Having come to this impermanent and unhappy world, devote yourself to Me. With mind fixed on Me, devoted, worshipping, bow down to Me. Thus steadfast, with Me as your supreme aim, you shall come to Me” (Bhagavad Gita 9:30-34).

Please study the Gita daily. There you will find the highest truth set forth in a totally practical manner.

Do you think people somehow ever meet again after passing?

Yes, we do meet again in various ways. Sometimes we see the departed, sometimes we may hear their voice, sometimes ideas or memories pop into our minds that are definitely from them, and sometimes we feel their presence.

Even more significant, often our lives are influenced by them, by events that take
place which are life-changing. My life was totally changed by my grandmother on the anniversary day of her passing. Later she came and told me where she was going to be reborn and who her parents would be. When I went near her expectant mother, her presence was remarkably strong.

Our monastery’s “life” was greatly changed throughout some years after the passing of one of our monks, and I fully believe that it was all his doing “from the other side.”

And of course we often meet again in subsequent lives.

Life itself is much more interesting than most people realize!

We seek the intervention of the gods and goddesses to alleviate our sufferings or to seek boons. Isn’t this in violation of the law of karma?

No. Seeking the intervention of the gods and goddesses to alleviate our sufferings or to seek boons is a legitimate application of the law of karma. All actions are karma and have results. Praying, worshipping and making offerings to God, gods, goddesses and holy people are holy actions that create merit (punya–positive karma) which can invoke blessings in the form of various beneficial responses.

What is your opinion on ghosts? Are they departed spirits or something else?

The word “ghost” comes from the German geist which simply means “spirit.” Certainly spirits can be seen and sometimes communicated with. (The wisdom of that is debatable.) There are many kinds of spirits, some of which are departed human beings, and they are “ghosts.” However, other kinds of spirits can be seen. There are animal spirits, nature spirits and spirits that wander in from other worlds or dimensions and get trapped here.

Some spirits are positive, some negative and some neutral. Sri Ramakrishna said to a young man who was a spiritualist medium: “My boy, if you think of ghosts you will become a ghost; if you think of God you will become God. Which do you prefer?”

It is good to not get too involved with spirits, especially those that are earthbound and seek help. There is some kind of “spook network” that passes around the word if you help a spirit, and others start showing up and asking for help. One time I helped some spirits in a local cemetery, and the next time I went to a church in a distant city, the president of the church committee who had just left his body came right up to me and said: “Do for me what you did for those people in the cemetery.” If you are not careful you will be drawn into unwise or too much contact with spirits. I had to learn when to say No. Pray for troubled or wandering spirits and then let the matter rest. You must always be very cautious regarding contact with spirits lest you become distracted and over-involved with them.
Sometimes you have to be very firm about not doing so. I speak from experience.

I am a Hindu but am confused about our gods and goddesses. Who are they?
The words god (deva) or goddess (devi) can mean different things:
1) Those highly evolved beings who rule or direct natural forces on the material plane, such as Indra, Surya, Vayu, Varuna, etc.
2) Highly evolved beings who rule or direct the worlds beyond this earth.
3) Those divine forms through which the Absolute (Parabrahman) has appeared and communicated with human beings, such as Brahma, Vishnu, Shiva, Lakshmi, Saraswati, Durga, Kali and others who are recorded in the Puranas and other scriptures.
4) Avatars of the foregoing deities, such as Rama, Krishna, Sita, Radha and others whose lilas are also found in the Puranas and other scriptures.

The main question to ask ourselves is: Who Am I? When that is known, everything is known.

In response to someone who wrote about spontaneous knowledge of yoga and various experiences resulting from yoga sadhana or spontaneously, “out of the blue.”

As you have seen for yourself, yoga practice itself becomes the yogi’s teacher, as both Vyasa and Shankara wrote in their commentaries on the Yoga Sutras.
The yogi must pay attention to his experiences, and at the same time must question them. The basic thing to ask is: “Did this experience strengthen my spiritual life?” If Yes, then it was real and of value. If not, and it was just a curiosity. Even though it may have been an indication of general development, it was of no great value.

An authentic and meaningful experience imparts understanding of itself. When the yogi does not understand what has happened to him, he need not reject the experience, but should just file it away in his memory in case it becomes clear in the future. But until then it can safely be forgotten.

It is extremely chancey to tell one’s experiences to others, since they are profoundly individual and it is not likely that others will understand them or have anything significant to say. They may even misunderstand and misdirect the yogi.

As to how/why you spontaneously came to know about Yoga, God is the prime factor, and past life practice and knowledge are involved, too.
It is pure ignorance to insist that things can only happen in one pre-approved way. Everything in the universe is individual, thank heaven (who made it that way).
You and God seem to have been doing pretty well together so far.
To someone who wrote about recent prophecies regarding the world and the destinies of nations.

Personally, I never pay much attention to prophecy because I have seen that even very special people can get it wrong.

Paramhansa Yogananda made prophecies that never came true. One example was his prophecy made to Sister Meera who was in charge of the Hollywood Cafe. He told her in detail of a time when she would have to contend with people picketing the restaurant because only monastics worked there and they did not hire “real” people who thereby earned money. She is no longer in the body and the café is long closed. Nothing like his prediction ever happened. Yet during World War II he made a prophecy to her about huge buildings one day being built on the three corners next to the Hollywood Center. It happened just as he said. In fact, in 1961 I was living across the alley from the church when the prophecy was fulfilled by the Kaiser Foundation.

William Branham, the great healer whom I personally saw work astounding miracles, made many predictions based on his visions, and not a one came true.

Swami Swarupananda, when he was in charge of the Delhi Anandamayi Ashram told of ancient prophecies of world cataclysm that he had read in manuscripts written by renowned sages that even gave the approximate dates. Nothing whatsoever came true.

The Bhrigu Samhita, an ancient, gigantic “library” of prophecies in India, makes absolutely accurate predictions and absolutely inaccurate predictions. It is really hit or miss.

Anandamayi Ma made predictions that never came true. Yet she made a couple of predictions to me about my personal life and they happened exactly as she said.

Edgar Cayce’s world prophecies were worthless but his health diagnoses were one hundred percent right.

Why is this? I really do not know. Totally correct prophecies are very rare indeed.

This I do know: karma and reincarnation are absolutes and the wise seek through yoga to neutralize them and attain liberation. So I try to act on that and keep that perspective always.

How would you describe what it was/is that Christ truly taught the people of the Middle East, Kashmir and India about how to lead a truly authentic spiritual life? Is it still valid in modern times?

The main purpose of our website is to show that Jesus lived in India for many years, then returned to Israel as a missionary of the religion he became part of in India: that eternal truth known as Sanatana Dharma. After his resurrection he returned to India and lived there many years.

Saint Thomas, his “twin,” also lived in India, first in the Himalayas and then in the southern tip of India, the modern state of Kerala. He, too, professed and taught Sanatana Dharma, which is just as viable today as it was the first time the sages of
India received it in direct revelation from Parabrahman, the Absolute. That is why it is called the Eternal Dharma/Religion. It is pure spiritual mathematics applicable to all who aspire to total consciousness in union with that Absolute. It alone is Universal Religion.

Sri Ramakrishna said: “All the religions of the world have come into existence through the will of God, and all will cease to exist through His will. But the religion of India will never cease to exist, for it alone is the Sanatana [Eternal] Dharma.”

**If pure consciousness is all and everything, how do the “I” and “mine” arise?**

This is the effect of Maya. But how can Maya affect that which is pure consciousness? It cannot, but it can affect that which is energy. Our body, feelings, emotions, sensory mind and intellect are all formed of vibrating energy, and as a result can be affected and even modified. The mind, as we can observe, can be totally deluded.

So the question arises: How does pure consciousness come into contact with and seemingly touch and experience energy-maya? That is the subject of the Shiva Sutras, a major treatise of Kasmir Shaivism which is carved in stone in two places in Kashmir. I recommend that you obtain the commentary on the Shiva Sutras by I. K. Taimni which is entitled: *The Ultimate Reality and Realization*. Then if you want to go deeper, *Shiva Sutras, the Yoga of Supreme Identity*, by Jaideva Singh, is quite valuable.

But in the final analysis, only the accomplished yogi will ever really know the answers to these questions through his own experience.

**To someone who asked about the astral plane and the hells it contains.**

The earth plane and the astral plane are mirror images of one another. Just as there are places on this earth that are hells, heavens and in-betweens (mixed), in the same way in the countless levels of the astral plane there are hells, heavens and mixed levels, including worlds that are virtually identical to this world in many aspects. Just as life in this world is determined by karma, so is life in the astral world. Whichever world we enter at death is a matter of our level of consciousness and also our karma, because our actions are a manifestation of our level of consciousness. It is all interdependent.

The way to not go to hell but to heaven is to not have a hellish mind and heart, but a heavenly mind and heart. This is totally determined by us. Those who meditate, study spiritual wisdom and follow only positive ways of life will become literally too good for hell and go to heaven—which is only a way station on the path to realization of our divine Self.

**Who or What is Ishwara?**

Ishwara means “God” or “Lord” in the sense of the Supreme Power, Ruler,
Master or Controller of the cosmos. “Ishwara” implies the powers of omnipotence, omnipresence and omniscience.

Ishwara is the aspect of God whom the Gospels call “the Only-begotten of the Father.” Many holy ones may bear the title Christ, but each is a Christ, not the Christ. Ishwara, however is The Christ. Unfortunately Christians get Christ and Jesus confused.

Jesus, Buddha and Krishna were one with the Christ, with Ishwara, and we are all made in the “image” of Ishwara.

Jesus’ Aramaic name was Yeshua, and his Hebrew name was Yahoshua. Both are from Yah, which means God the Lord—which is the meaning of Ishwara.

Jesus became a Nath Yogi in India and his spiritual name was Isha Nath. Isha and Nath both mean “Lord.”

When joined with other words, Isha often becomes “esh” or “esha.” For example: Yogeshwara (Lord of Yogis), Parameshwara (Supreme Lord), Ganesha (Lord of the Ganas, a kind of spirit), Romeshwar (Lord of Roma, the Divine Mother), etc.

To someone who wrote about what seemed a conflict between yoga philosophy and Advaita Vedanta:

It is important to keep in mind that Advaita Vedanta is not the basis of yoga. In fact they are not really compatible. Rather, Sankhya, the original philosophy of India, is the basis of the Yoga Darshan (Yoga Sutras) of Patanjali, and serious yogis need to be very aware of this. That is why Vyasa speaks of it five times in the Bhagavad Gita and entitled the second chapter “Sankhya Yoga.” Yogananda’s teaching was always consistent with Sankhya, not Vedanta.

Are angels and archangels real individual consciousnesses, or are they some kind of energy constructs?

In Oriental cosmological view (including that of Christianity, which is an Eastern religion) the individual consciousness evolves from the simplest form of manifested existence, the atom of hydrogen, to the most complex. And that includes angels and archangels, which in India are known as various kinds of devas: gods, gandharvas, apsaras, etc. They are all stages along the way to infinity. Human beings evolve into angels, and angels evolve into archangels, and onward to divine perfection.

There are no human, angelic, archangelic, etc., beings as entities in themselves. Rather, there are spirits (atmas) who are presently evolving through those forms. More simply: there are no sentient beings known as hats, coats, shoes, dresses, and trousers—those are just the clothing assumed by us humans. In the same way there are only individualized consciousnesses that are clothing themselves in various states of embodiment for the purpose of evolution. The angelic/archangelic condition is just that: a condition or state of being which is experienced by the
evolving spirit in its upward passage to divinity.

It has been my understanding for years that angels are in a completely different evolutionary stream from that of human beings—that they have not passed through the evolutionary forms that lead up to the human form. I have been taught that angels are of a completely different mode of being than us, and that their evolutionary paths are irrevocably separate. Could you comment on this?

There are throughout the universe—and including our own planet—numberless types of psychic entities that are evolving along lines of their very own—beings that shall indeed never be in the human evolutionary stream. I use the term “psychic entities” to designate beings that have bodies formed of astral (psychic) energies, rather than material energies. The term “spirit” is properly applied only to the divine spark within all beings, rather than to their relative conditions. These range from the type of entities we call “elementals” to the guides and guardians of plant and animal life, even up to great entities who look after the welfare of solar systems. Each of these entities may be given a proper name of their own, such as sylphs, undines, pixies, kelpies, devas, gandharvas, kinnaras, etc. Christians tend to lump them all together under the term “angels.” This certainly does no harm, but is not very accurate.

Although there are indeed many “species” of non-physical entities which dwell within, upon, and above the earth, mostly affecting its mineral, plant, and non-human life, they are not properly called angels. Perhaps to use the Sanskrit term devas is more appropriate even if not exact. Further, it is true that these residents, though evolving, will never enter into that particular evolutionary current which produces humans and angels. They are nevertheless the moral and spiritual equals of the beings within that current—as are those within all the various evolutionary streams within creation.

Who or what is “Satan”? And “Lucifer”?

Satan is the force of cosmic delusion. But since it is to a great extent the collective energies projected by deluded intelligences (souls), it is also a kind of energy being that has a form of independent (though reflected) consciousness. Those who talk about how “if God did not exist, man would invent him” have intuited a fact. Humans can create thoughtform entities that become energy robots with a dim life and consciousness of their own. Many of the “gods” that have been worshipped throughout history were such creations of human will and consciousness. It is fashionable to sneer at the declaration by Judaism and Christianity that many of the “old” gods were demons, but they were.

Satan is much more powerful than the thoughtform gods, being drawn from countless beings over a vast span of time. Satan can be thought of as an immense
blind shark that swims around and intuits who can be engulfed or eaten and perhaps even assimilated in time. In this way it perpetually increases in power and effect. As Frankenstein’s monster was composed of parts taken from many bodies, so Satan is also a composite of energies or intelligent beings that are yet somewhat individual though submerged in a collective darkness of consciousness. So Satan is not an individual but a collection of both negative forces and negative trapped souls. This is far more hideous than anything most of us can imagine. This awful conglomerate moves through the universe, both material and psychic, indeed seeking whom it may devour (see I Peter 5:8) or debase. It both knows what it is doing and does not know, since much of its function is subliminal.

Lucifer is an altogether different matter, being a highly evolved creative being—an archangel or prajapati—that has turned negative while maintaining his definition as an individual entity. Since he is in total harmony with the cosmic Satanic force and has for ages added to its power as its agent, he can also be called Satan—just as Jesus “turned, and said unto Peter, Get thee behind me, Satan: thou art an offence unto me: for thou savourest not the things that be of God, but those that be of men” (Matthew 16:23).

What are “demons”?

In ancient Greek, daimon simply meant a discarnate intelligence and could be either positive or negative. What we presently call demons, or evil spirits, are fallen (i.e., negative-turned) angels and earthbound human souls—many of whom are under the thrall of other evil entities. There are swarms of these distorted souls that also move here and there seeking whom they may devour—that is, dominate and eventually assimilate. They are like gangs of murderers that will to be what they are and yet are at the same time slaves of their commanding masters. We can think of them as divisions of a demonic army.

Just as moths and other insects are drawn to light, so Satan, Lucifer and the evil spirits are drawn to those whose auras shine forth. And just as the moths smother the flames of lamps and candles, so these beings wish to extinguish the light of souls and seize them in the resulting darkness. Each commander of a demonic platoon wishes to be as God. And the first step is the collecting of souls to rule. Such beings often reveal themselves as gods to those susceptible to contact with them. They can even give benefits and answer the prayers of their devotees, but in time they devour them and possess them through long ages. This is absolutely the situation with the “gods” of Voodoo and its variations. Though in time their slaves escape—for none are “damned” forever—the experience is nonetheless monstrous and traumatic. Those who enter into the path of communication with them shall find it leading into a darkness and agony that is rarely escaped—and for most cannot be escaped at all until the end of the creation cycle. Why does God allow it? Because it is the consequence of free will. We might as well complain about God allowing people who put their hands into fire to be burned. There is no learning otherwise.
So we must learn that defence against such horrible wanderers is necessary for both inner and outer survival. The pretty-think people may not like the truth about these beings, and even deny it. But that only guarantees that they will not have any immunity to their onslaughts. I well remember assisting in the exorcism of a wholistic health center run by a positive-thinking metaphysical church. The entire place was infested with earthbound entities, including a particularly dangerous being that had gotten trapped there. Since the church denied the existence of such beings, how could they deal with them? Consequently this beautiful expensive facility was completely unusable. Within a short time of entering there anyone would leave in fear. As a result they had to call those of us who were too ignorant to know such things did not exist to come and get rid of the non-existent beings. As the little boy says in the folk story: “I was afraid of the ghosts that did not exist!”

Such things do exist, and we who strive for higher life must not naively think that we are thereby automatically protected. We must not make the mistake of the deer in Bambi (I am referring to the book, not the movie) who believes that humans are kind and friendly and is shot trying to get near them. Sincerity and good motivation have never shielded anyone from the consequences of folly. Even Jesus would not agree to risk psychic danger when Lucifer urged him to jump off the pinnacle of the Temple (Matthew 4:5-7). The principle not to tempt God by foolish confidence is wisdom.

On a cosmic level these entities and forces need to be pushed into “the outer darkness” of chaos where they can harm no one and can in time be healed.

Being miniature universes, we, too, have inner Satan, inner Lucifer, and inner demons—all energy forms created by our own negative deeds and thoughts in this and previous lives. They, too, must be “thrust down”—back into the subconscious from which they arise—and be recycled into good forces. Just as in time Satan, Lucifer and all demons shall be healed and restored to their original state of perfection, so we should be working even now to restore their inner counterparts within ourselves through meditation.

Just how and why did Lucifer fall?

That is discussed in the book Robe of Light. Here is the relevant passage:

“In a previous creation cycle one of these seven lesser archons though (comparatively) highly evolved was yet somehow flawed. And through this flaw he became negative, twisted, and spiritually insane—for conscious, deliberate evil is just that: spiritual insanity. In his madness he determined to wrest the power from the other six lesser archons and with their combined power then unseat the lesser triumvirate and himself become the creator and ruler of the lower creation. This is indicated in the Bible, where it is said: “‘How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning!… For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God:… I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High’ (Isaiah 14:12-14). We call him
Lucifer, Bearer of Light, but that is a metaphoric name only.

“Unfortunately, he was not alone in his folly. Under his influence, some archangels and many angels partook of his madness and rebellion, and with him they fell. However, their evolutionary status remained, giving them access to the archangelic and lesser levels. They could not ascend, but they could descend, so in their frustrated malice they turned their attention to the lower regions which they were still determined to in some way dominate and wrest from the control of the lesser triumvirate and septenary. Having been expelled from their positions in the upward stream of evolution, the righthand path, they committed themselves to the cause of de-evolution, the lefthand path, and the hindering of those spirits who were yet climbing up the evolutionary ladder beneath them.

“Thus it was that in fury the evil intelligences under the marshal of Lucifer turned their eyes from the heights to which they had aspired in vain and cast their glances of malicious pride into the worlds below and descended to those worlds with the intent of stopping and, if possible, reversing the upward flight of their younger brothers to the higher regions. To this end they bent their natural creative powers, distorting the basic patterns of creation and thereby distorting as well the forms the spirits were meant to inhabit. In this way they threw out of balance the fundamental magnetism of the lower worlds, causing them to become eddies, whirling traps that caught the spirits in a deadly orbit of aberration, rather than the steps to higher consciousness they were intended to be.”

Then what about Lucifer—is he evolving, too?
Lucifer is still playing the fool, directing other similarly deluded beings in their mutual self-destruction, but in time he will truly come to himself and take the path of return–so the saints and masters have told us. But I do not plan to wait around for the event.

Do animals have souls?
Indeed they do. What is more, so does every living thing upon the earth, including plants and stones. Actually, every single atom that exists bears within itself a spark of the divine consciousness that we call “a soul.” Thus, every atom is a “person” in its own right–or, more correctly, every atom is the vehicle of a soul that is beginning its evolution. It will pass through gas, mineral, plant, animal and human forms in a series of countless rebirths until the soul is evolved beyond the earth plane and ascends to continue its evolution in higher and higher realms of existence until it attains the capacity for infinite consciousness. Thus everything around us is alive and evolving and must be respected and treated accordingly.

Where do animals go after death?
They usually fall asleep for a very short period of astral rest and then reawaken
in another incarnation. When they draw near to human form, however, they spend longer times in certain astral regions where they experiment with being human. That is, they take on temporary human forms and learn to function in them. This is of course done under the guidance of those angelic guardians who foster the evolution of lower forms to higher. On occasion animals remain “earthbound” for a while, usually because of attachment to their “owners.” Thus they may be heard barking, meowing or whatever for some time after their death. Incidents have been recorded of disembodied animals defending or warning their former owners in time of danger.

Do animals reincarnate?
Absolutely–according to the principles I have already outlined. There are many instances of such reincarnations, and I have myself met reincarnated animals whom I had known in their previous lives. And we both knew it was a reunion.

Does God love animals as much as humans?
Yes, for they are His immortal children, dreaming that they are animals until after passing through many forms they shall awaken and be with Him, “gods with God.” In truth, there is not an atom that God does not love with an infinite love, a love that is itself the force of evolution, the call to come back to their Origin for a perfect union of Love which is God Himself.

Therefore we should indeed love animals and not eat them. They are our brothers and sisters, evolving just as are we. To destroy their innocent bodies for the sake of food (Romans 14:20) is a crime against our and their nature.

If we are all evolving, including animals, how do they fit into this statement? Will they be humans at some time in their evolution?
When the individual consciousness comes forth from the Absolute it begins as a single atom of hydrogen, moves upward in evolution through mineral, plant, animal, human, angelic, archangelic forms and beyond. We have all lived in each form of life upon the earth in turn as we worked up to human life. And now we are hopefully growing beyond even that.

Yes, those divine sparks that are now manifesting in animal form are destined to become human beings one day. Morally and spiritually they are even now our equals, our brothers and sisters. Therefore to exploit and injure them is a crime against Life itself.

How well I remember my first lessons in these truths when I was in India nearly forty years ago. I was staying at the school founded in Ranchi by Paramhansa Yogananda. One morning I went with a young man to gather flowers for the early morning worship. There was a particularly fine marigold on a tall plant. “Here, pick
this one,” I told him. “No!” he replied. “It is the only bloom on the plant, and if I
pluck it the plant will cry.” I am glad to say that I did not think he was silly, but
realized that what he said was true. Some weeks later I was helping in the cleaning
and decorating of the Guru Mandir for the celebration of Christmas. I lifted a
picture off its nail, and out ran a lizard (gecko). I jumped back and expressed
disgust. Wonderingly, one of the men said to me: “But he is a Son of God, too.”

Swami Vivekananda in his American lectures would often tell the people: “The
lowliest worm is the brother of the Galilean [Christ],” and his pseudo-Christian
hearers would run for the exits! Naturally they could not endure the truth that they
were enslaving, killing, wearing and eating the brothers of Christ and themselves.
And now they are killing their own unborn and those whose hearts they want for
transplants. What goes around truly does come around.

What do you think about astrology?

All that exists, despite the appearance of multiplicity, is really ONE in a very real
and practical sense. And in every part the Whole is contained. Thus there is no
possibility of separating ourselves from the universal life of creation except through
transcendence. But until that transcendence occurs we must acknowledge our
integral existence with all that “is.” And part of that existence is the phenomenon
of change wrought by the invisible tides of life.

Long before recorded history the wise observed that the human being was a
miniature universe, a reflection of creation. Further observance revealed that
changes in the external world—including the solar system—corresponded to changes
in the individual’s sphere of life. This was particularly noted in the matter of
planetary movement. Thus it was discovered that the movements of the planets
within the solar system corresponded to operations of biomagnetic and psychic
forces within the individual person that were then reflected outward into the
individual’s mental state, environment or daily life routine.

The ancients understood that the planets were not the causes of the observed
changes, but were merely indicators of forces operating deep within the magnetic
levels of universal life. Further, the ancients understood that these magnetic fluxes
produced a tendency toward certain conditions, or a state of favorability for the
development of certain situations or conditions, but they did not believe that they
were inexorable or unavoidable causes of those effects. The movements of the
planets revealed the likelihood of certain effects, but not their inevitability.
Therefore those who studied the movements of the planets were in no way fatalistic
about their tentative foreshadowings. Rather, the movements of the stars were
simply looked upon as indicators that certain times were more favorable for certain
results and less favorable for others. And that is all. The fatalistic attitude that some
people have mistakenly adopted in modern times was unknown.

The movements of the planets mostly indicate the character of prevailing
biomagnetic influences—energies that are only slightly more subtle than purely
physical forces. Most of the world’s population is enmeshed in and controlled by such gross powers, although those who cultivate spiritual consciousness become less and less affected by those forces.

Consequently astrology can be used to comprehend what forces are moving within the collective psyche of “the masses.” For example, we can certainly gauge the tendencies of national or international politics or economics by means of astrology. I well remember going to the First Temple and College of Astrology in Los Angeles every week to learn the world news of the coming week! In the class on Mundane Astrology, the astrological aspects of the previous week would be considered, and the accuracy of the predictions given at the previous class would be undeniably evident. Then, having seen that we had every reason to trust in the accuracy of her analysis, the instructor would proceed to reveal the major events of the next seven days. Only the most determined bigot could have failed to see that astrology was a viable science.

Astrology may be used to discover the hidden mechanisms of the personality. A wise parent should have a child’s horoscope drawn up as soon after birth as possible, for it can be an invaluable guide to the parents in caring for their new charge. The horoscope will show the tendencies the child has brought over from its previous births and which are the seeds that are meant to germinate and come to fruition in the new life. But this is not meant to be accepted fatalistically or slavishly. Rather, the positive tendencies are to be cultivated to the optimum and the negative tendencies mitigated to the minimum.

What is going on with the “channeling” phenomenon that seems to expand almost daily? Do high beings of light ever speak through human beings? What is the value (if any) of their so-called “wisdom” and predictions? Are these False Prophets, like it speaks of in the Bible?

The question of “channeling” is not a simple one because under that single term there may occur several differing phenomena: 1) a conscious tapping into the knowledge of the Higher Self through which a person can “read” the cosmos; 2) direct inspiration from the Higher Self; 3) direct inspiration from another being; 4) mediumistic or shamanistic “control” by another entity; 5) delusion; or (6) simple fakery.

Let us take each one in turn and examine it.

Number One is rare because it takes a great degree of purification for the mind to be able to catch in an undistorted manner–and without interpretation interpolated by the “rational” mind–the subtle perceptions that take place on the level of the Higher Self. Those who have this ability do not make it public, so you can be sure that those who claim to do so really cannot! Moreover, no one who uses the “four soul killers”–meat, nicotine, alcohol and mind-altering drugs–is capable of this at any time.

Number Two is possible, but only takes place within the context of the
individual’s spiritual life and is never “done” for others.

Number Three is very possible, but again when it is positive and reliable it takes place only for the assistance of the individual, and not for someone to communicate to another. Obsession by negative spirits and the psychic invasion of another’s mind are both possible and greatly prevalent. One spiritual teacher of the East who has great experience with combatting negative psychic forces told a friend of mine that virtually every person living in the West—and the United States specifically—is obsessed to some degree by one or more negative entities. This is a terrible thought, but my personal observation confirms it. Those who make a public thing of relaying messages from invisible beings are likely harming both themselves and those who listen to them with faith.

Number Four is prevalent also and is always evil. You ask in your letter: “Do high beings of light ever speak through human beings?” The answer is: Never. For to do so the entity would have to invade and actually displace the individual, pushing him out of his body. This is a terrible thing to do, as it greatly harms the medium. Those great ones who have a message for humanity either appear objectively and speak their message, or they take human birth and live among us and teach us. But the lying or ignorant entities cannot do that—nor do they want to, for they have contempt for the human status, however noble their “channeled” words may be. A fundamental principle is enunciated by Saint Paul: “The spirits of the prophets are subject to the prophets” (I Corinthians 14:32). That is, a person with positive psychic abilities is always in control, and never relinquishes that control to anyone. A being that would seize control of another’s body and will is never positive, but a being of darkness and destruction. This is without exception.

It is true that “tramp souls” do invade unsuspecting people, and even pretend to be great masters and figures from history (if they told their real identity the game would be up). They mix truth in with their error, using it as bait to convince those who are cautious that they are reliable. They continually speak “wisdom”—but what profit does it bring their hearers? It is not intellectual teaching we need, but practical instruction in spiritual development. A true master shows others how to become masters themselves rather than wasting their time with endless revelations that have no practical application. “Channeled” books and messages are classical examples of this useless verbiage.

There are various types of false prophets, and “channelers” are one type, though many of them are sincere people who, because of their own negative karmas, are themselves as deceived as their hearers. They embody Number Four and Five: self-delusion or simple fakery.

Do you think Jesus is God or the Son of God, or God the Father or God the Son?

God is One. Yet from the spiritual viewpoint we also perceive a Trinity within the Unity. God in His transcendental, unknowable, inconceivable aspect is the
“Father.” That is, He is unknowable and inconceivable and incommunicable to the limited human intellect. He can be known directly, without the intermediary of any internal or external faculty, for the soul has originally come from Him (the “Bosom of the Father”) and must return to Him. We receive our being from Him—indeed, we are a part of Him, as the wave is part of the ocean, yet cannot claim to be the ocean. God, immanent in creation as its manifestor and guiding consciousness, is the “Son.” God, the dynamic power which manifests as the great wave of intelligent energy we know as the universe or “matter” is the Mother, the Holy Spirit. Yet, they are really One.

Jesus Christ was Adam, the first human being in this creation cycle. (See Robe of Light.) Having become one with God in his aspect as the “Son,” he did not pass on into the Bosom of the Father, but came back to earth as an incarnation-reflection of the Son of God to repair the evil he had wrought as Adam. Yet, he himself said: “I and my Father are one” (John 10:30), and: “He that hath seen me hath seen the Father” (John 14:9). This is because the distinctions are only in our eyes. The Unity alone is real.

So, in brief we would say: Jesus Christ is God. We do not try to understand it, but rather strive to attain the same status he had. Then we will be it, and will not need to understand it.

Is the incarnation of God, such as was expressed through Jesus, an event that is singular throughout all of history, i.e., an event that took place once only? Or is it possible that God will assume another incarnation, even need to assume another, at another point in history?

First we should realize that an incarnation of God is not the infinite God born upon earth, but rather a totally liberated and perfected being—a siddha—who is born of his own will in human form. Since such a person is absolutely one with God, he can be called an incarnation of God.

In contrast to the dogmatizing of professional and amateur religionists, knowers of God assure us that there have been many incarnations of God upon the earth throughout the history of the human race. (What is more, incarnations of God occur in the higher worlds, as well.) And there shall be future divine incarnations as long as there is a human race. A person who feels that he cannot believe in an incarnation unless that incarnation is the one and only is like a man who cannot love his wife unless he is convinced she is the only female human being on the earth. Both views are foolishness.

Avatars (to use the Sanskrit term) do not come to earth for the vague purpose of somehow uplifting humanity and “saving” sinners. Rather, they come with the intention enunciated by Saint John the Beloved at the beginning of his Gospel: “To as many as received Him, to them gave He power to become the sons of God” (John 1:12). That is, an incarnation of God manifests upon the earth for the purpose of establishing a repository of spiritual power which will outlast his physical lifetime,
and will bring salvation to future generations. Sometimes the avatar establishes a new religion upon the earth, and sometimes he regenerates a religion whose inner power has waned or even been lost. In the case of Jesus of Nazareth, the Christ, a storehouse of power—the Church—was established which was to be a haven for those adherents of the ancient mysteries of the Mediterranean world which had lost their deifying power. I use the word “deifying” because “salvation” is not having our sins forgiven or escaping a miserable afterlife in hell, but rather it is freedom—freedom from all ignorance, and therefore from all necessity of further birth-manifestations in this lowest of planes of existence and in all other higher planes of existence as well. Salvation is the return of the individual spirit into the bosom of the Father from whence it came, and within which it has existed eternally.

Since human beings are what they are, in time the spiritual power so brought to earth becomes dimmed, distorted, and (often) eventually lost. Therefore avatars must come again and again to establish “the power to become the sons of God” among men.

Are there ever female incarnations (avatars)?

Divine incarnation may take place in a dual manifestation—that is, in both male and female forms. In the fourteenth century, in the controversy surrounding the Hesychast fathers of Mount Athos and their defender Saint Gregory Palamas, it was established as an irrevocable part of Eastern Christian theology that God, though one, has—from our standpoint at least—a dual nature: essence and energies. This teaching was not new to Christianity, but had never before needed official expression and approbation. In Hinduism this duality is also to be found—that is, that God consists of two aspects, divine consciousness and divine creative power—Purusha and Prakriti. For this reason, also in Hinduism, every male deity representing the infinite guiding consciousness behind the universe also has a female consort who represents the limitless field of conscious energy that is manifesting as the universe over which the Lord presides. Since the individual souls manifest and evolve within this great energy and are ultimately “born” out of it into the realm of pure consciousness, that energy field is called “Mother,” as distinguished from the “Father” of pure consciousness. All creation is looked upon as both the Mother and Her evolutionary “womb.”

In Christianity, this divine duality is manifested and symbolized through Jesus Christ and the Virgin Mary. In India Rama and Sita and Krishna and Radha are considered dual avatars: one of the Father and one of the Mother.

I myself began using Buddhist meditation to enhance my own spiritual life as a Christian. At first I was afraid that I was being heretical, but the balance and joy I have gained has made my life so rich, and the sutras as well as the Hindu Upanishads are incredibly poetic and they do not contradict the Bible. I
am defending myself so I obviously still feel a residue of guilt. But I love these books and I really enjoy meditating.

Since Jesus said that the kingdom of God is within, no inner search, according to a valid tradition, can possibly be heretical. Also, we have all practiced many religions from life to life and it is only right to feel an affinity for some if not all of the non-Christian traditions. We sometimes take up practices from those religions because of this intuitive familiarity.

Certainly the Hindu and Buddhist scriptures do not contradict the Bible, because Moses was an initiate of the Mysteries which originated in India, as was Jesus. Jesus quoted from the Bhagavad Gita, Upanishads and Dhammapada in his teachings. Many verses that narrow-minded Christians like to quote (sometimes to prove that their religion alone is true) are directly from these sources. Some of the Psalms and the prophetic books of the Old Testament contain parallels to passages from the scriptures of India. As Saint Augustine wrote: “The identical thing that we now call the Christian religion existed among the ancients and has not been lacking from the beginning of the human race until the coming of Christ in the flesh, from which moment on the true religion, which already existed, began to be called Christian.”

There has been a lot of talk about the “Second Coming” of Christ. But according to my study (and my limited understanding) my opinion for the last few years has been that the coming of Christ is an event more on the subjective level. The more we grow spiritually and become renewed and transformed, the Spirit of Christ grows within us, transforming the individual from the inside out. It is almost a metabolic change until even the very cells of our physical body are “Christified.” This event is what I perceive to be the coming of the Lord. We [ourselves] are the next coming of Christ—the evolution of the spirit and soul and body of humanity—transforming us in the alchemists’ sense from base lead or clay into gold, the divine nature of God. Is this a proper view? None of my friends agree with me.

Not only is your view “proper,” it is one hundred percent correct, and your expression of it is really fine. There are some points I would like to expand on, however, that you might find meaningful.

The word translated “coming” is the Greek term *parousia* which means simply “presence,” with the connotation of an advent. The first Coming of Christ was external and historical. But the sole purpose of that first Coming was to open the way that would in time lead to the true Second Parousia which is a completely individual, mystical experience of our own Christhood.

The descriptions given in the Bible of the “resurrection” and “judgement” are symbolic indications of the final process of transformation when, as you have said, the alchemy becomes complete and the lead of earthly life becomes transmuted into the gold of higher life, the original Paradisiacal status of the human being. And beyond that there is much more to come as we pass “from glory to glory” (II
When Jesus was asked if there were few that were saved (Luke 13:23), he said that indeed it was few only who were saved. But by salvation Christ meant this divine transmutation. Moreover, he did not say that only a few would be saved, but that in each generation only a few attained this condition, the others still being compelled to return through rebirth until they, too, became one of the saved few in a future generation.

Finally, it is true that Jesus Christ will come to earth again. But he will not drop out of the sky with a trumpet blast as the physically dead pop up out of the grave like toast as most Christians think. Rather, he will be born in Israel and this time be recognized as the Messiah.

A biblical passage which has long troubled me is that of the account of Jesus’ casting of the devils into the swine, found in three of the Gospels. It troubles me on two accounts: One, I think that this and other such passages in the Bible seem to view animals as things and present a moral justification for the hideous cruelties practiced upon animals; and, two, a God Whose love extends to only one species–ours–is not the God I know outside the Bible. This really has been a source of minor torment to me–it seems like some sort of fatal flaw in the character of Jesus–this uncaring attitude toward the pigs. Please comment on this.

I will make some observations on this incident in the life of Jesus, but they can only be speculations, for they deal with a person beyond my ability to gauge.

To exorcise evil spirits—that is, to expel them from a person or place—only to have them go somewhere else and perhaps work even worse things, is certainly not wise. All human beings have exorcistic powers that can be employed simply through their will. No special spiritual power is even required. Because of the weakened condition of human beings psychically as well as physically, this power is often not operative. Those with a little bit of spiritual or psychic power can indeed expel an evil entity, but their power stops at the mere expulsion. They have no control over where the entity will go and what it will then do. Great masters like Jesus, on the other hand, realize the need to safeguard the rest of the world, and therefore banish the exorcised spirits beyond the earth plane into that realm of chaos known as “the outer darkness.” There the entities remain until, in the next cycle of creation, they will have a chance to normalize themselves and continue on their evolutionary journey.

The spirits knew that Jesus would not allow them to go where they pleased. Not wanting to be exiled into the outer darkness, they therefore begged him to let them go into the pigs that were grazing there. Why did they ask this? Because entities often obsess or possess animals and completely control their behavior. (They often pass into the bodies of those who eat the flesh of those animals, as well.) Thus they remain embodied—though in a non-human form—and continue to “live.”
Why did Jesus allow them to go into the pigs? I will give my opinion, but it is only just that. First, by allowing the entities to pass into the pigs, Jesus demonstrated that demons are real entities and not just superstitious fantasies or states of mental disorder, and that they can and do possess animals and humans. The resulting behavior of the animals when the entities passed into them was a proof both that there were many entities expelled from the man, and also that those entities affected the behavior of those they possessed. In this way Jesus graphically demonstrated the truth of the existence of evil spirits and their capacity to possess.

But there is more to it. The Torah forbade the eating of swine’s flesh, and the raising of them for killing and eating was a blatant defiance of the Law. Since Israel was a theocracy, we could even say that such activity was illegal. These animals were being raised only for slaughter—and slaughter in the most cruel way, often being skinned alive. To save the animals from this fate and at the same time to prove the reality of spirit possession, Jesus permitted this.

Why did the pigs drown themselves? It is not easy for a soul to possess a body not specifically formed for its habitation. This is why obsession is more common than possession. No matter how intelligent an entity may be, when it intrudes itself into a body it rarely is able to maintain the body’s normal bodily functions. This is one of the reasons possessing entities will possess and “dispossess” a human being, giving time for the legitimate soul to heal the damage caused by the invasion. In the New Testament we are told that people can become blind, deaf or seized by muscular spasms when an entity is in possession. At the expulsion of the entity, the problem immediately leaves. Seizures similar to epilepsy are also manifestations of possession. That is, the legitimate soul is violently reacting inwardly and trying to itself cast out the invader. This conflict produces such spells. Sometimes these seizures are the reaction of the nervous and immune systems to the damage being caused by the psychic intruder. Fevers can also be a response to possession.

Because the entities were obviously not able to control the central nervous system of the pigs, the animals became completely panicked and rushed into the water and drowned themselves, but not intentionally. However that may be, they were freed from both the possession and from the slaughter that was planned for them. And it is hoped that the swineherds took up a better profession.

A person like Jesus who literally sacrificed his life for the unworthy and the ungrateful was never being cruel or thoughtless in any of his actions. There is of course no reason why we should not inquire into such a person’s motivations, however evolved he may be, since those motives may actually teach us spiritual and psychic lessons.

Would you say that all creation is God?

No, I would say the reverse: God is all creation. There is a very basic difference. God is not a sum total of “existing” objects, but the Sole Reality, creation being a momentary appearance for the purpose of our evolution, and destined to be
transcended. But please notice, I say “I would say....” If you or anyone else wish to express it otherwise, fine, for no verbalization is capable of embracing the full range of What Is. Actually, both statements—all creation is God and God is all creation—are true. What is needed is the understanding that they are not complete and therefore must not be put forth as Absolute Truth. For they are equally as false as they are true, since they are mere intellectual concepts of the inconceivable.

Whenever I ponder an “is it true or not?” type of question, I always remember that Sri Ma Anandamayi, the great spiritual leader of India whom I was privileged to know and associate with for the last nineteen years of her physical embodiment, told her friends when they posed this type of question that in fact both views—like the Taoist symbol of Yin/Yang—contain the truth in seed form. But in conclusion she would always insist that there is a state of being in which such questions can no longer arise, and the attainment of that state is what should mostly occupy our thoughts rather than the puzzling out of the lesser dualities.

Do you believe that there have been other universes than this one in which we now are evolving?

Yes, I personally believe that there have been previous universes and that there will be future ones. The process never began, and it will never end. It simply is. God actually breathes himself out as the creation. In India it is likened to a spider spinning its web out of its own body substance. The universe is the Being of God. And from another viewpoint, it is merely a play of shadows on Light, like a motion picture upon a screen.

Do all beings evolve?

Absolutely, all beings sow and reap karma, including angels and archangels. I recommend that you read the chapter in Yogananda’s Autobiography of a Yogi entitled “The Resurrection of Sri Yukteswar” where it is explained in detail.

Do you believe God is the all-powerful Person? That is, do you believe that God has also a personal feature, a more or less human form, but with all the powers?

God, being the source of all things and attributes, must of necessity possess all things and all attributes. God is totally PERSON. He is not merely “principle,” or “being,” or “reality,” or any such abstractions—though he is the source of those things. In essence he is pure Consciousness. He IS what we ascribe to him rather than possessing traits as surface appearances or adjuncts (upadhis in Sanskrit). In other words—in as correct terminology as we can get: God is not omnipotent, omniscient or omnipresent. Rather, he is omnipotence, omniscience, and omnipresence. God does not rule or know all things—he is all things. Yet God is also
No Thing. That is, he is the eternal subject, never really an object, though it may seem so to our defective spiritual "eyes."

God does not have name and form, he is name and form. When the purified soul directly has the vision of divine form, it is not to be considered in any way like our present mode of "seeing," nor is that which is perceived to be considered in any way like our earthly form.

The ultimate experience of God is only possible in perfect union of the soul with him. The soul has no senses—indeed needs none. The manner in which it perceives and delights in God is inconceivable to mortal minds. To even speak of either form or formlessness in relation to God is incorrect, as these are attributes which God transcends.

The goal of the soul is not to go to some perfect world which is like the earth except without defects, and there to eternally see God as an object. That is one stage on the path of evolution, but it is an elementary one. The goal is to attain full union with the Lord, with no intermediary of time, space, or sense. The soul must become naked—that is, it must divest itself of all physical and psychic bodies and senses, and in its essence as pure spirit (and therefore pure consciousness) unite with the infinite source in the most intimate union of love. This is not the union of modern monism (which must not be mistaken for non-dualism which is quite different) in which all distinction is lost and the soul can think it has become the Lord. Rather, it is a union wherein the unity itself is a form of relationship, not annihilation.

If we could grasp the nature of this inmost, sacred state with our finite minds it would not be worth attaining. How those souls, made one with the Lord, perceive and communicate with him, living in his life as he lives in them, has never been stated. Nor can it be. To seek to define it is the height of intellectual egotism.

I hope this answer is clear, but I should point out that none of these views are dogmatic—only my personal understanding.

As we evolve, is God also evolving?

God being beyond change, I would not say that he is evolving. But since he has projected himself (or so it seems to our viewpoint) as the evolving universe, and since the evolving consciousnesses are part of his greater Life, then it can be said that he indeed is experiencing the process of evolution. But it is important for the individual to know that his evolution is more of a therapeutic appearance than an absolute reality. That is, all of this changing drama is really the dream of God.

Frankly, your writings have me confused. At one time they speak of God as non-dual, impersonal, and formless, and at other times God is spoken of in very anthropomorphic and personal terms. You also seemed to speak disparagingly of monism in a book review, but in several places in various
publications you advocate it. Am I really confused, or are you?

Reading your question there immediately came to mind the response once made to an inquirer by Sri Ma Anandamayi: “Have you now seen that no answer is perfect in itself? Wherever there is the questioner and the answerer, truth cannot be expressed.” In other words, where there is the sense of duality—and that includes the idea of truth and untruth—the True cannot be either spoken or grasped. But let us analyze your difficulty.

Those who hold to the one-sided view that God is only formless and disdain those who accept the fact that God is manifest in many—indeed all—forms, are like the little children in the third grade who sneer at the second-graders as “babies.” After all, they read in the third primer, having long ago left the second primer behind! Such childishness is rampant in contemporary religion, especially among theologians—which is to be expected since the intellect itself is hopelessly childish in its innate egoity.

That Which Is embraces both form and formlessness and exists outside them both. God manifests as both with and without form in order to communicate the Divine Essence to the evolving consciousness according to its evolutionary status. To some, form is most immediately communicable, and to others it is just the opposite. But we must realize that form and formless are dualities, one of the pairs of opposites (dwandwas) which must eventually be transcended as the spirit evolves beyond all relativity. And formlessness is within relativity, not beyond it, for it is simply the opposite of form and cannot stand alone. Formlessness implies form—in fact, we cannot grasp the concept of formlessness without the concept of form. The two are inextricably united, for they are really one. To speak of accepting one and rejecting the other is as absurd as attempting to divide heat from fire or wetness from water.

Form and formlessness are the two halves of merely one of the principles of manifestation—i.e., relativity. However, since that which manifests is God, then it naturally follows that God is thus both with and without form to the consciousness that is yet within the realm of manifestation and relativity. Once that state is passed beyond by the perfected consciousness or spirit, the idea and experience of form and formless cannot arise, for no mode of contradiction or duality is any longer possible. In that illumined state neither acceptance nor rejection of anything, including philosophical concepts or principles, is possible, either.

Now, of course, some people do not like to use the term “God” because they feel it implies some limitations or conditioning. But, being limited by our language there really seems no alternative but to use that term. Obviously we are going to have to keep in mind as correct a concept as we can (realizing as well that no concept is complete or perfect) while using limiting terms—including the Vedantic terms such as Brahman or Satchidananda. Words are still just that: words. After all, we need not flatter ourselves, for fewer things are more limited than the intellect with which we love to so pontifically philosophize. This is why the wise remain silent. Wherever we find the noise of philosophical or theological controversy, there
we may be sure ignorance is rampant and wisdom will never be triumphant.

No one can really understand these things except through direct experience that far exceeds the mind and intellect. And such experience is impossible within the human status except through perseverance in the practice of valid meditation.

What should be rejected is “unripe” non-dualism or monism which refuses to acknowledge the simple fact that the Sole Reality is immanent in relative existence–creation–indeed is Itself manifest and embodied in and through this relative creation. That being true, then it is certainly possible for It to manifest certain divine forms in order to stimulate the evolving consciousness to further awakening. If God can appear as a grasshopper or a mountain, then God can certainly appear as a “god” or “goddess.” And since every particle of every atom maintains its existence and position simply through the conscious will and purview of God, then God is actually more intensely personal than we can even conceive. And since it is God alone who is manifesting as all human beings through taking on all these forms, a certain degree of “anthropomorphism” is entirely admissible as long as it is held within the wider perspective that also embraces the divine transcendence of form.

How really silly to declare that God is appearing as all transient forms within the universe and then deny the existence and viability of those deific forms which the questing consciousnesses of all ages have perceived. To complacently agree to the existence of gnats and camels as temporarily viable realities–manifestations of the Real–and then shriek that all divine forms and manifestations are lies and inventions of “priestcraft” is to prove oneself a fool of the first order. Why is it only within the realm of religion that the experience of the individual becomes delusion to be rejected and fulminated against? Where now is the “all is One” and “everything reflects the Real” philosophizing upon which we preen our “advanced” selves? What kind of sophistication is that which solemnly affirms the reality and meaningfulness of a mosquito and its bite while denying any such status to the experience and objects of religion and mysticism? I leave it up to you to figure out the motive behind such spiritual and philosophical chicanery.

To sum it all up: God is both with form and formless. And God is also beyond both form and formlessness. Therefore all three: form, formless, and beyond both, are appropriate to affirm, while keeping in mind the realities of the other modes. It is when we declare that one or the other is the only truth–or the “highest truth” with the implication that the other two should be rejected out of hand–that we fall into error. Simplistic thinking in these matters is neither sophisticated nor beneficial. But such thinking is the bane–and the boast–of the Western mind.

What is life in the astral plane like?

There are many levels in the astral world, and they are all much more stable than the earth plane. Spending time in the astral plane is exactly like living within the earth plane, though in some of the higher astral worlds thought is more evidently a force. We are born into those worlds and work out karma in them just as
we do here. Of course, there is much more learning done there and some people spend centuries in the astral equivalent of study. The lower astral worlds are so much like the earth that the people even live in buildings and there are changes in weather. In the higher worlds this is not so, and things remain more unvarying. Also, communication becomes increasingly non-verbal as we ascend to higher levels.

Many of the same things that are done on earth are also done in the astral worlds—negative as well as positive. You may remember that Yogananda in his autobiography speaks of wars going on in the astral planes with mantric power being used instead of bombs. The astral world may seem advantageous to our earthbound minds, but in reality it can be a terrible place, being both more intense and more binding than the earth plane.

It is very difficult for people to extricate themselves from astral involvement. This is why many people while incarnated on the earth are addicted to so-called astral travel. I knew one of Yogananda’s personal secretaries whom he continually warned against this, but every night she would be “out and about.” Many times she was almost destroyed by evil forces, and only the Master’s intervention saved her.

Spiritual life can completely come to a standstill if our attention becomes overly focused on psychic and astral phenomena. One very psychic friend of mine told me that the first words she spoke to her yoga teacher were: “Can you get me off the astral?” Not all are so wise.

In one of your articles you mention “astral wanderers.” A lady I know, who channels, is always talking about wanderers as if they were desirables. That is the only place I ever heard of wanderers, before I saw them mentioned in your book. In your book they seem to be most definitely undesirable. Could you please explain to me exactly what a “wanderer” is?

Not only are astral wanderers undesirable, so also is mediumistic channeling. Naturally, a person who traffics with such spirits will defend them as being good. This is the folly of all spiritualistic or shamanistic practices.

Some astral wanderers are discarnate human beings who, rather than accept their death and continue on their evolution, remain on the earth plane and try to contact human beings and ultimately enter their bodies—even if for only a short period. This is of course both foolish and unethical. Only the lowest, most ignorant, and materialistically-minded beings do this. And, frankly, only those of equally low vibrations (no matter how intelligent or philosophical they may be) wish to invite such beings into their auras or even into their bodies.

Other astral wanderers are entities not in the stream of normal earth evolution whatever, but beings who for some reason or other have wandered into our universe and gotten trapped here. Such entities are, as you can imagine, either very stupid or very evil, and also should be avoided. You will always find that those who use the Four Soul Killers (meat, alcohol, nicotine and mind-altering drugs) and
practice “psychic development” will come under the influence and domination of such beings. This is only to be expected, since their psychic energies are also of a low and deadening type.

What is your view concerning the disposal of a body when someone dies?
As you know, even though the body dies, we do not. Rather, we just step out of the body as we shed our clothing. Yet there is often a connection with our body according to our state of consciousness and (of course) our karma. This being so, relics of the saints convey the spiritual power of their former inhabitants and are very valuable indeed.

But whereas the departed saints affect their former bodies, those who have not attained the status of the saints may have the opposite experience—they are influenced by the condition of their bodies. In fact, many are still so connected with their bodies they remain earthbound and cannot pass on to the astral regions. This is very unfortunate. If, however, the body is dissolved in some manner, any ties with the body are also dissolved and the soul can pass on. This dissolving can take place after a long time of decay (which is why preserving the body is not wise), or by cremation. Cremation is a very wise thing, for it ensures that the departed cannot be bound to the body. Thus it releases them to pass on to higher worlds.

Though you did not ask about it, I would like to point out the importance of prayers for the departed to also help them in their further growth. The departed are aware of those prayers and the love that is expressed through them. Thus we continue through prayer to send our love to those who have physically left us. Moreover, prayers for the dead are a major help in the elimination of grief over the death of those dear to us.

How does someone really know what they want in life? It seems very confusing sometimes, and you can work really hard to achieve something you want very much only to find when you reach the goal or obtain the object you feel disappointment or decide you did not really want it.

First we have to realize that we are composed of several layers, inside of which is an eternal spirit which alone is our true Self. But this real Self is covered over by the layers, each of which has its wants and attempts to overshadow all the other levels of our being in order to be the only voice heard. Our body wants comfort and pleasure. Our magnetic, emotional level wants happiness, love, peace, etc. Our lower, sensory mind wants lots of sense experience and distractions. Our higher, intellectual mind wants knowledge in the sense of facts and understanding of external realities. Our will wants power and skill to dominate. Our spirit wants God.

Since everything but spirit is merely its clothing, it is only sensible to let the spirit have its way—especially when we realize that the desires of our external levels
are for transitory objects that, even if obtained, are swept away by the currents of karma and, ultimately, death. But God lasts forever. Therefore even when we do attain our lesser desires they turn out to be incapable of satisfying us in the long run.

Saint Augustine wrote that our hearts are ever restless until they rest in God. Before that, Jesus said, “Seek the kingdom of God first.” And in our own times Sri Ma Anandamayi said: “In Him is everything; Him you must try to find.”

It is of course true that we have come into this life with certain karmic destinies in relation to the external world and its population. But even here it is only the freeing of the spirit that can enable us to perfectly fulfill our destiny, whatever it might be.

We must cultivate a taste for God. In India, liver disorders are sometimes treated with rock sugar. But to those with diseased livers sugar actually tastes bitter, so they do not like the remedy! It is the same with us: we have lost our affinity for the divine, which is our only destiny.

And how shall we develop that taste? Through meditation and a life of spiritual cultivation.

I recently came across a “yoga” system that involves leaving the body and ascending to the higher worlds as its practice. Are you familiar with this approach?

Yes. I think of it as the Up and Out school of meditation. (See Dwelling in the Mirror.) The idea that by simply going to higher worlds we will become enlightened is as ludicrous as to assume that by simply journeying to a university we will become educated or that by taking trip to a country will make us a citizen of that place. Rather, we have first to enter the primary grades, spend time studying there, and work our way upwards. What is necessary for us is to attain the necessary evolution required in this world for our graduation to the higher worlds. There we work on our evolution until qualified to move upward into even higher worlds. And so it goes, from rung to rung of the ladder until we can return to the Absolute from which we first came forth. “He that... climbeth up some other way, the same is a thief and a robber” (John 10:1), according to Jesus.

I recently read a question submitted to a meditation master. The inquirer said that whenever he meditates he leaves his body and floats up near the ceiling. He asked what he should do about this. The meditation master’s answer was: “Go on through the ceiling.” Will you comment on this?

It is extremely difficult, and therefore extremely rare, for an individual to leave the body before death. It is Unfortunately true that some persons unnaturally force this ability, which carries over into subsequent lives so that a person leaves his body involuntarily while sleeping. I have known more than one person who has suffered
in this life from this involuntary astral travel.

Am I saying that the man does not leave his body and float up to the ceiling? No; but I am saying that it is extremely unlikely. Is he hallucinating, then? Chances are not. Within our psychic bodies there are many points of perception. It is not at all uncommon for the beginning meditator’s focus of consciousness to become shifted into one of his psychic bodies during meditation and thus to begin seeing through the “eyes” of that body—which can extend some distance beyond the physical body. It is this shift which also produces such sensations as expanding, rising, moving forward or backward and such like. One of the most dramatic effects of this shift is for a person to experience himself as being many feet up in the air and to look down and see his body sitting below in meditation. For one who does not understand, this is naturally a very frightening experience. But it is nothing harmful at all. Therefore, it is most likely that the inquirer who thinks that he is floating up near the ceiling is actually moving into the awareness of his subtle bodies which extend out far beyond the physical body. In other words, he is experiencing one of his astral or causal bodies. This is actually quite normal, though not necessarily of any particular value. But he is not really outside his body.

It is also possible for the meditator to experience standing outside his body during the meditation period and even walking around and viewing it. He is not really doing so, but is simply looking through the “eyes” contained within his subtle levels. So if the inquiry had been directed to me, I would have explained it as I have now done, and assured the man that he was in no danger of flying away and getting lost in the cosmos. It is not so easy!

The second American to become a disciple of Paramhansa Yogananda was a young man named Warren Vickerman. “Vickie” became a highly developed yogi, and for many years led the Self-Realization Fellowship center in New York City. This naturally entailed his meeting many seekers and often becoming their advisor. Much like Yogananda’s guru, Swami Yukteswar Giri, Vickie had little patience with nonsense, earthly or psychic. One day a woman came to him in great distress. “Oh! What shall I do? Every time I start to meditate I fly up astrally out of my body and hit my head on the ceiling!” Vickie looked at her with a glint in his eye and then said forcefully: “Lady, when you are in that state there is no ceiling!”

What are UFO’s and are they connected with spiritual life in any way? I have heard people say that beings of light, be they Masters or whatever, will lift the “lightworkers” from the earth during cataclysmic earth changes. I don’t know what to make of this idea or of how real the “contact” experience seems to be to those who claim to have had one.

There is no reason to disbelieve that there is intelligent life of many forms in the universe. As to whether or not UFO’s are from other planets, I really cannot say, through it certainly is possible. Since each planet has its own scheme of evolution, one thing sure is that cross-pollination is not possible, therefore contact with these
beings would be of little profit—perhaps even detrimental. What about those who tell of their contacts? I simply do not know. I have seen UFO phenomena myself, but it has never seemed relevant to me or my personal spiritual growth, so I have not pursued the subject. I do feel that many “contactees” are having psychic/astral experiences rather than actual physical contact. And all of the descriptions I have read (and that is a limited amount) seem either negative, false or irrelevant.

It is remarkable that those who feel they have grown beyond the Fundamentalist Protestant teaching of “the Rapture” so unquestioningly accept the “beam me up Scotty” eschatology of the New Age! It is just another manifestation of the delusive insistence that an external force can intervene and save us from the consequences of our own doing. Karma is never evaded—it is either reaped or dissolved by us through interior illumination.

When I listen to people on TV that talk to spirits that have passed on, they say that we chose to come back as who we are in this life, so that almost sounds like it was a script we chose to live out. That seems to be that it was all written and what happens is predisposed.

We do indeed determine what each life is going to be in a general way. For reincarnation does not stand alone. In fact, it is not an entity unto itself, but is the result of karma, the law that “whatsoever a man soweth, that shall he also reap” (Galatians 6:7). So every time we are about to reincarnate we look at our karmic balance sheet and decide just what karmas—good and bad—shall be reaped in the next life. It is rather like plotting a drama in which we are going to be the star. Having outlined the play, we then work on our costume: the kind of body we are going to have, the sets: the kind of place we are going to live in, who our families are going to be, and what kind of people will surround us. But all this is just the framework. Within that framework our free will, and that of those involved in our life, determines most of what goes on with us—especially inside us. And since even the framework is of our own construction, that too is completely a matter of free will, actually. Destiny is simply the free will we have already set in motion.

The famous French playwright, Moliere, did not write out the dialogue of his plays. Instead he wrote the plot—divided into scenes and acts—on a big blackboard which the actors read and then went out and improvised. After each performance they discussed how it had gone and made changes in the plot outline and refined the dialogue. When they felt it was as good as it could be, it was all written down with stage directions and printed for others to act from. This is very much like our life as we live out performance after performance through reincarnation.

Let me give some examples of karma. If we are meant to be the renowned leader of a great army, it is a pretty safe bet that we will have to choose to be a man. And our male body will have to be healthy. If we are meant to fight on the side of good, then we will have to be born in a country whose policies are for the right things. And we will have to be born in a country that has a large army. And it will have to
be that country’s karma to engage in warfare. We will have to find the place to be born that can supply us with all this.

Now where does free will come in? Everywhere. Because what I have outlined is just the skeleton of a life. Each person has to fill in the spaces, and they usually are far more than the simple karmic bones.

Another thing about free will and destiny. We may be destined to go to Chicago, but we will choose how we go: bus, train, plane, car or hitchhike, and if we hitchhike or drive we will decide what route we want to take. The road of karma has many–very many–branches, and we decide when we come to them which one to take. So we move freely within a predetermined framework. If we want to build a fence it can only be within the boundaries of the land we own. If we live on an island we can only travel within its boundaries. So we do have freedom, but it is not unlimited.

It also happens very often that our karma is not to do something, but to have the chance to do it. In some lives we may have the possibility to take up several professions, and we are completely free to choose from those. So we do a lot of I Will and I Won’t within the framework of our karma. Those choices in their own turn create karma which will manifest in the future. When we look at it, we see ourselves as both caught in the machine of karma and at the same time as the free owner and operator of the karma. Ultimately, it is freedom that is the truth of the whole thing.

Yes, it is all predisposed–by us. Yet, as I have said, the predisposition is only a general outline. At every moment we fill in the details.

If that is the case, then we are automatically going to learn what we chose (unbeknownst to us at the time) to learn in this lifetime.

Unfortunately, learning what we should learn from life as it manifests our karma is not at all a guaranteed matter. That is where not only free will comes in, but also the ability to understand the lesson and the desire to get the right answer. Our karma gives us the chance to learn. It does not force us to learn.

Often we lose our free will and understanding as a result of spiritual ignorance–the state of darkened heart and mind. Lots of labels are put on this state such as “sin,” “negativity,” “challenges” and such like. But we do not need to know what to call it, we need to know how to get rid of it. And how do we do that? By the learning that comes from reincarnation!

Does this sound like chasing our own tail? It is. That is exactly what reincarnation is for us. The moment we wise up… reincarnation stops.

If we are choosing to come back and live this life to learn something, how do we know what it is?

How right you are! You have understood what nearly everybody misses: We have
to figure out what we have come here to learn. Otherwise we fritter away life after
life, meandering along getting nowhere.

You see, all that we need to know—why and how we got here and what to do
now—is clearly known to the mind of our soul (spirit). But we are out of touch with
our soul (a lot of people do not even know they have one) and consequently have
not a clue. So we must awaken our soul consciousness. We have to know who and
what we are before we can begin to have a glimmering about the who and what of
God. When we really enter into the full awareness of our soul the awareness and
knowledge of God will start coming about automatically. When we shut the doors
of our mind and senses and turn deep within we will find the Great Secret: God.

I understand that it all works together for spiritual enlightenment, but
how does the day-to-day process such as what type of job we have or our
financial situation or our relationships with our friends and family come to
play in the spiritual journey?

The day-to-day things are a result of karma—opportunities to work it out and
learn from it. They are the classroom in which we learn (or fail) the karmic lessons.

There is an important word that rhymes with karma: dharma. Dharma is often
mistranslated as “religion” or “righteousness.” There really is no way to translate it;
for dharma means that way of life in which we shall most quickly come to the
realization of our true Self and God (who is the Self of our Self). Dharma, like
karma, is an individual matter, tailored for each one of us. It is the way by which we
make sure that our karma moves us along in our growth into God. Dharma is the
way of life (and thought) that takes us to the realization of our full spiritual
potential.

With this perspective we can see that some jobs, people, situations,
environment and suchlike are in tune with dharma (dharmic), and some are against
dharma (adharmic), and we have to use our free will to affirm the one and eliminate
the other.

Do you think that souls that have warlike and violent tendencies
reincarnate over and over because they are locked into a negative cycle?

The fact is, those who have any habitual patterns of behavior which they indulge
will be dragged by them from incarnation to incarnation. Those who perceive the
tendencies to those behavior patterns within themselves but refuse to indulge
them, and work to eliminate them from their inner minds, will in time succeed and
break the bondage they impose.

The only “habit” we should cultivate is that of lifting our consciousness to God
in japa and meditation, for that frees us—not only from this world but ultimately
from all worlds so we may reenter the transcendent Absolute from which we
originally came.
What good does it do for us to reap karma if we are not aware what it is we are suffering for? For example, what help can an infant who is abused receive from reaping such negative karma if it does not realize what is going on?

Certainly, there is a point in our evolution where it is good to consciously understand what has caused the present sufferings—that is, if such understanding will truly facilitate our learning. (The reaping of karma is always for learning and growth—never for punishment or reward.) Those who have a conscious spiritual life—a life of intentional spiritual discipline—will be shown such things when they need them. There will be no need to poke around on our own to discover the roots of the karma. Sometimes it is better that we not know on the conscious level. However, our true Self, the immortal spirit, the higher Self, is always aware of what is going on. Therefore every unit of karmic return is of ultimate benefit. Our job is to keep on treading the path and get beyond karma.

What effect does “repenting” have upon our karmic debts?

None whatsoever if it does not produce a resolve to spiritually elevate ourselves. True repentance, which is a definite and lasting reformation of life and thought, is necessary to ensure that we do not repeat the same mistaken action that produced the present karma. Feeling sorrow for a wrong action is beneficial only if it produces this reformation. Just being sad and depressed means nothing. In fact it is spiritually harmful. Nor is there any need to ask God for forgiveness. That is as silly as asking our parents to forgive us if we get burnt when we touch something hot, assuming that the pain will cease upon being told we are forgiven. Saying “forgive me” has value when it indicates that we are aware of our wrong and are determined not to repeat it. So it is good to tell both God and man that we are sorry and acknowledge our wrong—and set our will not to repeat it. Thus, while repenting does not affect our karmic debts incurred in the past, it can be a preventive measure for the future. And in the metaphysical realm an ounce of prevention is also worth more than a pound of cure.

You seem to accept that the Hindu/Buddhist concept of reincarnation is compatible with Christianity. How is this, and how is it that the Christian churches are apparently ignorant of this dramatic data?

Reincarnation is not an exclusively Hindu-Buddhist teaching, but has from the beginning been an integral part of Orthodox Judaism. Because this fact would imply that Christ and the Apostles would have held the belief in rebirth as Orthodox Jews, it has become a policy to toss around the red herring of “Hindu-Buddhist” whenever the ignorant have wished to combat the truth of reincarnation. (We met a
bishop who thought Edgar Cayce had originated the concept of rebirth and so called all who believed in it “Cayce-ites.” It seems that ignorance compounds itself.

If you are interested in a historical study of reincarnation in both Judaism and Christianity, I recommend our publication *May A Christian Believe In Reincarnation?* The British Methodist minister Leslie Weatherhead also wrote a booklet on the subject entitled *The Case for Reincarnation* that is still in print. There is another book entitled *Reincarnation For Christians* by John W. Sweeley that you might find significant as well. The three Weatherhead-Cranston anthologies on reincarnation which contain material from Christian writers. Another book is *Reincarnation In Christianity* by Dr. Geddes MacGregor, who I believe is a Presbyterian.

There is a great difference between what some Christians know and what they say they know. Some knowledge is simply swept under the convenient carpet of cowardly silence and some is outright denied.

One leading priest within a major Eastern Orthodox jurisdiction within this country not only believed in reincarnation, but engaged for years in research into methods of past-life recall. Our monastery did research for him on the subject of reincarnation in early Christianity, and that research has been embodied in *May A Christian Believe In Reincarnation?* I am sorry to tell you that this very priest also publicly denounces reincarnation as incompatible with Christianity!

I well remember discussions with a very learned Greek Orthodox theologian on the subject of reincarnation. Although he knew the truth of the matter, he continually took refuge in the assertion that “at this late date” it would be impossible to speak the truth since it was commonly held that an “infallible” Church Council had declared the beliefs in pre-existence of the soul—and therefore rebirth—to be false.

Another ploy besides attributing the concept of reincarnation to Hinduism and Buddhism is to attribute it to “the heretic” Origen. This is also quite convenient, as it draws attention from the fact that other Church Fathers also openly taught it.

My favorite comment on the subject of reincarnation is that given by the holy Roman Catholic Capuchin stigmatist-saint, Padre Pio. When one of his spiritual daughters was “told on” for believing in reincarnation, he told her accusers very firmly: “It does not matter what you believe about reincarnation. The only thing that matters is this: Are you seeking God now?”

I read in a Christian magazine that reincarnation denies our individuality, and also that if we lived many times it would be impossible for us to know which body to resurrect in at the end of time. What is your response to this?

Frankly, I laughed! Nothing either you or I could ever say will change the opinion of those whose minds are so coarsened that they would put forth such objections. But if you want a verbal response I will give it.

The true individual is the immortal spirit that is inhabiting the body, which is nothing more than the vehicle or the clothing of that spirit. The objectors to
reincarnation do not believe that when the body dies and turns to dust the individual has ceased to exist—their theology affirms that it indeed does continue its existence. How, then, can they say that the body is a determinant of individuality? Even they will admit that every seven years the body of each of us is totally replaced, that not a cell remains of the previous body. Therefore in the span of a single lifetime we live in several bodies and do not lose our individuality.

It is of course true that from life to life we differ in our personalities, but so do we differ within a single life as we move from infancy to childhood, to adulthood and onward. It is to be hoped that the individual continues to evolve—and therefore to change in outlook and thought—throughout the entire lifespan. That which holds all this together like the string within a strand of beads is the principle of pure consciousness—the spirit which, as has been said, is the only element of individuality, the body being only an expression of that individuality.

A perusal of the earliest Christian writings reveals that Christians originally believed that creation has never begun nor will ever cease to be, but goes on in continuous cycles—just as in Indian philosophy. This creation is not the first, nor is it the last. This was Christian teaching from the beginning. Those who believe otherwise have deviated from original Christianity. Does the fact of many creations deny the individuality of God? For creation is the body of God. Being made in the image of God, we, too, take on many bodies until we return into His infinite Bosom. But the individuality both of God and of His images never loses its integrity.

As far as the resurrection of the body is concerned, whether there is reincarnation or not, as Saint Paul declared: “Flesh and blood cannot inherit the kingdom of God” (I Corinthians 15:50). Therefore the concept of the resurrection of the body into an eternal state is alien to genuine Christian teaching, and the question of “which body?” is not a problem.

How would you distinguish or contrast Hindu (Sanatana) Dharma from other religions?

Dharma and religion are not the same, though religions may have dharmic characteristics and dharma may be expressed religiously. There is one dharma: the Sanatana Dharma of India. Other religions have random traits in consonance with Sanatana Dharma, but only accidentally, in a kind of hit-or-miss way. Therefore I would characterize them in two words: partial and confused. Sanatana Dharma possesses a legitimacy unknown to the others.

Just what part does doctrine or dogma play in spiritual life?

It is important to realize that all valid religion is a process of evolution, that only when religions degenerate do they become dogmatic structures. Later, if they survive and advance to total bankruptcy, they become social associations for mutual support and assurance on the most superficial levels, without a vestige of aspiration
for higher consciousness. They become “communities” of self-congratulation, whose highest aspiration is to make each other feel secure in “belonging.” That which the wise have sometimes called “the flight of the alone to the Alone” is alien to them.

Real religion is therapeutic and its purpose is transformation of consciousness. How the intellect thinks about or interprets it along the way is considered to be of little importance. Being thinking creatures, we definitely need a personal view, maybe even a cosmology, but it is utterly personal, and to attempt to get another person to accept our view is like trying to get them to wear our clothes while we are still in them. It does not work. You only end up with hypocrisy and religious wars.

Spiritual life is The Path in a very real sense. That is, it is a system of spiritual practices which produce results. Either you do them or you do not. How you philosophize or theologize is a matter of complete indifference. It is also just fine if you do not philosophize at all, but just keep on practicing. As they say in India: “Working, working, working: Done!” Walk the Path and the Goal will be reached.

One person may think that five miles up the road there is a mountain. Another may think that five miles up the road there is a plain. Fools fight over such differences. The wise simply walk on down the road and get there. Then they will know whether there is a mountain or a plain there or not. Chances are there may be a lake or a valley! And they will also realize that since they have many more miles to go beyond that point, it just does not matter after all. As a Pogo character once said: “Cut the philosophy and run!” One ancient writer likened true religion to the call of “Fire!” in a burning house. The response must be practical, not theoretical.

Isn’t it necessary for us to distinguish between the exoteric and the esoteric elements of religion?

Absolutely not. For in an authentic religion all the elements are thoroughly esoteric, even though extending into exoteric manifestation. Therefore it is a grave error to attempt dividing the elements of a religion into the two classifications with the object of passing over or rejecting the exoteric and fixing attention on the esoteric remainder—or vice versa.

Please notice, however, that I qualified religion with the word authentic. There are indeed religious systems in the world whose worthlessness is demonstrated by the very fact that they have no esoteric character to any degree. On the other hand, there are systems—religious, philosophical, and occult/esoteric—whose utter worthlessness is equally demonstrated by the fact that they have no exoteric manifestations of their esoteric principles. That is, they have no practical side for demonstration or realization of those principles.

Just as all living beings in this relative creation are combined of both visible and invisible elements, so a living religion—or, more correctly, a religion that leads to higher life (i.e., consciousness)—is constituted of both interior insights and exterior practices. Yet, as has been said, their character is essentially esoteric, even their
exoteric practices being based on and demonstrating esoteric principles.

Dion Fortune, the greatest public occultist of the last century, pointed out that esoteric science intuits the occult principle and then demonstrates it through methodology that either manifests it overtly in the physical plane or imparts direct intuitional knowledge of the principle to the practitioners on the inner levels of their consciousness.

Thus, many supposedly external rules and observances are mistakenly interpreted and perhaps even rejected. But when the intuition illumined by meditation and esoteric development is brought to play in their consideration they are seen to be thoroughly esoteric and even “inward.” This is forcibly brought out in *The Gnosis of the Ten Commandments and the Beatitudes* in which they are shown to be guidelines for those who are developing their innate spiritual powers.

In any viable religion, the inculcation and development of the esoteric viewpoint is the primary requirement. Although the esoteric understanding will develop through the years as the aspirant, through meditation, garners his own insight through practical experience of the invisible realities and their visible indications, yet the set of the sails must be founded on esoteric principles from the beginning. Otherwise religion degenerates into a system of rules calculated to please or displease a testy deity who dispenses reward and punishment along the purely subjective lines of egoic whimsy. Such a religion must inevitably be rejected by intelligent investigators and its stultifying effects must be shaken off.

It is to be hoped, however, that those who so free themselves from ignorant religion will not come to the conclusion that all religion is ignorant and dispensable. It is a limited intellect indeed which decides that simply because one object proves to be defective all similar objects are likewise faulty or inadequate. Yet many who pride themselves on their intelligence make such an absurd conclusion continually. To conclude that our experience or knowledge of one or two sects within a vaster religion—itself only one of many religions in the world—renders us knowledgous of religion in general or capable of accepting or rejecting religion out of hand is to display a narrowness of intellect that is ill suited to the search for truth.

Sometimes I wonder: Do I have to give up everything in my life? Is the solution to be totally secluded from the world?

The answer is Yes—but in your heart. Through meditation, all the clutter that we mistakenly call “ours” and “us” begins to be cleared out of our hearts, and God begins to fill in the empty spaces. After a while we will always be alone with God, no matter what the body is doing. When we look at the lives of saints we find that their lives were usually filled with more activity than ordinary people, that they were more involved with “goings on” than was usual. But that was only the external situation. In their inmost heart they were ever with God. Therefore they never got burnt out or fed up with all the whirl around them. For amidst it all, they were
resting in communion with God.

Yogananda’s guru said: “Everything in the future is bound to improve if you are making an effort now.” If we fill our moments with the remembrance of God and meditate faithfully, everything else will take care of itself. There is no need to puzzle over the future. Just as in our growing up we automatically shed the ways of childhood, so as we grow interiorly the externals will adjust accordingly. However it is good for us to realize what the implications of a spiritual quest may be. The secret is: those who are willing to pay the price, whatever it might be, and determine to do so at the beginning, are usually not asked to.

I understand your perplexity in seeing how labyrinthine karmic implications are and the amazing nuances involved in the struggle for freedom. But it is very interesting: just after reading your letter I opened a magazine and found this quotation:

Do your best.
Leave the rest.
Angels do no more.

That is certainly the answer to all questions in life, spiritual or otherwise, the only “catch” being that we must be sure we really are doing our best.

**What is the purpose of “spiritual practice”?**

Everything is Consciousness, but when it is unmoving we call it spirit, and when it moves (vibrates) we call it energy. Spiritual practices are methods which develop the individual’s consciousness and its potential states. That is, spiritual practice awakens, develops, and attunes the inmost consciousness of the individual. The basic intention of spiritual practice is to transmute the consciousness from humanity to divinity, passing through the infinite variety of evolutionary states that lie between those two poles. Since the process is direct and pragmatic, it does not manifest as externalized powers or displays. I do not mean by this that the aspirant does not experience change, but the changes are mostly internal and usually apparent only to the practitioner.

My mother gave me your *Spiritual Benefits of a Vegetarian Diet* booklet. I am currently a meat-eater whose attempts at spiritual growth and meditation have been difficult and the information you have provided has shown me how to overcome those difficulties. But I have some questions: 1) Could I experience withdrawal symptoms when my “bodies” start expelling the toxins from eating meat? If so, what should I expect? 2) How long does it take for these toxins to be expelled from my bodies? When could I expect to be free of these negative influences?
No one I know has ever had withdrawal problems upon becoming a vegetarian. One thing is sure: there is no need to work up to being a vegetarian by slowly eliminating meat from the diet. The best thing is to stop instantly. Some people say that if the body is used to meat the switch to vegetarian should not be all at once. That is as silly as saying that if our body is used to drinking polluted water we should quit gradually. After all, meat is not like heroin to an addict, or like whiskey to an alcoholic. It has been my observation that a definite (perceptible) change for the better can occur every soon after becoming a vegetarian, that is, after abstaining absolutely from meat, fish, and eggs. (It is very beneficial to eliminate dairy from the diet too. Then after three years a dramatic difference is experienced. Naturally, the improvement is happening all along, but these times are like plateaus we reach in the purification of our bodies. Interestingly enough, in the Eastern Christian monastic tradition an aspirant is a postulant for six months and a novice for three more years before becoming a permanent monastic. There must be a connection somewhere.

Some people think that Saint Paul’s saying that false spiritual teachers would be “forbidding to marry, and commanding to abstain from meats, which God hath created to be received with thanksgiving” (I Timothy 4:3), is a condemnation of monastic life and vegetarianism. What do you think?

I think they need to hear the words of Jesus: “Ye do err, not knowing the scriptures, nor the power of God” (Matthew 22:29). And those who hear their ludicrous distortions of the Bible need to heed some other words of Saint Paul: “From such turn away” (II Timothy 3:5).

Even a little good sense should show that this verse has nothing whatsoever to do with monastic life. In Christianity today monastics are found in the Eastern Orthodox, Roman Catholic, Anglican, and some European Evangelical Churches. (In the Evangelical churches the monastics are known as deacons and deaconesses.) None of these churches prohibit marriage, so such an accusation cannot be brought against them.

A person does not become a monastic because he has been forbidden to marry. Rather, he takes up monastic life to fulfill spiritual aspirations, the intensity of which preclude marriage—just as do certain secular careers. Both the Lord Jesus and Saint Paul speak highly of the celibate life as a worthy offering unto God.

Jesus said: “There are some eunuchs, which were so born from their mother’s womb: and there are some eunuchs, which were made eunuchs of men: and there be eunuchs, which have made themselves eunuchs for the kingdom of heaven’s sake. He that is able to receive it, let him receive it” (Matthew 19:12).

And Saint Paul: “It is good for a man not to touch a woman.... For I would that all men were even as I myself.... I say therefore to the unmarried and widows, It is good for them if they abide even as I.... He that is unmarried careth for the things that belong to the Lord, how he may please the Lord: But he that is married careth
for the things that are of the world, how he may please his wife. There is difference also between a wife and a virgin. The unmarried woman careth for the things of the Lord, that she may be holy both in body and in spirit: but she that is married careth for the things of the world, how she may please her husband” (I Corinthians 7:1, 7-8, 32-34).

As is usual with such ignoramuses, the word “meat” is taken to mean animal flesh, whereas the word maton used by Saint Paul (who was writing in Greek) simply means “foods.” (The word in the New Testament for flesh is sarx.) And the food “which God hath created to be received with thanksgiving” is clearly described in the Book of Genesis where God tells Adam: “Behold, I have given you every herb bearing seed, which is upon the face of all the earth and every tree, in the which is the fruit of a tree yielding seed; to you it shall be for meat” (Genesis 1:29-30). Humans are not natural flesh-eaters. To be so is to violate the divine pattern.

But Saint Paul was talking about something. What was it? He was speaking of those who would try to introduce into the Christian Church some very ancient ideas of those who were dualistic in their philosophy. Such persons usually believed that either the entire creation was produced by an evil power, or that some of the things to be found within creation had been placed there by evil forces. Such persons believed that some vegetables had been created by the Satanic power and that to eat them was to become tainted by evil powers and even to come under their control. They especially abhorred all root vegetables since they grew in the darkness and not in the light. (Some said that potatoes were all right to eat since when cut open they were found to be “light”–white–inside. You can draw your own conclusions about this type of thinking.) Others preached against the supposed evils of eating beans. And so the list went. It was the incursion of these ideas into Christianity which Saint Paul was warning against.

All this simply goes to show that the Bible in the hands of some people becomes an instrument of utter foolishness.

In the Gospel of Thomas saying 12 says: “The disciples said to Jesus: We know that you will depart from us; who is it who will be great over us? Jesus said to them: Wherever you have come, you will go to James the Just, for whose sake heaven and earth came into being.” Does your group have an affinity with James the Just?

This requires more of a historical explanation than a commentary.

Originally Christianity was intended to be communal after the pattern of the Essenes. That did not last long, unfortunately, though the Christians of Egypt managed better than those in Jerusalem.

The Sons of Thunder, Saint James and Saint John (Mark 3:17), were the two leading figures in the original Christian Church. Saint James was not only the bishop of Jerusalem, he was also the spiritual head of the communities made up of families. Saint John, his brother, was the spiritual head of the communities made up
of the unmarried. But the Apostles all looked to Saint James for spiritual direction until his martyrdom by Herod (Acts 12:2). The epistle which he wrote to all the Christian communities is found in the New Testament. There is also a very valuable text found in the Nag Hammadi Library entitled: The Secret Book of James.

Hopefully all esoteric Christians have an affinity with Saint James, usually referred to as The Great.

You seem to believe that Sanatana Dharma, the Eternal Truth, is found only in India’s philosophy, but my study has led me to believe that Sanatana Dharma is in all religions. Do you deny that?

I do not deny that statements in agreement with Sanatana Dharma can be found in most religions—and even perhaps all. But that means very little. For example, if a complete and fully functioning automobile could be found only in one country of the world, but automobile parts were scattered all over the globe and would be found in all countries, could you really say that automobiles were found everywhere? Only if you had a very poor grasp or definition of automobiles. You do not have an auto if you do not have the whole thing. India alone has had—and does have—the full picture. Other religions have only fragments. Instead of automobiles, let’s think of a picture. Even if millions had a fragment of a picture, would you say: “They all have the picture?” Not unless you have a strange idea of what a picture is.

There is truth in all religions. That fundamentally is true, but let’s say that there was an eastern-style bazaar where diamonds were sold. Heaps of diamonds—or what was claimed to be diamonds—were set there for sale. And what if someone comes to you and says: “Diamonds are in all these piles, but I must tell you that only one is one hundred percent diamonds. The others have anywhere from two percent to ninety percent diamonds. But they all have diamonds!” Would you then go about at random buying “diamonds” from them all? I hope not. Every heap having some diamonds cannot give those heaps an equal value. And any sensible person would seek out the hundred percent heap and buy from there. Shankara, the greatest philosopher in recorded history, said: “The philosophical concepts that are commonly known in India have not even been dreamed of outside India.” I believe that myself because I have seen it to be so.

Since the core of every person’s existence is the divine Self (Atman), it is only natural that some eternal truths would be intuited at different times in different places throughout the world. Further, there were great teachers in the West such as Pythagoras and Apollonius of Tyana who went to India and lived there for some years learning Sanatana Dharma and then returned to West and taught what they had learned—and often were rebuked for having gone to India and then teaching its wisdom in the West. Nevertheless their influence affected to various degrees those lands in which they taught as time went on. Jesus, of course, is the most renowned of those who learned the truths they taught in India. (See The Christ of India.)
Do you accept the Nicene Creed as a statement of truth?
No. The Nicene Creed (as well as the Apostles’ Creed and the Athanasian Creed) is a product of degenerate and deluded Churchianity, a mixture of fact and fiction.

Certainly I accept the truth stated in the Nicene Creed that there is one God which manifests in a triune manner and that the goal of life is to attain conscious, divine immortality in the highest world of perfected beings (siddhas), far beyond the paltry “heaven” of most of the world’s religions. Other than that it is, as I say, a mishmash of misunderstood truth and outright fiction, the product of spiritual ignorance.

I especially do not accept the idea that Jesus is God, the creator of the world. Jesus was/is a siddha in the tradition of Sanatana Dharma, not Judaism. In his conversation with the king of Kashmir he told him: “I was born in a distant land where there is no truth.” This demonstrates that Jesus did not believe in the religion he was born into. That is why Jesus returned to India: to be with and live truth–Sanatana Dharma.

What is the true nature of a human being? To me humans seem all confusion and very little else.
To external sight your conclusions seem very right. But the confusion is only external–incidental. Beneath the appearance of confusion is the reality of the true Self within every sentient being. And that is why in the Bhagavad Gita Krishna advises Arjuna (and us, as well): “Become a yogi.” Yoga clears the mind (manas) and intellect (buddhi) and opens the individual’s consciousness to see Reality both within and without. The real part of each human being is an eternal spirit, free from all bonds and defects. When through yoga practice all the levels of a person become purified, then the inner light shines forth and confusion is ended.

So not only is a human being not really confusion, he is not a sinner, either. Sin is not the nature of anyone. Just as a person covered with mud is not mud, so those who have fallen into evil ways are not really evil but deluded, and delusion is not permanent in anyone.

Is there no teacher or master that was unique in the world’s history?
Just as no two snowflakes are alike, in the same way every person is unique in their manifestation. Each master teacher has a distinctive approach suited to the needs of those he is destined to teach. But no master is greater or better or more beneficial than another, for their purpose is the same: the enlightenment of those they teach.

Jesus alone rose from the dead by his own spiritual power.
Not so. Many great masters have manifested in physical bodies after their death. A disciple of Hariakhan Baba caught smallpox while on pilgrimage to Kailash with Baba. Baba transferred the smallpox to his body, died and was cremated by the disciple. When the disciple came back down from the Himalayas he found Hariakhan Baba giving satsang at a devotee’s house! In *Autobiography of a Yogi* other examples of resurrection are given.

According to the *Nathanamavali*, a history of the Nath Yogi Sampradaya to which Jesus belonged: “Isha Natha [Jesus] came to India at the age of fourteen. After this he returned to his own country and began preaching. Soon after, his brutish and materialistic countrymen conspired against him and had him crucified. After crucifixion, or perhaps even before it, Isha Natha entered samadhi by means of yoga. [Yogis often leave their bodies in samadhi, so it is not amiss to say that Jesus did indeed “die” on the cross.]

“Seeing him thus, the Jews presumed he was dead, and buried him in a tomb. At that very moment however, one of his gurus, the great Chetan Natha, happened to be in profound meditation in the lower reaches of the Himalayas, and he saw in a vision the tortures which Isha Natha was undergoing. He therefore made his body lighter than air and passed over to the land of Israel.

“The day of his arrival was marked with thunder and lightning, for the gods were angry with the Jews, and the whole world trembled. When Chetan Natha arrived, he took the body of Isha Natha from the tomb, woke him from his samadhi, and later led him off to the sacred land of the Aryans. Isha Natha then established an ashram in the lower regions of the Himalayas and he established the cult of the lingam there.” ("The cult of the lingam" refers to the Shaivite branch of Hinduism.) From this we see that the spiritual power of the master Chetan Nath had a part in the resurrection of Jesus.

To understand the true nature and purpose of Jesus, read *The Christ of India* and *The Aquarian Gospel of Jesus the Christ*.

**Don’t human beings need a Savior?**

Yes and no. All human beings need some catalyst for spiritual awakening. It may be a book, a spoken sentence or a highly evolved person. But at the same time the only savior that is absolutely necessary is our own illumined Self. Someone can lead us or awaken us to the possibility of higher life and consciousness, but we must save ourselves by attaining that life and consciousness. No one can—or need—do it for us.

**When does the soul come into being?**

The soul and the spirit are not the same. The soul is the astral and causal bodies of the human being. The spirit is the spark of divine consciousness that is the real person. The soul is subtle vibration manifested as several layers or bodies inhabited
and enlivened by the spirit.

The spirit is eternal, part of God and without beginning or end. It is never born nor does it die. Rather, its bodies, the soul, undergo birth and death. The soul comes into being when the spirit begins the journey of evolution through reincarnation.

Therefore Krishna told Arjuna in the Bhagavad Gita: “Truly there never was a time when I was not, nor you, nor these lords of men—nor in the future will there be a time when we shall cease to be” (2:12).

Can you help me find or choose a spiritual name, one that will express my understanding?

Since you are interested in a name that indicates your inner spiritual life, you can go about it in two ways.

1) You can choose a proper name, such as that of a great holy person, a figure in religious lore (such as the Mahabharata, Ramayana, etc.) or scriptures, or even the name or title of an aspect of God. (There are thousands in Indian religion.) Of course to do so you need to be well acquainted with such things.

2) You adopt a spiritual quality or state as a name. For example, you can take a Sanskrit dictionary and look through it for words that correspond to your inner feeling. We recommend that you start with A Brief Sanskrit Glossary, and if that does not yield what you need, then look into larger dictionaries. In India many people have names that indicate spiritual qualities, such as Abhaya (without fear; steadfast), Jnana (wisdom), Vivekin (one endowed with discrimination), or Brahmavadin (one who follows the path to Brahman).

But this is very important: the name should be your choice, not one recommended by another. Since you want it to express your inner feeling (bhava,) it must be determined from within, through your own intuition. Otherwise in time you may not feel completely satisfied with it.

To someone who asked about a book written by a psychic which he questioned.

Your assessment of ———’s book is completely right. I personally believe nothing he said or wrote that was unique to him.

Psychism is a poor basis for anything, including religion and spiritual philosophy. Unfortunately psychics trust themselves rather than scrutinizing everything they think they perceive. Often they see correctly, but only partially and therefore draw wrong conclusions. Also, they fail to realize that certain realms and subjects may be beyond their capacity to fully or correctly perceive.

Only the self-realized are fully trustworthy, but we are going to have to decide who is self-realized! So we must to some degree trust ourselves.

As Krishna said: “Therefore become a yogi.”
If someone has a clairvoyant skill on the level of a siddhi, is it an impediment to one’s progress if indulged in? Or is this a God-given talent that can be put to use for humanity if it can be used constructively? In your experience is it difficult to strike a balance or should one focus on clarifying Awareness in the knowledge that all things are added at the proper time?

Since our sole purpose for existing is the attainment of liberation through conscious union with God, that is what must be the center of our life. Such a centering is impossible if there are any distractions, and psychic matters are distractions. They are also on a very low level when compared with our true goal. I have never known of a psychic ability that did not eventually prove faulty and therefore misleading and distracting as well.

When someone evolves to a certain point, spiritual clairvoyance, which is not at all psychic clairvoyance, arises naturally. Even then, the wise yogi keeps focused on the goal of complete liberation. However in some cases the occasional—very rare—use of spiritual clairvoyance may be used. However it will only deal with the spiritual life of the individual and will only be directed to their liberation. In other words it will only relate to the process of sadhana—nothing else. Only after enlightenment can spiritual clairvoyance be safely exercised, and then only at the will of God. In great Masters this “clairvoyance” is really divine consciousness, a glimmer of omniscience.

People, including yogis, can wander for countless lifetimes in the psychic realms, whether in or out of the body. Just as a rocket has only the necessary fuel to reach the target, so the human being has only the requisite shakti (inner power) to reach union with Brahman. If any of that power is used for another purpose, no matter how benevolent or altruistic, another lifetime may be wasted and the wheel of rebirth will keep turning.

What is the nature of God: personal, impersonal, both equally, or is one of the two primary?

God is only personal or impersonal in relation to a consciousness immersed in the duality of samsara. God is beyond the two, just as God is beyond samsara. So those in samsara will consider God either personal or impersonal, and being samsarins will no doubt wrangle with or disdain one another for holding a wrong view. Those who have gone beyond duality will be beyond personal/impersonal, and will keep silence—just as does Brahman.

What is the nature of spiritual practice/sadhana/yoga?

The only purpose of yoga sadhana is to realize the Self, both the individual and cosmic, the jivatman and the Paramatman. Therefore it must be exclusively
adhyatmic in nature. A Brief Sanskrit Glossary defines adhyatmic: “pertaining to the Self (Atma), individual and Supreme.” A practice centered on an external “god” such as Shiva, Durga, Ganesha, etc., which is really only a symbol or portrayal of one or more aspects of God, or on an avatar such as Rama and Krishna, is by its and their nature partial and therefore limited and cannot lead to Self-realization and liberation in the Infinite. Gods and avatars only exist for us in samsara. Parabrahman, the Supreme, is beyond samsara and cannot be revealed through concentration or worship on either gods or avatars. To realize God we must get beyond all that which God is beyond. Therefore I recommend that you read Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self for adhyatmic yoga.

Is meditation and pranayama sufficient?
Meditation and pranayama should be one single sadhana, not two separate things. Repetition of a mantra in time with the breath should be our practice in both meditation and outside meditation. The Upanishads declare that the two spiritual powers we possess are breath and sound. This is explained in our publication Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self.

Is bhakti for a deity necessary?
As already pointed out, a deity or a symbolic form of God is partial and therefore limited, whereas our goal is to realize our eternal Self within the Infinite, the Self of our Self.

Jnana and bhakti are states, not practices or “yogas” (sadhana). Shankara in his writings says that bhakti is total dedication to the search for God-realization and liberation, and that jnana is God-realization, the state of liberation itself. Bhakti means dedication to an ideal or a purpose—in this case realization and liberation. Swami Sivananda often said: “Emotion is not devotion.”

In Chapter Six of Raja Yoga by Swami Vivekananda, he says about much of the “bhakti” in India: “All over the world there have been dancing and jumping and howling sects, who spread like infection when they begin to sing and dance and preach; they also are a sort of hypnotists. They exercise a singular control for the time being over sensitive persons, alas! often, in the long run, to degenerate whole races. Ay, it is healthier for the individual or the race to remain wicked than be made apparently good by such morbid extraneous control. One's heart sinks to think of the amount of injury done to humanity by such irresponsible yet well-meaning religious fanatics. They little know that the minds which attain to sudden spiritual upheaval under their suggestions, with music and prayers, are simply making themselves passive, morbid, and powerless, and opening themselves to any other suggestion, be it ever so evil. Little do these ignorant, deluded persons dream that whilst they are congratulating themselves upon their miraculous power to transform human hearts, which power they think was poured upon them by some Being above the clouds, they are sowing the seeds of future decay, of crime, of lunacy, and of death. Therefore, beware of everything that takes away your freedom.
Know that it is dangerous, and avoid it by all the means in your power.”

**What is ideal for a daily practice?**

The meditation and the continual japa of Soham as found in my book *Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self.*

**To someone who asked about the composition of the New Testament and its authority.**

Most scriptures are, as Sri Ramakrishna said, a mixture of sand and sugar, so we have to take the sugar and leave the sand. That is true of the entire Bible, as well. For the authentic teachings of Jesus I go to *The Aquarian Gospel of Jesus the Christ* by Levi Dowling and the writings of Paramhansa Yogananda, especially *The Second Coming of Christ.* I personally consider the Bhagavad Gita to be all sugar and the only truly comprehensive scripture on spiritual life. Further, I believe Jesus to have studied the Gita and taught its principles when he returned to Israel. (See *The Christ of India.*)

**Can you explain the chakras to me?**

I can tell you their purpose.

1) Base (Muladhara) chakra. The Muladhara chakra deals with the purely physical, atomic structure of the body. Therefore its energies deal with healing, correcting and empowering the very cells and organs of the body. Positive energies of this chakra manifest as insight into all material phenomena and independence of them; negative energies manifest as totally material perceptions and impulses toward avid attachment to material things and disbelief in anything higher than matter.

2) Swadhishthana chakra. The Swadhishthana chakra deals with neurological energies, emotions and desires, including sex/lust. It involves all that is self-centered and egotistical in a person. Through its purification the aspects of our physical and emotional life are corrected. It also deals with the fluids in the body including the lymph and blood. Positive energies of this chakra manifest as gentleness, sensitivity to others’ feelings, helpfulness and even self-sacrifice; negative energies manifest as negative emotions such as anger, resentment, hatred, jealousy, envy and—most of all—lust.

3) Navel (Manipura) chakra. The Manipura chakra deals with the metabolism and the assimilative powers of the body. It, too, relates to desires, especially the desire to acquire, control and encompass. It relates to the digestive system as well. So those are the aspects of a person that correction of this chakra can affect. Positive energies of this chakra manifest as strength of will and purpose and a highly developed sense of order and right conduct; negative energies manifest as greed, possessiveness, negative ambition and materialistic involvements.
4) Heart (Anahata) chakra. The Anahata chakra deals with metabolism and controls the cardio-pulmonary system. It too deals with feelings, but feelings of higher affection and altruism. (It is still in the lower levels, so do not mistake its movements for true or spiritual love or devotion to God.) It also deals with the faculty of sight and therefore basic perception as well as lesser intuition. It has a lot to do with the immune and circulatory systems and controls the thymus gland in the center of the chest. Positive energies of this chakra manifest as loving-kindness, generosity, and unselfish actions for the benefit of others; negative energies manifest as the desire to dominate others and to use them for selfish advantage.

5) Throat (Vishuddha) chakra. The Vishuddha chakra deals with intellectual development and the power of speech. The thyroid is controlled by it also. The will is also involved to some extent. Higher intuition comes into play here to some extent, as well. Positive energies of this chakra manifest as wise, uplifting and healing speech, words that have the power to manifest what is being spoken; negative energies manifest as foolish, meaningless words, lies, manipulative and negative, harmful speech.

6) Third eye (Ajna) chakra. The Ajna chakra controls, coordinates and partakes of all the functions of those beneath it. It particularly deals with spiritual intuition and spiritual will. Positive energies of this chakra manifest as clear intuition, spiritual perceptions and spiritual will power; negative energies manifest as chaotic or negative psychic experiences as well as whimsical, capricious and negative applications of will.

7) Sahasrara or brain chakra. The energies of this chakra are purely spiritual and unconditioned by any influences other than our finite spirit and the Infinite Spirit from which we derive our very existence. So there is never any trouble there. It need only be reached and empowered by the Kundalini to establish the precedence of these holy powers over the lower levels of our existence.

In my opinion the wise yogi accepts any experiences of these chakras which occur in meditation, but the Sahasrara is the one that really matters to those seeking God-realization.

Do you believe in or know to exist the masters that the Theosophists (and I. K. Taimni) talked about?

There is too much evidence of the reality of the Masters from the testimonial of so many of the early Theosophists to not believe in their existence at the time of Blavatsky and for at least some time later.

I find the claim that they were vastly superior in knowledge to anyone else living at that time in India bordering on the irresponsible, because no one person could have known all the great yogis of the entire Indian subcontinent. And what would have qualified them to know this? Nor have I seen anything in such sources as The Mahatma Letters to suppose that they had knowledge equal to the leading yogis of India, much less superior.
Furthermore, can it be assumed that they are living today (something not impossible to many great yogis) or have left their bodies yet remain in contact with qualified aspirants? Is there evidence that the Himalayan Brotherhood itself still exists? And the most important: Is it relevant to us?

I am always uneasy about those who claim to have hidden or invisible masters. Yet, though I may not readily believe, it would be irresponsible of me to deny the possibility, for I would have no basis for that.

Both Vyasa and Shankara, along with many other great teachers, such as Patanjali, have told us that the ultimate master is Ishwara and that the practice of yoga itself becomes the teacher of those who diligently apply themselves to sadhana. This I believe.

If there is only one consciousness it would seem that any distinction would be unreal. Is it only ego and mind that form false personality and distinction?

It is very crucial to avoid all simplistic interpretations of Indian philosophy. “Brahman alone is real, all else is unreal” has to be understood, not just cited. Certainly only Brahman is real, but Brahman has projected this entire field of relative existence and placed us within it to evolve and expand our scope of awareness until it is such that we can participate in the infinity of Brahman. Paramhansa Yogananda said that God (Ishwara) is the Cosmic Dreamer and we are co-dreamers with him. Maya is continually being mentioned by some as though it were “the Devil,” but Maya is the consciousness of Ishwara manifesting as the cosmos. We can think of it as a kind of training film. That is why it is called Yogamaya. Just as a motion picture is only light on a screen, in the same way the entire range of creation is an image in the Mind of God. And it is being shown to us for the development of our consciousness. So it is not amiss to say that it is both real and unreal. Even a hallucination or misperception is a real mental phenomenon.

Personality and distinction are necessities for our evolution. It is identification with them and clinging to them as fundamental realities that are the problem. We have jumped out of the boat into the water and drowned. We are presently in a school for developing consciousness, and until we develop our consciousness to the requisite level we keep coming back over and over. The entire school system is temporary and meant to be used and then transcended. At the moment it exists for us and refusal to accept it gets us nowhere.

To understand this the Gita should be carefully studied. Several times the Gita cites the Sankhya philosophy which is the original philosophy of India and the basis of Yoga. The very term Yoga-Vedanta is a contradiction. It is only the Advaita Vedantins that keep getting their feet tangled up in relativity because their philosophy does not tally with the experience of anyone. They even have had to come up with the absurd proposition that we should believe in non-duality yet live
as though there is duality. If something does not survive the testing-ground of life it has no value and may even do harm. Yogananda warned people not to get “philosophical indigestion.” Through many years I have seen a great deal of foolish and evil ideas justified by adherents of an undigested non-dual philosophy. Thomas Riley Marshall, Vice President under Woodrow Wilson, once said: “What this country needs is a good ten-cent cigar.” I doubt that it does, but this I do know: No one needs a simplistic ten-cent philosophy, no matter how sophisticated it sounds or how complexly and insistently it has been expounded for centuries.

**To an Indian friend who had to give up a deep personal attachment and was profoundly grieved.**

Please accept my deepest sympathy and concern over your sorrow. Peace and fulfillment are only to be found within, for God is there. However difficult it may be due to agitation of your mind and heart, please meditate. This is your lifeline.

When intensely sad, it is often better to leave the house and walk about for a while. Visit temples and pray. Attend satsangs and participate in them. Do not be alone too much.

This is very simple advice, but I assure you it not only helps, but when followed unbrokenly it can heal and bring peace to the heart.

**Is Lord Shiva the God of Israel? If so, how should I properly worship Him?**

Shiva is Yogeshwar, the Lord of Yogis. His true worship is not of any image or symbol, but is the practice of meditation.

**I need help to pray. I try to be importunate and pray every day (as Lord Jesus said). But lately I have realized my prayers do not seem to work.**

What is real prayer? In Sanskrit the word is *upasana*, which means to draw near or even sit near. In the Bible the word is *prosevke*, which means the same thing. Certainly we can speak to God what is in our hearts, but the most effective prayer is meditation and japa.

Through meditation and japa our mind and heart become purified and we become able to cope with the daily assaults of the world and our own restless mind. Meditation also puts us beyond the reach of much that may otherwise bother us. The mind becomes stronger and we become able to remain calm and endure. This is especially true in times of grief. We can choose either to immerse our minds in God or immerse them in our pain. I am not speaking theory—this is my experience, including at the death of loved ones.

There is nothing wrong in asking God for help or even for what we need materially, but Jesus said: “Seek ye first the kingdom of God, and his righteousness;
and all these things shall be added unto you” (Matthew 6:33). Then we will not just have some perishable situation or things, but we will have God!

And it troubles me that all these years I have prayed and perhaps God has not paid any attention.

Since “the Lord lives in the heart of every creature” (Bhagavad Gita 18:61), he knows all our anxieties and sorrows. He never ignores us, but all the many aspects of our life, especially our karmas, determine their results. Again, we must take refuge in God literally by meditation and a life that is in harmony with our meditation. Often we cause our own suffering, but desire, fear and mental confusion keep us from realizing that.

I ask for strength, purity/clarity of heart and mind, courage, to teach me to meditate and gain forgiveness of sins.

This is certainly the right prayer. And meditation is the highest remedy, though we must examine our lives and minds and see if we are holding to something that is hindering us.

I realize I’m doubtful when I pray as I feel God would not listen to me or hates me and that I don’t pray with faith.

Do not give up. Certainly God hears and cares. Have faith in both God and yourself.

I pray entreatingly. I pray to Shivaji, Lord Krishna, Lord Jesus, Mahavatar Babaji and Divine Mother.

This is good, but sadhana is the best.

I try to say mantras and prayers of repentance. I rarely get comforted. I feel very alone and helpless. I am going through a very difficult time and although this is not the first time I have been troubled, I’m trying to pray to get help. I even ask God what I can do to help myself so that He doesn’t have to do much. But I get nothing. I want to know what I can do to pray better; more effectively.

Meditation is the only answer. Meditate with single-minded determination. Carefully read and apply the teachings of the Gita, the great source of wisdom. Paramhansa Yogananda used to say: “A saint is a sinner that never gave up.” There is also the saying: “A diamond is a piece of coal that did not give up.”

Yogananda said that the most important thing in his autobiography was the statement by his guru, Sri Yukteswar: “Forget the past. The vanished lives of all men are dark with many shames. Human conduct is ever unreliable until anchored in the Divine. Everything in future will improve if you are making a spiritual effort now.”
I am reaching out to God with all my strength and heart and I’m getting nothing.

Yogananda told about a man in India who wanted to love God, and since he had heard that a person should shed tears of love for God, he put chili powder in his eyes so they would flow with tears. Fortunately a saint knew what he was doing and came to him and told him: “Dear brother, if you did not already love God, you would not be willing to undergo such pain. Please understand this.” To keep calling on God even when you are suffering is proof that you are not far from God. How many people in this world would do so?

If I have such bad past karma (maybe a reason why my prayers aren’t working) then what can I do to redeem myself so that God would pay some attention to me? I don’t want miracles, just some comfort.

I know it sounds repetitious, but interior cultivation is the only lasting remedy. Please be sure that I understand your suffering. Such experience is not new to me, and I can assure you that by persevering you will win the battle and attain blessedness. This I can tell you: meditation is the highest activity a human being can engage in, for it creates tremendous spiritual karma that unfailingly manifests in time.

Again, please read the Gita. Also read Chapter Two of *Autobiography of a Yogi*: “My Mother’s Death and the Mystic Amulet.” A mantra was the “heart” of the amulet, and by doing intense and prolonged japa and meditation with that mantra, Yogananda “daily traveled far on the wings of his amulet.”

To a friend who sent a long quotation from an Indian who had made a fortune running and eventually selling a string of hatha yoga centers. After selling them, she began notifying people of the “demonic evil” of yoga in any form, and raving on and on in the style of ignorant, fundamentalist “Christian” bigots about yoga and dharma.

You cannot reason with insanity, hatred and bigotry. Nor is there any value in refuting attacks based on them. Such people are, as Saint Jude said, “raging waves of the sea, foaming out their own shame” (Jude 13). As the great Master Yogananda used to say in relation to such people: “You go after God,” and leave them behind in the dark. Eventually they will come to the light, also.

Do you have anything further to add to Trailanga Swami’s story that may not have been written by Master Yogananda in his autobiography?

Yes, I do. First, three things that Yogacharya Oliver Black told to me when I spent all day with him in the fall of 1968. Yoganandaji had himself told these things to Mr. Black.

Trailanga Swami liked to tantalize the British police in Benares. Of course, they
were scandalized at his nudity, so they were always trying to arrest him for it. He really liked having them run after him, for though he weighed a great deal, he could go very fast, but would always run only an arm’s length away from them. Eventually he would take a street that led to the Ganges, and just as they thought they would catch hold of him he would leap far out into the Ganges. There he would either just sit on the water, remaining stationary even through the river was flowing very swiftly, or when the water was clear he would sink to the bottom and sit in meditation. Whichever he did, he would remain there for days with the police taking shifts to watch and eventually arrest him. And then he would disappear! Eventually it would start all over.

In Autobiography of a Yogi, Yoganandaji tells of times when Trailangaji would be locked in a jail cell and then after a while be seen walking along the roof. But there was a variation on that. Just like in the Middle Ages, there were stout wooden “cages” at the juncture of streets where the police would put criminals to be mocked and pelted with rocks and whatever the cowardly populace had to hand. Since he was so fat, they would have a hard time jamming Trailanga Swami in one of those cages, and when they did, his fat body would bulge out through the slats. But after a while he would suddenly be sitting on top of the cage, and not inside. When the police would start climbing up to grab him, he would jump out into the street, and the whole chase scene would be repeated.

Having decided that I would not be shocked at the account, Mr. Black then told me that often Trailanga Swami would stand in the Ganges and make his genitals as large as a fire hose and spray the pilgrims (and police) with the same force as a fire hose. But it was not urine, it was marvelous perfume! He would also go into a Shiva temple and either urinate on the linga or urinate in his hand and then pour it over the linga. Of course, the priests and worshippers went wild, but it would be discovered that it was heavenly perfume, and not urine at all.

At the beginning of 1968, Swami Kriyananda was scheduled to teach a two-week seminar on yoga at the University of the Pacific in Stockton, California. In time he realized that he would not be able to do the seminar, so he asked me to go in his place. At the end of the first week Swamiji came to the afternoon session and showed us slides of India which he had taken. One of the slides showed the temple in Trailanga Swami’s Benares ashram. The central object was a life-size marble statue of the Swami, but to the side and standing on the floor was a half-relief sculpture of the goddess Kali. Although it was not in any way an artistic production, something about it fascinated me. I remarked on it to Swamiji, and he told me that one day Trailanga Swami had been in another part of the ashram conversing with a disciple. At one point, the Kali image, having come to life, walked into the room where they were seated and held a conversation with Trailanga Swami, then walked out, returned to the temple and became stone again. The disciple was absolutely stunned and speechless. But Trailangaji simply said to him: “So now what have you got?” meaning that no experience, however amazing, was of any value whatsoever if it did not impart wisdom or meaningful change to the aspirant.
Finally, I can tell you that you can purchase a book on Amazon: *Trailanga Swami and Shankari Mataji* by Swami Paramananda Saraswati. Shankari Mataji was a disciple of Trailanga Swami. Yoganandaji briefly wrote about her in his autobiography and included her photograph. The material in the book is mostly her memories of Trailangaji which she personally told the author.

I am in a slight spiritual/religious quandary about the topic mentioned in Ephesians 1:7: “In Christ we are set free by the blood of his death,” etc. I feel this is such an easy way out versus cleaning up my act and ridding all past karmic sludge via lifetimes of evolving through higher and higher spiritual levels, I hope!

Anyway, it seems like one philosophy says we must all evolve and thus purify ourselves and this Bible quote says Christ’s blood via His death forgives our sins?? Please help me understand.

First, it must always be kept in mind that the New Testament has been heavily edited to make it conform to the theology of the fourth century state religion which called itself Christianity.

But, let’s look at the King James Version which is still the most accurate, though it has outdated language: “In whom [Christ] we have redemption through his blood….” The word translated “redemption” is *apolutrosis*, which means deliverance and liberation—to be set free. This is the very meaning of the Sanskrit word *moksha*, usually translated “liberation.” So the ideal is the same.

Jesus of Nazareth, having lived with the masters of India and attained liberation through perfect union with the universal Christ–Ishwara, the personal aspect of God (also called “the Son of God”)—was thereby himself a Christ.

Jesus said: “Except ye eat the flesh of the Son of man, and drink his blood, ye have no life in you” (John 6:53, 56). The “flesh” of Jesus Christ is his outer life of perfect holiness, and his “blood” is his inner, Christ Consciousness. It is this alone that liberates anyone—as Jesus himself was liberated through Christ. The word *aima*, translated “blood” not only means the physical blood of a living creature, but the seat or center of life itself. “For the life of the flesh is in the blood” (Leviticus 17:11). It is the Christ Life that redeems us and procures for us the forgiveness of sins that is mentioned next in the verse from Ephesians. And guess what? The word here translated “forgiveness” is *aphesis*, which like *apolutrosis* also means release, deliverance and liberty: moksha.

A final point: It is not in the present-day New Testament that we find the truth about Jesus, his nature and his teachings, but in the *Aquarian Gospel of Jesus the Christ* by Levi Dowling.

Can Soham only take one so far in the direction of Self-realization? Should Soham be let go of at a certain point, or is the practice retained? Can a still
and silent mind be achieved if there is still Soham with the breath even if it is very subtle? In my practice the intonations of Soham have softened and become like a wisp of smoke that is almost indistinguishable and inseparable from the breath—even indistinguishable from the breath.

In the scriptures that deal with yoga and meditation, such as are cited in Soham Yoga, Soham is declared to be both Brahman and the Self. Self-realization is the realization of Soham as the Self and Brahman—the individual Self (jivatman) and the Supreme Self (Paramatman). Soham is itself the revelation of Brahman and the Self. Therefore there is no question of letting go of Soham in meditation. Rather, as you have experienced for yourself, in meditation (and outside as well) the japa of Soham becomes increasingly subtle just as you have described. And in time that is experienced not just as the movement of the breath, but as consciousness itself. For Consciousness and Sound are discovered to be the same, as Purusha and Prakriti are the same, not two. Therefore the yogic treatises tell us that the breath is continually sounding Soham because the two are one.

Stay with Soham.

While being with Soham and the breath I have also focussed on letting go of thoughts, feelings, etc. Obviously, there is a way to go in that practice and I am seeking your advice.

There is no need to think of letting go of thoughts, feelings, memories and suchlike. Instead just relax into the mental sound of your intonations of Soham and let Soham fill your entire awareness.

I hope you will occasionally reread or skim through Soham Yoga to keep these ideas vivid in your mind.

In the beginning of Robe of Light the separateness of God and the individual soul is stated and, if I understood correctly, the possibility of the soul to partake in the consciousness of God, but always being separate from Him. Is my understanding correct if I conclude from this that you are saying that perfect union with God is not possible? Or does it mean that it is not possible unless the individual ceases to exist?

The individual spirit is never separated from God, but is nevertheless distinct from God. We are always one with God, but there is a difference: God is always infinite, we are always finite. Although we do not “become God” in the sense of being infinite and no longer individuals, we can participate in certain aspects of the Divine Consciousness. The how of that is what Robe of Light is all about.

Union with God is not only possible, separation from God is impossible. Equally impossible is the cessation of the individual spirit.

Our problem is that we do not realize or experience the eternal order of things. The words of the Prodigal Son’s father could easily be the words of God to the individual spirit: “Son, thou art ever with me, and all that I have is thine” (Luke
My second question is about the meaning of Jesus Christ’s life for all of humanity. How exactly was his mission important for us? I know Robe of Light deals with precisely that issue, but does that mean that before Christ incarnated, it was impossible for a normal human being to become enlightened? Or more difficult?

The great Master Teachers such as Jesus have a twofold meaning: a universal meaning for all human beings as a manifestation of spiritual perfection which all can draw inspiration from, and a personal meaning for all those for whose benefit they specifically were incarnated at a certain time at a certain place.

What, then, is the meaning of Jesus’ paying back the debt for “original sin” and the concrete consequences for a truth-seeker today versus a seeker in 1000 B.C.?

Jesus as a person is unique among teachers because of his having been Adam and therefore with a meaningful karmic connection with a great many people, but that does not make him superior to the other avatars, or even indispensable. As Yogananda often said, we must come to realize that on the highest level there is no difference between one master and another.

Jesus’ incarnation and crucifixion was for the expiation of his personal karmic debt—not to God, but to that segment of humanity in this creation cycle that had been affected by his past negative actions and influence. Yet all humanity has been benefitted by his incarnation to different degrees and in different ways to some extent. However, if Jesus (or Krishna or Buddha, etc.) had not been born, human beings would still have managed because of their innate divine nature. Every great Master is unique, but not absolutely necessary for anyone. By that I mean that there is no one whose “salvation” depends on a Master without whom they cannot attain liberation (which is what salvation is). Yet, just as individuals from life to life come into association with those with whom they have past karma or present affinity, so it is with the great Wayshowers.

However, the only requisite for a human being is the attainment of conscious union with God as a result of evolution of their consciousness. Great Souls come into the world for that purpose, and although in the divine plan there are those who have an affinity for one of them, there is no one savior for all or even for an individual.

I want to ask you if you know how one can communicate with family members that have passed on, and if you can offer any pointers in that direction.

It is very important—necessary, actually—that after a certain time in earthly incarnation the human being leaves the body and spends time in an astral world so
the previous life experiences can be assimilated into the individual’s psyche. And equally important is the rest and rejuvenation of the person’s astral and causal bodies so in the next life the person will be born in an appropriate body that will be charged with the life force needed for that life. This vital cycle must go on uninterruptedly after death for the sake of what we might call “reincarnational health.”

Two things interrupt and interfere with that needed preparation for a next life.

1) Being drawn back from the astral world into this world (though in an astral body) and focused on the past life in its various aspects that the evolving individual needs to leave behind and move forward to prepare for the next life. It is like a level in school. When it is over, it is over and should be moved beyond.

Contact by the departed with loved ones after death can cause anguish at seeing their sorrow over the departed’s absence, and can even in time cause them to be earthbound and unable to go back into the astral world where they should be. As a result they may become wandering spirits, tormented by their inability to communicate with “the living.”

2) The desire to be with and able to communicate with embodied people can cause them to reincarnate too soon without have gotten the rest and regeneration their subtle bodies needed. As a result in the next life they will be weak in health and susceptible to many physical problems and ailments.

The universe is a school which has rules, and those who ignore or break the rules run into difficulties. They are not punished, they just undergo the consequences of not following that which is designed for their continued welfare and happiness in whatever world they may be.

When loved ones leave this world they can experience real distress and mental anguish at the sorrow of those they leave behind, since for a short while they can feel the emotions of the mourners. Therefore those who love them should do their best not to sink into grief, but should rather think of the departed with love and appreciation and pray deeply for the progress of that dear one’s soul. Prayers for the departed are effective means to uplift and free the departed to prepare for the subsequent life.

There is nothing wrong in vividly remembering those who have left the earth plane, but always with love and blessing and prayers for their continued progress. Their absence is sad for us, but they have not died, just gone to another dimension. But a selfish desire to pull them back and be with them as before death is very harmful to us and them.

Freedom to grow is needed in every world in which we may be born and evolve. They belong to God and to the cosmos. Jerry Jampolsky was right: Love is Letting Go.

To someone who asked how Jesus could have a personal karmic debt requiring the crucifixion for its expiation if he had become Christ and was in
total conscious union with the Absolute.

This was part of the Great Sacrifice made by Jesus. Definitely he had no compulsory karma whatsoever. But he willingly retained the karmic debt in order to facilitate his mission as a World Savior. He could have just consumed that karma and let the world continue to muddle through, but did not.

Masters are able to retain karma to use as a kind of karmic thread, a means by which they can more easily reincarnate back in this world.

For example, Master Yogananda had decided in detail upon his next earthly life in India when he will wander by the Ganges with just a few chosen disciples. For a year prior to his mahasamadhi he had occasionally been warning his disciples that he would be leaving this world in the near future. Naturally, most of them just could not take it in, one of the reasons being that he scheduled an ocean voyage to India and designated the disciples who would be going with him. For some years he had basically lived without eating. He had a little clear plastic “satchel” in which he took nuts and fruit with him during the work on Lake Shrine. And that he mostly gave to the workers, who found that a tiny mouthful stopped all hunger and thirst and gave them the energy for the day’s hard work. But toward the end of his remaining time he began only eating elaborate Indian food, giving great attention to its preparation. Much of his time before the Indian Consulate’s visit at whose banquet he planned to leave his body was spent perfecting various Indian bazaar dishes for his honored guest, particularly singharas (samosas). In over thirty years in America he had never been able to duplicate them exactly like those in Calcutta, but at last he succeeded.

By using his karma at will, not being under its power at all, he created a karmic force in that last year which would facilitate his return. For no matter how trivial a desire, decision or action, if unfulfilled or undissolved it will bring a person back to incarnation. (A great nineteenth-century master, Sri Brahma Chaitanya of Gondawali, Maharashtra, told of a little girl who had been brought back to rebirth solely because she wanted a necklace! When she gave it to him, silently indicating that she was offering it to God, she left her body after a few days.)

It was the same with Jesus. Neither karma nor karmic debt mean to the Masters what it means for us.

I understood that one of the blessings of transcendent meditation was that progressive superconscious states burned off the impurities of mind, the karmic tendencies and latencies—our impulses for action-reaction. To the extent that the mind is established in superconscious levels, is not karma effectively overcome?

Yes. But completely at the will of the master yogi, who can rearrange things as they go on according to his divine consciousness. But never under compulsion or coercion.

Is Karma such an absolute Law that must be totally met? I ask this
because Karma seems a more nuanced expression of universal law than we realize and perhaps does not function quite in the way we imagine.

Karma is Consciousness, just like everything else.

**Is there not a Law of Grace—reflecting our spiritual state—that progressively dissolves karma as we evolve?**

Evolution dissolves karma as we evolve. And that itself is Grace. Please realize this: We can never understand Masters and how they accomplish their divine mission in this world until we become Masters ourselves.

**Can someone today have had “no” past lives? Could this be the start of lives?**

We have many, many reincarnations in lower life forms before we ever reach human form. (See *Robe of Light.*) By the time we are primitive human beings we have no doubt been around for more than one creation cycle. So all human beings have a very long history behind them.

**What if someone can’t recall a past life/lives? How do they unblock this?**

There is a very good reason for people not remembering their past lives, lest they get stuck in one and not move on thereby retarding their progress. So if someone draws a blank in trying to remember, it is better to drop the idea for a while and return to it occasionally to see if the blank remains. When the time is right, the memory will be available.

Sometimes people have lived on other planets in intelligent life forms very different from ours, so even if they remember, it either makes no sense to them or they do not believe it. It is not common, but it does happen.

**Why do some people feel shame and embarrassment over the memory of a past life? Is this normal and why are people ashamed?**

That is so subjective and personal that there is no simple answer for it. Certainly, a person might get a glimmer of a life that somewhere had an unpleasant or “shameful” element and feel shame and embarrassment even if that aspect has not surfaced consciously. It is good to be cautious at such times, perhaps waiting a while and then trying again.

I once remembered quite a bit about a childhood in a life three centuries ago. It made no sense to me: I lived alone with my mother in a large house and never saw anyone else but an elderly woman who was some kind of servant that came each day. When I was about ten years of age, I did meet two other boys my age. We became friends and often spent time together in a forest, but I never saw their homes or anyone connected with them. In my early teens my mother died and then
no one at all came near our house, and to escape starvation I wandered until I found a road and walked to a large city where I made a very poor living.

One day when pondering the peculiarity of that life I understood: I must have been an illegitimate child of someone who kept my mother and me hidden away and my existence was strictly kept secret. Since the lapse of three centuries made me indifferent to such a life, I might have felt ashamed if the impressions of the social ideas of that time were still in my subconscious.

Christians say: “Why do I need to reincarnate to pay off my sins via rebirth if Jesus Christ paid the price for me?”

How do you argue with falsehood? It is a lie: Jesus “paid” nothing for us. We ourselves must pay it all. Karma is clearly outlined by Saint Paul: “Be not deceived; God is not mocked: for whatsoever a man soweth, that shall he also reap” (Galatians 6:7). If Jesus enabled us to not reap what we have sown, then he would be the greatest mocker of God along with us. Certainly the karma of negative action can be neutralized by the karma of positive action—but that is all in our hands. That is why Zacchaeus “said unto the Lord;… if I have taken any thing from any man by false accusation, I restore him fourfold” (Luke 19:8). That way he cancelled his karmic debts. Jesus did not do it for him.

Faith is not only worthless, it is destructive when it is placed in something that is not true. Sincerity counts for nothing when we are deluded.

In India which is mostly worshipped: God with form (Saguna Brahman) or God without form (Nirguna Brahman)? Do you think that to worship the Personal God is better than to worship the Formless God?

Certainly in India Saguna Brahman is worshipped more than Nirguna Brahman. But we must not forget that Saguna and Nirguna mean with qualities (guna) and without qualities, not just with form and without form—though that is included. As usual it is good to turn to the Bhagavad Gita in this matter. There we find this in the twelfth chapter: “Arjuna said: The constantly steadfast who worship you with devotion, and those who worship the eternal Unmanifest—which of them has the better understanding of yoga? The Holy Lord said: Those who are ever steadfast, who worship me, fixing their minds on me, endowed with supreme faith, I consider them to be the best versed in yoga. But those who worship the Imperishable, the Undefinable, the Unmanifested, the Omnipresent (All-pervading), Inconceivable, Unchanging, Unmoving, the Constant—controlling all the senses, even-minded everywhere, happy in the welfare of all beings—they attain to me also. Greater is the effort of those whose minds are set on the Unmanifest, for the Unmanifest as a goal is truly difficult for the embodied ones to reach. But those who, renouncing all actions in me, intent on me as the highest [goal] worship me, meditating on me with single-minded Yoga—of those whose consciousness has entered into me, I am
soon the deliverer from the ocean of mortal samsara. Keep your mind on me alone, causing your intellect to enter into me. Thenceforward, without doubt, you shall dwell in me” (12:1-8).

How do those who worship the Impersonal God (Nirguna Brahman) imagine Him for the purpose of concentration? Or is it not necessary to imagine any form of God during worship of Him?

It is very necessary to realize that there are not two Brahmans, Saguna and Nirguna. Rather there is only Brahman who to us in relative existence appears dual in aspect: with qualities (guna) and without qualities (nirguna).

Naturally, there would be no mental image of Nirguna Brahman, but according to the scriptures, the japa and meditation of Soham unites us to Brahman in total being, nirguna and saguna.

In the oldest Upanishad, the Isha Upanishad, the sixteenth verse says: Yo sav asau purushah; so’ham asmi–I am that Purusha [Spirit-Self]: I AM SOHAM. In Sanskrit Soham means “I Am That,” but at the core of every sentient being Soham exists as the Self–is the Self. Therefore the seer of the Upanishad concludes: “I am Soham.” Soham asmi–“I am That I am”–is exactly what God told Moses was his Name (Exodus 3:14).

In the next oldest Upanishad, the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad, we are told: “In the beginning this (world) was only the Self [Atman], in the shape of a person. Looking around he saw nothing else than the Self. He first said, I am Soham [Soham asmi]” (1:4:1). Thus, Soham is the “first speaking” of the Absolute Itself: the expression of the knowledge and knowing of the Self. We, too, are Soham. Later in the Upanishad (5.15.2), the identical words are said as in the verse cited previously from the Isha Upanishad.

At the beginning of the Maha Vakya Upanishad, Brahma the Creator is said to have declared: “The personal knowledge that this Sun is Brahman is got by chanting the Ajapa Gayatri: Soham.” At the end of the Upanishad Brahma says that those who invoke this Gayatri will have the realization: “I am that sun who is the ethereal light. I am that Shiva who is that sun of Knowledge. I am the supremely pure [vishuddha] light of the Atma. I am all the light that we know.”

“Solely by the mantra Soham joined to the inhalation and exhalation, the jiva perceives the supreme Brahman” (Narada Parivrajaka Upanishad 6:4).

“The Supreme Swan [Paramhansa–the Self] is Soham” (Nirvana Upanishad 2). The two syllables So and Ham are the two wings by means of which the swan-spirit flies back to Spirit.

“Soham is the seed-mantra, the essence, of the Sun” (Surya Upanishad).

“This mantra [Soham] which is called Ajapa Gayatri will give salvation to all yogis. Just mental repetition of this mantra will help one get rid of all sins. There are no practices as holy as this, no japa which is equivalent to this, and no wisdom equivalent to this and in the future there shall be nothing equivalent to it. This
Ajapa Gayatri which rises from the Kundalini supports the soul. This is the greatest among the sciences of the soul” (Yoga Chudamani Upanishad 33-35).

“The breath goes out with the sound ‘ham’ and goes in with the word ‘so’…. This chanting of the mantra ‘Soham, Soham” [in time with the breath], is called Mantra Yoga” (Yoga Shikha Upanishad 1.5).

“The Gayatri called Ajapa [Soham] is the giver of liberation to the sages; by merely repeating it mentally one is released from all sin” (Garuda Purana 15:70).

“Know this [Soham] to be the Paramatma” (Jnanarvana Tantra).

“The body is the temple of God. Let the jiva worship with ‘Soham’ (Kularnava Tantra).

“The living soul knows itself to be ‘Soham’” (Yoga Vashishtha, Utpati Khanda 3:64:9).

“The breath of every person in entering makes the sound of ‘sah’ and in coming out, that of ‘ham.’ These two sounds make Soham. Throughout a day and a night there are twenty-one thousand and six hundred such respirations. Every living being performs this japa unconsciously, but constantly. This is called ajapa gayatri. All jivas are constantly and unconsciously reciting this ajapa mantra, only for a fixed number of times every day. But a yogi should recite this consciously” (Gheranda Samhita 5:84, 90).


For much more on this, see Chapter Three of Soham Yoga.

You write in a Q&A section that Jesus did no such thing as pay for our sins. If that is the case, why does he say in Mark 10:45 that he came to give his life as a ransom for many? Or even more to the point, in Matthew 26:28 he says “This is my blood of the covenant, which is poured out for many for the forgiveness of sins.”

One problem we have is that fact that the “original Greek” texts date from sometime after the beginning of the fourth century and have been made to conform to the theology of the Nicene or Constantinian version of Christianity. Even the Aramaic text was corrupted after this time and the Peshitta text was imposed on the Aramaic-speaking churches. (Lamsa’s so-called “original” text is the Peshitta.) In the (Aramaic) Cureton Gospels we have the nearest to the original, but the “translation” published in 1894 is little more than a paraphrase of the King James gospels. One of our monks who was a linguist translated all four into English, but after his death the computer disk on which they were found was damaged by a company we gave it over to for transcription. We do have the gospel of John and Luke and hope to post them on our website in the future. But we cannot be sure there was not some meddling with those texts, though they are much older than the Greek.

The root of the problem goes right back to just after the departure of Jesus from
Israel to return to India. (See *The Christ of India* on our website.) In the ancient book of Kashmiri history, the *Bhavishya Maha Purana*, there is the following account of the meeting of a king of Kashmir with Jesus sometime after his return: “When the king of the Sakas came to the Himalayas, he saw a dignified person of golden complexion wearing a long white robe. Astonished to see this foreigner, he asked, ‘Who are you?’ The dignified person replied in a pleasant manner: ‘Know me as Son of God [Isha Putram], or Born of a Virgin [Kumarigarbhasangbhawam]. Being given to truth and penances, I preached the Dharma to the mlecchas.... O King, I hail from a land far away, where there is no truth, and evil knows no limits. I appeared in the country of the mlecchas as Isha Masiha [Jesus Messiah/Christ] and I suffered at their hands. For I said unto them, ‘Remove all mental and bodily impurities. Remember the Name of our Lord God. Meditate upon Him Whose abode is in the center of the sun.’” There in the land of mleccha darkness, I taught love, truth, and purity of heart. I asked human beings to serve the Lord. But I suffered at the hands of the wicked and the guilty. In truth, O King, all power rests with the Lord, Who is in the center of the sun. And the elements, and the cosmos, and the sun, and God Himself, are forever. Perfect, pure, and blissful, God is always in my heart. Thus my Name has been established as Isha Masiha.’ After having heard the pious words from the lips of this distinguished person, the king felt peaceful, made obeisance to him, and returned” (*Bhavishya Maha Purana* 3.2.9-31. The word “mleccha” means a foreigner, a non-Indian.)

Here we see that what Jesus says he taught in Israel was not the religion called Christianity that we have today, but that of India. Especially significant is Jesus’ statement that “I hail from a land far away, where there is no truth, and evil knows no limits.” This is certainly no endorsement of the Judaism of that day as being a true religion. Yet we find from the book of Acts that the apostles and their converts were meeting daily in the Temple of Jerusalem and conformed so fully to the very religion Jesus has repudiated at the age of twelve, that at first they even made male converts be circumcised!

Part of the intrusion of the old beliefs and ways into the beliefs of Christianity was the insistence on the former legitimacy of blood sacrifice. See the ninth chapter of the book of Hebrews where we find such an insane statement as: “Without shedding of blood is no remission [of sins]” (Hebrews 9:22). Therefore Jesus had to be declared a perfect blood sacrifice to appease the wrath of a justly angered God. And things unraveled from there. This is why Jesus said in the Greek text: “Many will say to me in that day, Lord, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity” (Matthew 7:22-23). In the Aquarian Gospel there is even more of a point put on those words: “And when the judgement hour shall come a host of men will enter pleadings for themselves and think to buy the favor of the judge with words. And they will say, Lo, we have wrought a multitude of works in the Omnific name, have we not prophesied? Have we not cured all manner of disease? Have we not
cast the evil spirits out of those obsessed? And then the judge will say, I know you not. You rendered service unto God in words when in your heart you worshipped Beelzebub. The evil one may use the powers of life, and do a multitude of mighty works. Depart from me, you workers of iniquity” (101:24-28).

Certainly Jesus is a world-savior, but not in the way of the gross and ignorant theology of the churches. And he did show the way to eternal life, but Christianity began destroying it from the very first. Which is also why Jesus asked: “When the Son of man cometh, shall he find faith on the earth?” (Luke 18:8).

How do we find the authentic teachings of Jesus? In the very same place where he got them: India. The religion he brought from India back to Israel was and is based on the Upanishads, the Bhagavad Gita and the Yoga Sutras from which he quoted. If we learn and adopt that religion then we are the disciples of Christ. Not otherwise.

I would like to understand the life and teachings of Jesus better.

The best place to start is the *Aquarian Gospel* by Levi Dowling. In both the Introduction and the text the difference between “The Christ” and “a Christ” (as was Jesus) is explained.

Paramhansa Yogananda’s *Autobiography of a Yogi* (first edition) and *The Second Coming of Christ* give the true nature and teachings of Jesus. PDFs of both books can be downloaded from our ocoy.org website. However, the two-volume edition from SRF contains material from other publications of Yogananda added by Sri Mrinalini Mata when she edited it, so I recommend you get a copy of that as well if you do not already have it.

*Jesus Christ and Yoga* by Shyam Sundar Goswami also gives the insights of a yogi into the nature and mission of Jesus who was a master yogi and a member of the Nath Yogi Order.

*The following is taken from a condemnatory review of The Gospel of Thomas for Awakening that was posted on the Amazon page where it can be ordered. It is extremely revealing about the attitude toward and the concept of God held by the reviewer—and most of contemporary Christianity.*

*No other person ever raised himself from the dead after three days in the grave.*

As a child I encountered this argument and being ignorant accepted it. Later on when I learned what had been going on in the real world outside the blind and narrow world of Churchianity I realized it was errant nonsense.

Throughout history there have been masters in the Eastern religions–Hinduism, Buddhism and Taoism–that have manifested themselves in living physical bodies after their physical death. For example: Shyama Charan Lahiri Mahasaya, Swami Sri
Yukteswar Giri and Paramhansa Yogananda. My friend Durgaprasad Sahai was present when Swami Keshavananda, a disciple of Lahiri Mahasaya written about in Autobiography of a Yogi, manifested in physical form in Benares. He told me about it in detail. I myself saw the Raja of Solan (Yogi Bhai) in a physical body in Brindaban two or three years after his death.

These masters did not retain the physical body after their various appearances to others. But if that is desired as proof there is the well-known and proven example of Sri Hariakhan Baba in the twentieth century. Baba went with one of his disciples on pilgrimage to Kailash. At one point the disciple got smallpox and was dying. Hariakhan Baba took the disease on his body and died of it, and the disciple recovered and had Baba’s body cremated. Grieving, he went to Almora to inform his fellow devotees of Baba’s death. At the edge of Almora he came to a shop of a devotee in order to tell him the sad news. “Why are you not with Baba?” asked the man, and said he was at a certain devotee’s house. When the disciple hurried there he found Hariakhan Baba there in his resurrected physical body in which he lived for more years.

And what about those that have never even died? Are they not more free from the bonds of death than someone who dies and resurrects? In India today there are deathless masters such as Ashwathama (from the days of the Mahabharata War), Agastya Muni and Mahavatar Babaji of Autobiography of a Yogi. I personally know three people who met Babaji, and know others whose gurus knew him well and told them about him.

And since when is physical embodiment a proof of immortality? The body is not the spirit. No one ever really dies.

Furthermore, according to the Nathanamavali (see The Christ of India) Jesus was raised from the dead by his guru, Sri Chetan Nath of the Nath Yogi Order.

No other person lived a perfect, sinless life, and can grant eternal life to anyone who will repent of their sins, embrace him and believe on him in their spirit.

Now how could anyone know that unless they knew every human being that ever lived?

I met a missionary in India who insisted on this nonsense. I reminded him that Jesus said: “He that believeth on me, the works that I do shall he do also” (John 14:12). Since we were in Calcutta I told him about the many times those in helpless moral conditions (some hopelessly addicted to drugs) came to Sri Ramakrishna who was living in the Dakshineshwar Kali Temple and begged for his help. He would simply touch them and they would instantly be freed from their bondage. I also told him of yogis I had met in America and India who could do the same thing. “Can you do that?” I asked. “No,” he answered. “Then who are the true Christians—them or you, according to Jesus?” I asked. And he had no answer.

A very close friend of mine committed a terrible evil which from that moment
on blighted her life. For some years she was burdened with the effects of her deed. One time we were both in India and I urged her to go that very day to Anandamayi Ma and plead for help. The next time I saw her the change was instantly evident: the terrible cloud had been dispelled. I told her so, and she told me that Ma had simply touched her with both hands and moved them throughout her aura. “I felt all that awful guilt and the damage it had done to me dissolving away, and I left completely free,” she said. And she remained so.

Over and over I have seen great yogis deliver people at a glance. Many times I have witnessed profound spiritual release and healing occur when people have simply entered the place where the yogis were and stood looking at them, often with tears of relief and joy streaming down their faces. One woman I knew could not recover from the death of her father, who was a longtime devotee of Anandamayi Ma, so she came from Europe to India to see Ma. “If I just tell Ma my grief, she will help me,” she told me. And I well remember the morning she returned from seeing Ma and telling her about her grief. She was radiant with joy, completely free from sorrow.

As Yogananda wrote in his autobiography, there are many Yogi-Christ in India. I have known some. And they could raise the dead, heal the sick and cleanse lepers like Jesus, too.

**That is Jesus’ marvilous [sic] gift to us. Eternal life, if we can believe in him and accept his free gift. Thank you Lord Jesus!**

Why thank the Lord Jesus if it is your faith that enables you to be saved? You say: “If we can believe in him and accept.” Even as a Fundamentalist I saw the absurdity of my salvation being determined by my own emotional response to Jesus. Why would that be the condition of my salvation? Then I would be the cause of my salvation, and not him. (Later on I learned from reading Indian spiritual writings that this was the actual truth. Thank you, India!)

What has faith to do with the reality of something? In 1962 I was instantly healed of fever and a terrible ulcer in my throat by Swami Krishnananda of Krishnanagar in rural Bengal. (Swamiji was a disciple of Sri Ma Sarada Devi, the virgin wife of Sri Ramakrishna.) I just could not believe I was healed. I not only believed that the ulcer was still there, I kept swallowing very hard to get it to start hurting again. But it did not. I had been healed. Faith had nothing to do with it.

**To deny the Lord Jesus Christ as the Son of God is blasphemous and if one does not repent of such heresey [sic] in this life damnation is in your future.**

What a terrible belief about God: that he is such a psychotic failure that if his handiwork goes wrong and does not do as he wants it to he will either destroy it or torture it for eternity in unquenchable fire. Some savior! Some love!

I would like to ask all who believe as does this reviewer: Who do you really
Reading Abbot Burke's *The Christ of India*, (all in all a great book) I am a bit confused as to the disposition of Saint Thomas Christians on the matter of God. At one point, he states that they are Unitarian and at other times asserts they are Trinitarian, both practically and esoterically. Which is it?

It is both, because God is both Unity and Trinity. We must realize that since human beings are only on the first rung of the evolutionary ladder, the material universe, they really cannot comprehend the divine nature as it is, since it is beyond conception—but not beyond direct experience through yoga. As a result, we must speak of it in terms common to this world.

God is beyond all conception, and therefore is beyond both Unity and Trinity as we think of it. Yet in speaking of the possibility of interaction between humans and God and the ultimate realization of their oneness, we have to speak as well as we can, though only approximately. God is absolutely One, yet in relating to us manifests in a triune manner. And since God never changes, he must be essentially One and Three simultaneously, otherwise it could not happen. Yet, since even the concepts of one and three are from our side only, he is neither one nor three.

A child beginning to talk really does not have the mental development to understand what his mother and father really are as human beings. But they respond to his addressing them, even if he does not articulate “mother” or “father” clearly. In the same way, our reaching out to comprehend through language is an act of will which does lead us onward to increasing understanding and then transcendence of that limited comprehension into “open vision direct and instant” (Bhagavad Gita 9:1) which is beyond words. And in that vision we get beyond them, too.

To someone who, referring to the foregoing answer asked why it had to be one and three and not four, five, six or any number.

You are absolutely right. Any number that applies to an aspect of God is perfectly legitimate. Here is an interesting section from the Upanishads regarding this.

“Then Vidagdha Sakalya asked him: How many gods are there, Yajnavalkya? He answered, in accord with the following nivid (invocation of the gods): As many as are mentioned in the nivid of the hymn of praise to the Vishwedevas, namely, three hundred and three, and three thousand and three. Yes, he said, but how many gods are there, Yajnavalkya? Thirty three. Yes, he said, but how many gods are there, Yajnavalkya? Six. Yes, said he, but how many gods are there, Yajnavalkya? Three. Yes, said he, but how many gods are there, Yajnavalkya? Two. Yes, said he, but how many gods are there, Yajnavalkya? One and a half. Yes, said he, but how many gods are there, Yajnavalkya? One. Yes, said he” (Brihadaranyaka Upanishad 3.9.1).
God is not like an egg or an apple that we can count him, so he is not really one, either. As the ancient sages of India have told us over and over, he is all and he is no thing. Therefore he is every number and no number.

The only ones who really understand this are the siddhas, the perfected yogis.

In reply to someone who had read *Robe of Light* which discusses the importance of both Jesus and Mary in the history of the human race as the reincarnations of Adam and Eve. He asked what the function and role of other great historical spiritual figures has been in relationship to the advent and mission of Jesus and Mary. Particularly he asked about Buddha in relation to them.

Many times I cite the teaching of Yogananda on various topics, and his words are relevant here. In one of his recorded talks he expresses the need for us to realize that all the masters who have appeared on earth are of equal status—that there is no difference between them as far as their spiritual level is concerned. Some have had wider influence in the world and some less, but that is no indication of their value.

There is no way that a person can live in India and not be affected by many great masters of the past such as Krishna, Rama, Buddha and Shankara. Certainly they would have influenced Jesus, and contemporary masters also had a marked effect. For example, Yogananda said that the Three Wise Men were Mahavatar Babaji, Shyama Charan Lahiri Mahasaya and Swami Sri Yukteswar, and that when Jesus went to India he studied with them.

Each liberated being is a product of many influences, including many teachers and masters. No one master stands completely on his own independently. Every one who is saved has many saviors along the way up the evolutionary ladder. As Yogananda said, no master is greater than another. Each one is unique and yet in essence all are the same—as are we who are destined to one day be masters ourselves.

I have a question arising from your teaching about meditation. You have written: “Meditation is the process of centering our awareness in the principle of pure consciousness which is our essential being.” I wanted to check the meaning of that as the terms can be applied variously and it can sound abstract.

So in real terms is that the practice of attending with my conscious (thinking-feeling) mind, i.e. my consciousness, to stay attentive within the field of my greater awareness which has a more spacious and rarefied character. And from here the Presence of the Divine may arise. I hope you follow my explanation, is this correct?

When Sri Ramakrishna was asked, “What is the Self?” he replied: “The witness of the mind.” That is, the witnessing consciousness is the Self. When in a calm and relaxed manner we fix our awareness on Soham and the effects its japa and
meditation produce, we are being ourselves. That pure consciousness which we are experiencing is our Self. The Kena Upanishad defines the Self as “the Ear of the ear, the Mind of the mind, the Speech of speech, the Life of life and the Eye of the eye” (1:2). That is why meditation is so simple. As Vyasa and Shankara said in their commentaries on the Yoga Sutras, meditation is witnessing a stream of identical thoughts.

To someone who asked for more about Warren Vickerman, the second American to become a disciple of Paramhansa Yogananda.

The very first person I had a conversation with at the Hollywood SRF Center was Annie Vickerman, the wife of Warren Vickerman (“Vickie”). She was taking care of the little octagonal bookstore. We became good friends and in later conversations she occasionally told me some things about him.

A young man of deep introspection, Vickie came to realize that the breath is the foundation of the mind, and that the restless, uncontrolled breath is the great obstacle to deep spiritual perception. Though living in New York City, he somehow learned that a Swami Yogananda was living and teaching in Boston. Intuitively feeling that the swami held the key to his dilemma, he managed to find his address and went, unannounced, to Boston. As he was walking up toward the house in which Yogananda was saying, the Master came out onto the porch. Stopping right where he was, Vickie asked: “Can you help me to get rid of the breath?” Yoganandaji smiled and said: “Come right on in!” And he did, and became his second American disciple.

Yoganandaji often stayed with the Vickermans in New York. Vickie was an excellent cook, so the two of them often spent hours in the kitchen cooking up Indian specialities and creating new ones. Whenever Annie (who could not cook at all) entered the precincts she was immediately shooed out and the door closed as the experts continued their culinary conquests.

Warren was serious about finding God and expected other yogis to be the same. Neither could he be fooled by talk. A man visited the Vickermans one day and Annie listened to him recite his spiritual experiences and insights to Vickie. Immensely impressed, when the man left Annie told Vickie: “That was remarkable, wasn’t it?” “It was all lies, not a word of truth in it,” was Vickie’s brief retort. “How do you know?” asked a bewildered Annie. Sitting down, Vickie quietly said: “Because I was watching his heart chakra the whole time.” So much for that!

Vickie became a highly developed yogi, and for many years led the Self-Realization Fellowship center in New York City. This naturally entailed his meeting many seekers and often becoming their advisor. Much like Yogananda’s guru, Swami Sri Yukteswar Giri, Vickie had little patience with nonsense, earthly or psychic. One day a woman came to him in great distress. “Oh! What shall I do? Every time I start to meditate I fly up astrally out of my body and hit my head on the ceiling!” Vickie looked at her with a glint in his eye and then said forcefully: “Lady, when you are in
that state there is no ceiling!”

One day Annie came across a modern language translation of the Bible. She read out to Vickie the part in Revelation about Saint John’s vision of Jesus standing in the midst of seven golden candlesticks (in the King James Version). The translators used the term “lampstands” which they felt modern people could relate to. Vickie’s comment was: “My God, it sounds like Saint John’s vision took place in Macy’s Department Store!”

Vickie meditated nearly all night sitting on his twin bed. Annie would be in the other bed reading. Just before Vickie began to meditate, he would open the drawer in the table beside his bed and bring out a handful of Almond Joy candy bars that Annie loved. Tossing them over to her, he would say: “Here, enjoy yourself.” And she did. Eating the Almond Joys, she watched as he went deeper and deeper into meditation, entering samadhi without breath or heartbeat and sometimes levitating off the bed. And that was how she fell asleep every night.

Vickie owned a carpet company that made very high quality carpet, so he donated and had carpet installed throughout the Mount Washington headquarters and the Hollywood Church. It was a deep blue color and still in use when I lived next door to the church in 1961 and 1962.

Now, even though you did not ask, let me tell you about Annie herself whom I have always admired greatly.

Annie was born into the topmost stratum of New York City society known as the Four Hundred. Famous people whom even I had heard about or seen on television were her close friends. Her best friends were Wanda and Wally Toscanini, the daughters of Arturo Toscanini the great conductor. Three to four nights of every week she would have dinner with the Toscanini family, and it was always spaghetti, at the demand of the Maestro.

In 1924, Swami Yogananda came to New York and spoke in Carnegie Hall. Annie went to hear him and became his faithful disciple. The life of the Four Hundred was the only life Annie knew, but when many of the Four Hundred derided and denounced the Swami, Annie cut nearly all her social ties and made his disciples her only close friends.

After Vickie’s death she moved to Hollywood and lived just around the corner from the SRF Center. She single-handedly managed the bookstore and often filled in as cashier in the cafe Yoganandaji had created. In later years she lived in Encinitas near the hermitage.

Epilogue: In my first conversation with Annie, which took place in the bookstore, she told me something that I now pass on to you, as it is from the Master himself.

More than once when talking with Vickie and Annie, Master Yogananda told them: “In three generations you won’t even know I came to this country.” When they asked him what, then, was the use of his coming here, he replied: “I have planted the seed and it will grow. My work will go on, even if sometimes my name will not even be mentioned.”

Swami Kriyananda wrote that the Master often said to those at Mount
Washington: “When I am gone you all will change everything.” In a letter to Rajasi, regarding himself and the organization after his departure from this world, he wrote: “They will go their way and I will go mine.”

Someone told me that the Indian scriptures say if householder yogis only engage in sexual relations at night they are actually brahmacharis. Is this so?

I have come across this in some books (not scriptures) myself more than once through the years. Nevertheless, this statement is not only false, it is foul. Do not believe it. I have read the dharma shastras on the rules for the grihasta life and they are far more stringent, I can assure you.

But since you mention “householder yogis,” let me assure you that all such talk is usually just empty air. Three men are held up as examples of “householder yogis” to the world: Sri Ramakrishna, his disciple Durgacharan Nag and Shyama Charan Lahiri Mahasaya. Let us look at their examples, which are holy indeed.

Sri Ramakrishna was first of all a monk, a member of the Puri branch of the Swami Order. Ramakrishna was his monastic name. His family and the owner of the Dakshineshwar Kali Temple decided that celibacy had made his brain overheated and that if his brahmacharya would be broken then he would be “normal.” So first of all, the owner of the temple took him to a house of prostitution in Calcutta and left him there until “it” would be done. When he went back he found Sri Ramakrishna seated in samadhi while being worshipped by the prostitutes who upbraided him for daring to attempt defilement of such a holy man. His family had gotten him married for the same nefarious purpose, but the bride was a child at the time. So after the Calcutta failure it was decided to send Sarada Devi, his now-adult wife, to live with him in the temple and end his brahmacharya. What they did not know was her exalted spiritual status. One night he asked her: “Have you come here to bring my mind down to the lower planes?” “Why would I?” she replied, and they lived together in unbroken virginity. He worshipped her as Kali and she worshipped him as Kali. After his mahasamadhi she carried on his work as a supreme jnani and yogeshwari. Read their biographies and their spiritual discourses, especially The Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna, and decide who in India or America are really householder yogis.

Durgacharan Nag declared to his parents that he wished to never marry, but they lied to him and told him that he need not break his virginity–just get married and live with his wife in celibacy. Being a pure soul and of impeccable honesty he could not conceive of the real intentions of his mother and father. So he married and through the years endured the complaining, haranguing and demanding of his parents and his wife that he break brahmacharya and have children. But he held firm and left this world still a brahmachari. Swami Vivekananda said that he had travelled throughout India and the world and had never met anyone the spiritual equal of Nag Mahasaya.

Yogiraj Shyama Charan Lahiri Mahasaya was secularly employed, married and
had children when he met his guru, Mahavatar Babaji, in the Himalayas. There he became a yogi, and from that time onward lived in perpetual celibacy with his wife. For twenty-five years afterward he worked in a government office, returning to his home to spend the entire night in deep meditation, and most of the days when the office was closed. After his retirement he spent night and day in his small room where he received disciples and spiritual inquirers. You can read in Chapter Thirty-One of *Autobiography of a Yogi* about his wife complaining to him regarding this “neglect” of his family. One of his children died while he was discoursing on spiritual subjects with his visitors. When the wailing from the upper floor announced the death, someone asked if they should leave. “They are doing their work and we are doing ours,” was his calm remark, and continued his teaching. Such are the ways of a true householder yogi.

As I say, these three are continually cited by those who have no intention of following their actual example. And I can add two more: the parents of Paramhansa Yogananda. Regarding these two great yogi-saints, Yoganandaji wrote: “Early in their married life, my parents became disciples of a great master, Lahiri Mahasaya of Benares. This contact strengthened Father’s naturally ascetical temperament. Mother made a remarkable admission to my eldest sister Roma: ‘Your father and myself live together as man and wife only once a year, for the purpose of having children.’” That Yogananda would reveal this to the world shows how important he thought this information would be to serious married yogis.

How outrageous that these virgin and celibate holy men and woman would be the excuse for “yogis” to live a selfish and materially-conscious married life the same as any desire-controlled ignoramuses who live for their own interests and gain. They are not yogis but bhogis, those who live for their own enjoyment and self-satisfaction.

I have read much of your site and *The Christ of India* and am interested in the dharmic approach taken by the Saint Thomas school—most of which seems natural to me.

While there is documentation on Hindu acceptance and worship of Christ, how can a follower of Christ respectfully and meaningfully engage with Hindu aspects, from specific helpers such as Ganesha to omnipotent archetypes like Shiva?

I attend a Tamil Mariamman temple from time to time and experience no inconsistency but am still reserved in my engagement.

Any pointers will be much appreciated.

Sanatana Dharma did not come out of the mind and ideas of some individual who then persuaded others to follow him. Rather, it is a revelation given to many sages (rishis), who were master yogis, in their superconscious experience. That is why there is no founder claimed by Sanatana Dharma. Also, that revelation can be confirmed by yogis who purify themselves and become able to enter the same
superconscious state.

Sanatana Dharma is based on realization, not intellectual philosophy. Consequently, only the adept yogi can really understand its nature and meaning. Yoga Sadhana is the key to really “becoming” a Sanatana Dharmi. I say this from experience. There were many aspects of Sanatana Dharma that upon first encounter seemed utterly silly to me. But as I persisted in meditation and yogic discipline I literally saw the reality and value of them.

I will share with you some experiences I had.

One day I went with some yogi friends to the now extinct Wil Wright’s Ice Cream Parlor in Westwood, California. In a niche to one side of the door there was a white marble statue with the head of an elephant. One of my friends pointed to it and said: “That is the Indian Elephant-headed God, Ganesha. I don’t know what it’s doing here.” Neither did I, for I was thinking: “Elephant-headed God! Whoever thought that up? How stupid.” But some weeks later in meditation I saw Ganesha and knew he really was a form of God. And more than that: I loved him. I not only believed in him, I got an image of him and put it on my meditation altar.

I was completely disgusted with the idea of the Goddess Kali. I thought it was horrible. Then one night in meditation during a tremendous rain storm I saw the Devi dancing in the storm, stamping her feet with great vigor on the earth. And I understood. The next day I took an anti-Hindu book I had bought long before becoming a yogi, cut out the picture of Kali, threw the book in the garbage and kept the picture with me at all times. How I loved worshipping in the Kali temples when I went to India!

I read that Krishna was blue. I figured that was symbolic, but when I saw Krishna, Shyam Sundara the Beautiful Dark One, he was blue. Not like the blue of many modern pictures and images, but a dark, dusky blue. Some months later I saw a dark storm cloud in the sky that was the exact color I had seen in my vision. And quite some time later I learned from a Gujarati friend that Krishna is sometimes called Ghanashyam–dark like a cloud.

Later on I read that Rama was green. In the perspective of the foregoing experiences I was not so quick to reject it, but then I remembered that the skin of Europeans and Americans actually contain green pigment. So perhaps Rama just had a lot of that pigment in his skin for some reason and was “greenish.” But when I saw Rama his skin was an exquisite light green. Some time after I read a description of Rama as being green like a young blade of grass–exactly as in my vision.

As Krishna says in the Bhagavad Gita: “Therefore be a yogi” (6:46).

To someone who wished I would write anything I might know about Mahavatar Babaji that is not in Autobiography of a Yogi.

I will tell you what others told me in India about Babaji.
Dr. Mukherji

Within a week of my landing in India in 1962, I was staying at the Anandamayi Ashram in Ranchi, less than a mile from the Yogoda Satsanga Ashram. “My” room was the free homeopathic dispensary in the daytime and my bedroom at night. It was wonderful. I was in India!

The dispensary was run by Dr. Mukherji, a disciple of Swami Purnananda who was a direct disciple of Babaji. In our conversations he told me some things that I gladly pass on.

As recorded in *Autobiography of a Yogi*, the disciples of Lahiri Mahasaya called Babaji by several names: Mahamuni Babaji Maharaj, Maha Yogi, Trambak Baba and Shiva Baba. Purnananda and many of his fellow-disciples of Babaji simply called him Babaji Brahmananda. That appeals to me the most.

Babaji is not as inaccessible as many people think. He does not just roam in solitude in the Himalayas with only a handful of disciples with him. And though comparatively speaking few people stay with him, there is a small trickle of aspirants who come to spend some time with him.

Swami Purnananda stayed with Babaji more than one time in the Himalayas, sometimes for years. He told Dr. Mukherji that the famous Devendranath Tagore (see the *Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna*), a Bengali philosopher and religious reformer, was a disciple of Babaji and spent quite some time with him in the Himalayas. When his even more famous son, Rabindranath Tagore, was in his teens Devendranath sent him to live with Babaji for three years.

Other disciples, other yoga sadhanas

There is also a misperception about Babaji and his spiritual mission. It is assumed that he only had one disciple “in the world,” Lahiri Mahasaya. What I have written here shows this is not true. Furthermore, it is believed that Kriya Yoga is the only practice favored by Babaji. But there are two of his disciples whose teaching contradict this.

Vijay Krishna Goswami

The renowned Bengali saint, Vijay Krishna Goswami (also in the *Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna*) was a disciple of Babaji. He was of the Vaishnava philosophy and taught to his disciples and the public in general the continual repetition and singing of the Maha (Great) Mantra that is found in the Kalisantara Upanishad:

Hare Rama, Hare Rama,
Rama, Rama, Hare Hare.
Hare Krishna, Hare Krishna,
Krishna, Krishna, Hare Hare.

I can tell you an amusing story about Babaji’s rescuing Vijay from his shrewish wife—at least for a while. Vijay and his wife were in Brindaban where Krishna lived
until the age of twelve. Vijay’s wife was always complaining about his ascetic ways and all the time he spent spreading the message for which Babaji had commissioned him and the time spent with his disciples—much like the wife of Babaji’s disciple, Lahiri Mahasaya. After days and days of this fussing, as his wife was continuing on and on with her complaints, Vijay silently prayed: “Gurudeva, please free me from this misery!” Immediately his wife found herself in the Himalayas with Babaji and his holy band. She remained there a while and then walked all the way back to Brindababan, arriving there six months after her disappearance. She was much more docile after that.

**Neem Karoli Baba**

Another very famous disciple, also not a Kriya teacher, was Neem Karoli Baba who lived to be over three hundred and fifty years old, and was reputed to be an incarnation of Hanuman, the great devotee of Lord Rama, an avatar who lived in Indian thousands of years ago. For three and a half centuries Neem Karoli Baba taught people to continually repeat the sacred mantra Ram. (Many yogis of India repeat Ram, but do not consider it the name of the avatar, but a name of the Absolute Itself. Interestingly, Ram is also a Hebrew name of God.) Several books have been written about Sri Neem Karoli Baba. I only saw him once, and every moment I looked at him I was literally drunk with bliss.

Finally I want to tell you about three people I have met that saw Babaji.

**An attorney**

One was a supreme court lawyer living in New Delhi. He had never heard of Babaji, but one time when on pilgrimage to Varanasi, he was sitting in the evening at Dasashwamedh Ghat. An extraordinarily beautiful woman came up to him and simply said: “Follow me.” He did not know it, but this was Babaji’s sister, about whom Yogananda wrote in *Autobiography of a Yogi*. He followed her through dark narrow alleyways and then down narrow stairs into an underground cave. Only a single light was burning there, and by its light he saw a young man with bright red hair sitting there in meditation. The woman motioned for him to sit. When he asked who the man was, she only said: “Great Babaji,” and indicated he should not speak. He sat there an hour or so looking at the radiant figure before him. Then in silence the woman signaled he should stand up and follow her. She led him back to Dasashwamedh Ghat and left without a word. Only a day or so after he returned to New Delhi he came across *Autobiography of a Yogi* and saw the picture of Babaji which was just like the yogi he had seen. Many times he went back to Varanasi seeking Babaji or his sister, but was not successful.

**A woman-yogi friend**

I met the above lawyer in the home of a woman who had also met Babaji. From her I learned the following.
When Babaji met Lahiri Mahasaya near Ranikhet, he took him to a cave where they had been together in Lahiri Baba’s previous life. That is commonly known as “Babaji’s cave.”

But Babaji has several caves, and one has a most peculiar character. It is located in a dense forest populated by ferocious tigers and other dangerous animals. When someone becomes lost in that forest, toward nightfall one of Babaji’s disciples comes and leads him to that cave. According to what the lost person is accustomed to at home, that is what he finds in the cave. Poor people find it very sparse, others find it simply furnished and well-to-do people find it luxuriously furnished—with carpets, chairs, tables and a comfortable bed. Each one is given the kind of food he would usually have at home. In the morning the disciple shows him the way out of the jungle. Some people meet Babaji himself in the cave, but some others do not.

My friend and three others went high into the Himalayas to find that particular cave in hope of seeing Babaji. They stayed in a simple guest house near the forest. One day as night was about to fall, they suddenly heard tremendous roaring of a tiger that seemed to be very near them. Remembering the way they had entered the forest, they began running as fast as they could, desperately praying to Babaji to protect them. The guest house was some miles away, but they reached in it twenty to thirty minutes! This was a miracle and a miraculous escape by Babaji’s blessing, they were confident.

Every day they went out searching. But after a few days my friend became very ill and ran a high fever. She urged the others to go on and continue their search for the cave, which they reluctantly did. After some time a radiant figure came in through the open door of her room. His features were not easy to see because rays of light were shining from his body, but he looked to her exactly like Babaji’s picture, and he had reddish hair in a knot on his head in the style of the ancient sages rather than hanging down as in his picture. He sat and had a long conversation with her on spiritual matters. (She never told me what was said.) Then he said: “The others are coming, so I will leave now.” He started for the door and melted away from her sight.

For the next three or four days the same thing happened. The radiant yogi said to my friend: “You are wondering if I am real or whether I may be the result of your fever. Here is something to prove I am real.” And he handed her “from nowhere” an extraordinarily beautiful red rose. After he had left, when the others came back they were amazed to see such a rose in her hand. So she knew it was all real.

But the time was running out for the other pilgrims and they had to return to their jobs. So my friend told them to go ahead and she would try to get to the railway station several miles away in some manner. This they did not want to do, but she insisted, and the next day they left.

That afternoon, the radiant yogi returned as usual, but told her that she must leave and get treatment in Delhi, otherwise she would die. “But I can’t walk,” she protested. “Then I will carry you on my back,” he said. And so he did. He carried her luggage in his hands and she held on around his neck and away they went. In
another miraculously short time they were at the station. The yogi put her in a compartment, said farewell, and was gone.

As she sat waiting for the train to start, she began to pray: “O Master Babaji, if this was really you who visited me and brought me here, come back and let me see you and be sure.” Nothing happened. The train started up, and as it gained speed leaving the station, suddenly Babaji was there standing on the platform. Her heart leaped up and she tried to get up and leave the train, but it was going too fast.

The next station was quite a distance away, but she prayed: “O Babaji, please come to the next station. Then I will know it is really you I have seen.” She so intense was her desire that she was able to feebly get up and out of the train when the train stopped at the station. She went up and down the platform looking, looking and looking here and there. But saw nothing. The conductor blew his whistle and she hurried back to the train but stood in the door looking out, planning to jump out onto the platform if Babaji appeared. The train was picking up speed, and when it was going so fast it was impossible for anyone to get out of the train, suddenly Babaji was there, standing and looking at her. Then she knew the deathless master had truly come to her and saved her life. She and her son told me this just as I have now related it to you.

A yogi-friend

The preceding two accounts were told to me during my first pilgrimage to India. Early on in my second pilgrimage I was staying at Sivanandashram in Rishikesh in the Himalayan foothills. There I met a very well-known and respected young yogi of North India and spent much time with him. One afternoon he began asking me about Babaji, and if he ever left the Himalayas. I told him that he definitely did and came down to the plains at the feet of the Himalayas. “Well, I saw him in the Lucknow train station only a week ago,” he told me. Then he described to me how he had seen a young man with long, bright red hair waiting on the platform of the Lucknow station with several other men. All were simply dressed like Himalayan yogis. “I could not quit looking at him,” the young monk told me. “I have never seen anyone like him in my life. I knew this had to be a great soul. When the northbound train came, he got into a third-class coach with the others, and as the train left the station I realized that this must be the Babaji of Yogananda’s autobiography. The thought had not occurred to me before. I believe he kept me from realizing who he was until it was too late to approach him.”

So that is what little I know.

To the same person who wanted me to share what I know about Yoganandaji’s highly advanced disciple, Yogacharya Oliver Black.

In 1968 I was able to go to Detroit for an SRF Sunday service at the Art Institute conducted by Yogacharya Black, and the entire next day I spent with him at his home. He spoke of many interesting things, but here are those I remember that
related to his association with Paramhansa Yogananda and his yoga practice.

The first time he went to California to be with Yogananda, they were at Encinitas. The Master led him from the hermitage to the Golden Lotus Temple on the edge of the cliff overlooking the ocean. (Later the sea eroded the foot of the cliff and the temple fell into the ocean, and only the steps remained.) The temple was a miniature of the Taj Mahal with a tower at each corner. At the top of each tower was a one-room suite. Master Yogananda pointed to one and explained that it was used by him. Another was for Rajasi Janakananda, and another for Dr. Lewis. Then he pointed to the fourth tower and said: “This one is for you. Whenever you come here this is where you will stay.” Mr. Black was astonished at this, wondering why the Master would show him such generosity. But his later life as a disciple certainly demonstrated why. (He did not say that—I do!)

The next day was Sunday and he naturally was at the service conducted by Yoganandaji. He told me that as Yogananda was speaking he saw a figure he somehow knew was a Himalayan yogi standing just behind the Master. The yogi’s long black hair was streaming back behind him as though being blown by a strong wind. More surprising, the yogi was moving his mouth exactly like the Master as he spoke, though no sound was coming out. Yogacharya decided that the yogi was speaking through Yoganandaji, but after a bit realized that the yogi was Yogananda as Mr. Black had known him in a previous life, and the Master was showing this to him.

Since we are “at” Encinitas in this narrative, I want to tell you something more than one disciple of Yoganandaji told me about Oliver Black. Whenever he stayed at Encinitas with the Master, he would take him every day for a drive, considering this was his way to show his appreciation for the great guru’s blessing in his life. One day as they were going to the car for the drive, it began to rain heavily. Mr. Black was disappointed that he would not be able to take his guru for a drive that day. But Yoganandaji went out of the building and around to the passenger side of the car, looked up and instantly there was no rain and the sun began shining brightly. Then he looked at Yogacharya and quietly said: “Just for you, Oliver, just for you.” And so it was.

Mr. Black owned a factory that made auto parts used by the various automobile manufacturers in Detroit. The economy had recovered significantly from the crash of 1929 and everyone was optimistic. But in mid-1937 he realized one night in meditation that another crash was coming, though not as severe. Early the next morning he called in his chief executive and gave him a check from the Chrysler Corporation for thirty-eight thousand dollars. “Charter a plane right away and fly to New York and cash this check. Bring the money back here to me, today,” he told him. The man looked at the check and said: “This check is on the Chase Manhattan Bank—there’s no better in the country!” “Do what I tell you. Tomorrow you will know why,” Mr. Black assured him. The next day the crash came and that check would have been worthless.

All through the depression, from 1929 onward, Mr. Black made a great deal of
money solely through good business moves that were prompted by his intuition developed through meditation. There was an even more profitable aspect to his meditation. He told me that sometimes he would start seeing visions in meditation that made no sense to him at all. First it would be a short vision and then be repeated, getting longer each time. Then other visions would come, and as he pondered them he would suddenly understand their meaning and would have a clue to an invention of some kind. Since he had not even graduated from high school, he no technical understanding, so he would find some expert and explain his ideas. Each time they would be declared viable and he would eventually sell the invention for a great deal of money. He invented the vertical take-off plane, but the Air Force stole the idea and claimed it as their own. He was in litigation over it for years, including at the time he told me about it. But: You Can't Fight City Hall. So nothing came of it. He invented a 3-D camera that put the viewer into the picture. Kodak offered twenty-four thousand dollars, and he told them they knew it was worth many times more. But they kept hoping he would accept their first offer, and he did not. After one series of visions he made a drawing of something he did not even know the nature of, but he knew the parts that would go into it, and that it was supposed to fly. He took it to the Department of Aeronautics at Wayne State University and showed it to one of the senior staff. “Do you know what this is?” the man demanded. “No. I came here for you to tell me,” was Mr. Black's reply. “You have invented a flying saucer. This thing will do everything saucers are reported capable of doing. For example, it can change directions in an instant. And even more amazing: all the parts to assemble one are available right now! One could be made tomorrow.” At the time he told me of this, he was beginning to present it to companies that made “flying machines.” That, too, was not bought by anyone. He told me about some visions he was having that were very strange and really made no sense. The next time I saw him about a year later he told me that the invention had to do with motel beds, and he was in negotiation to sell it. Later I learned from a member of his SRF center that he sold it for tens of thousands of dollars.

Since we are on high finance, here is something about Babaji and Big Business. Mr. Black was on the board of one of the big automotive corporations in Detroit. There was another board member that at every meeting would bring up something he was displeased about and complain and carry on for a long time. Every one would sit there and wait until he shut up and then the meeting would proceed, nothing being done about his silly complaint. One day Mr. Black went a couple of hours before the board meeting and decided to meditate in the board room. As he was meditating, he prayed: “Babaji, all of us are so sick of hearing this man fuss and fuss, wasting our time, at every meeting. Will you please do something to stop him?” The meeting proceeded as usual, and at one point the groucher got up and began. But he did not get through even a sentence. Suddenly he stopped speaking and got a look of terror on his face, then flopped back down into his chair and did not speak during the rest of the meeting. And never afterward did he bring up his pet gripe. “So it pays to talk to Babaji,” laughed Mr. Black as he finished the
Master Yogananda asked the Yogacharya to keep in frequent contact with him, to write or telephone often. But for some reason he never did, only rarely communicating with the guru. After a few years of this, one morning as he sat on the floor of his meditation room meditating, he opened his eyes and saw Yoganandaji sitting right in front of him! He was sure it was not a vision but a physical materialization. Yoganandaji was sitting with his back to Mr. Black. His long hair was in a kind of bun at the back of his neck and he was wearing a really ratty old bathrobe (Mr. Black’s words). Oliver waited for the Master to speak or do something, but he then clearly got the impression that his guru was displeased with his non-communication and so had his back to him. After looking at the guru’s back for a while, he closed his eyes and resumed meditating. After meditation, when he knew he could get through to Mount Washington headquarters on the telephone, he put in a person-to-person call to Faye Wright, the future president of Self-Realization Fellowship (then to be known as Sri Daya Mata). “Tell me,” he asked her as soon as she came on the phone, “does Master ever put his hair in a kind of bun on the back of his neck?” “He does it sometimes in his room when others aren’t around,” she told him. “Well, tell me this. Does he ever wear an old, beat-up bathrobe?” “Oh! That old thing!” she exclaimed. “He has been wearing that in meditation for years. It is so awful that we keep threatening to take it and burn it. Why are you asking?” So he told her. And from then on kept in frequent contact with his Master.

In 1969, after returning from India, I was able to pass through Detroit and again go for the Sunday service at the Art Institute. This Sunday for the first time there was going to be a cafeteria lunch after the service so people could speak and listen to Yogacharya Black on a more personal and informal basis. People were asking questions and he was answering, but my memory has only retained one. The subject of sex and “marital relations” came up. Mr. Black began to laugh. He turned to me (I was sitting next to him on his left hand) and asked: “Shall I tell them what Master said about this? Shall I tell them?” “Yes! Yes!” I answered. “Really? Do you want me to tell them? Do you want me to tell you?” he repeated, turning back to them, continuing to chuckle. “All right, then. You asked about it. Master said, ‘It is nothing more than the joining of two sewers’!” Stunned silence. Stunned consternation. I studied the faces of all those around us and found their expressions and reactions most interesting. Some were overtly angry, even outraged. Others were smiling in agreement. And others were intensely deadpan so no one would know they were the hardest hit. But Mr. Black simply sat there, quietly chuckling to himself and occasionally looking askance at me and continuing to chuckle.

(However, an organization which claims to embody the teachings of Yogananda and whose members claim to be his disciples did not chuckle when this incident was relayed in the first printing of this book. Rather, their headquarters sent to me a letter written to them in which a disgruntled member objected quite vehemently to Yogananda’s plain speaking. Apparently discipleship does not require acceptance account.
of the Master’s words. And they were in danger of losing a customer!)
That was the last time I saw him, though I continued to get news of him occasionally.

In writing the previous sentence I remembered something that I had been told about Yogacharya Oliver in 1961, that I want to include. At the previous triennial convocation at the SRF Lake Shrine, Mr. Black had spoken. Many of the audience were amazed and awed to see that throughout his talk all four of the great Masters–Babaji, Lahiri Mahasaya, Sri Yukteswarji and Paramhansaji–appeared behind him in turn. One would appear, and immediately upon his fading away, another would appear. This continued over and over as long as he spoke. I think this was a message from the Masters about this beloved disciple’s inner unity with them.

To someone who wrote and asked me what was my inner sense of Oliver Black and his presence.
A friend of mine was once traveling with an Indian yogi in Canada. Seeing his “strange” clothes, a little girl came up to him and asked: “What are you?” The yogi smiled at her and answered: “Oh, just what I’m supposed to be.”
Oliver Black was just what he was supposed to be. Totally natural and totally supernatural. Many of Master Yogananda’s disciples were just like that, also.

Where do evil spirits come from? The Christian Bible acknowledges them–Legion, for example (Mark 5:9; Luke 8:30).
It is important to understand that in all relative existence there are only the Supreme Spirit, God (Brahman), and the seemingly infinite number of individual spirits (jivas). The individual spirits live within God, with whom they are eternally one, yet distinct from him in a manner only the perfectly liberated spirits can comprehend.
There are not different kinds of spirits, but as spirits enter into relative existence and begin evolving through higher and higher forms, there is differentiation in the energies of which their various bodies are composed. For example, the body of a bird and the body of a human being are vastly different, but the spirit in those bodies are the same: pure consciousness. (I recommend you read Robe of Light on our website for a detailed explanation of this and its purpose.)
There are spirits that harm human beings but have no wish to harm. Some of these are spirits that wander into the world from other dimensions and wreak havoc, but with no negative intention. They just do not understand the world in which they find themselves and are not aware of the effect they are having on human beings. Also, very undeveloped spirits without understanding may harm human beings because they do not understand the nature of a human being. Undines, water spirits, often inspire swimmers to swim far out into the ocean in a kind of euphoric trance and then not be able to swim back, so they drown. The
undines want the humans to join them and live in the water. But their influence causes them to die. Salamanders (fire spirits), sylphs (air spirits) and gnomes (earth spirits) do the same. The subconscious minds of some human beings perceive their “call” to join them in water, fire, air or earth. Those who respond and consequently die are often considered suicides, but they are not. Both they and the spirits are victims of their ignorance and incomprehension.

A spirit may become flawed in its evolution and become corrupted, twisted and negative. Then we say it is evil, though the spirit is never evil, only its bodies, which include the mind and will. Evil spirits are often degraded human beings and sometimes more powerful beings that have sunk down from higher worlds because of their evil vibrations which impelled them downwards.

Evil spirits cannot endure high vibrations. Those who continually keep their vibrations high through spiritual practice will not be harmed by evil spirits. One of my meditation students lived in the most haunted place I have ever been, and some of the spirits were really evil. Two week later I visited there again and every spirit was gone, just from the vibrations created by the daily meditation of the student.

If everything is made by and from God, why does Satan exist as a spirit?

Free will is a fundamental condition of all spirits. Those who set their wills to evil become “demons.” Lucifer, the fallen archangel, is one of these. He is the most powerful evil spirit operative in the earth plane (solar system).

Satan is not a spirit, though often confused with Lucifer. Satan is a negative, delusive force in the universe which vibrates in opposition to upward evolution and “works” to entrap deluded human beings. It is a conglomerate of aeons of negative energies emitted by negative entities and events (such as war). Since everything is essentially consciousness, the Satanic force operates in a kind of blindly intelligent manner and can seem to be a person, but that is a mirage, a mere seeming.

As with evil spirits, high spiritual vibrations dispel Satanic influence and those leading a spiritual life need not give either Lucifer or Satan a thought, though it is good to be aware that they may intervene in the life and minds of ignorant human beings around us.

Can you be reincarnated if you donate organs or get cremated? Or does the physical body not come into it at all–is it just our soul and spiritual self?

Human beings are made of many layers. When we die we leave both the physical body and an energy body behind—which is why the hair and fingernails of dead people continue to grow for a while.

If things go as they should, we leave those lesser bodies behind without a thought and go on with our higher bodies (which contain both the memories and conditionings of our previous lives) to another existence in the higher worlds, after which we again reincarnate, taking on new energy and material bodies. The
suggestion of the bodies we leave behind does not at all affect us. Occasionally there are exceptions but they are very rare.

Although I don’t have a problem understanding all the concepts of Vedanta, the minute I reflect upon the Christian Bible it seems to all confuse me. I find the teachings so different, although they must be the same.

It is the Bible that is confused because it (the New Testament) has been edited to conform to the theology of the new state religion called Christianity at the beginning of the fourth century. The original teachings of Jesus were those of Sanatana Dharma which he learned in India. Please see The Christ of India on our website. (Also available as a book.)

I understand that beyond everything there is only Brahman. The Bible does talk about the devil, and possession. Vedanta won’t address the issue.

In India they are very conversant with evil spirits and exorcism. Sri Ramakrishna had many experiences with various kinds of spirits. Once he went to a place where there were earthbound spirits. They told him his presence tormented them and asked him to leave, so he did.

Please do not equate Vedanta with Sanatana Dharma. Vedanta is just one of the orthodox systems of philosophy within Sanatana Dharma. And Advaita is only one form of Vedanta. There are also Dwaita and Vishishtadwaita Vedanta. Vedanta Society is another thing, altogether, being the Western branch of the Ramakrishna Mission, a spiritual institution with many branches in India and abroad.

You say “A spirit may become flawed in its evolution.” How can a spirit, essentially Brahman, become corrupt?

I said: “A spirit may become flawed in its evolution and become corrupted, twisted and negative. Then we say it is evil, though the spirit is never evil, only its bodies, which include the mind and will.”

When the Christian Bible (Revelation for example) talks about the fight between good and evil, it leads us to believe there is a devil and that he can manipulate people and occupy their body and that we will be on one side or the other at the end of time. It talks about evil spirits as though there really is an opposite force which could lead us to hell.

Certainly there is a force which works against our development and liberation. It is called the play of Maya. You may recall that Sri Ramakrishna saw in a vision two men come out of his body—one dark and one light. They were the papa (evil) purusha and the punya (righteous) purusha, the forces of light and darkness in each
person. The punya purusha struck the papa purusha with a trident and killed him. Then the punya purusha merged back into Sri Ramakrishna’s body. This must be understood in the context of Sanatana Dharma, not that of Christianity (Churchianity) and its mistaken views.

Vedanta, I don’t think, believes in hell. Why not? How can the Christian Bible be opposing to Vedanta? I’m trying to match them up in some way to make sense of it, but I’m failing. For two years I’ve tried and still cannot understand the disparity.

Sanatana Dharma believes in the existence of numberless heavens and hells whose character differs according to the karma of individuals when they enter the astral world.

Jesus was a Sanatana Dharmi, not a follower of Judaism or Christianity. Furthermore, all religions are not the same and they most certainly are not one in essence. They differ greatly in degree of truth and understanding. Some are destructive in their effect even if their intentions are to “save” people. Only Sanatana Dharma believes in the divine nature of the Self (Atman), for example, and that is a very basic concept that affects every other aspect of Sanatana Dharma. All religions may have a common basis, but only Sanatana Dharma knows what it is.

Sri Ramakrishna said this: “The Hindu religion alone is the Sanatana Dharma. The various creeds you hear of nowadays have come into existence through the will of God and will disappear again through His will. They will not last forever.... The Hindu religion has always existed and will always exist” (Nikhilananda translation of The Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna, under the heading of Monday, October 20, 1884).

Is it possible that people like Hitler can have such a corrupted soul that they come back to earth to haunt people? Is this a corrupted soul?

Many evil people become trapped in the earth plane and harm people. They are then called “demons.” Such are certainly corrupted in their subtle energy levels, but in time their eternal, divine status will assert itself. They will have a tremendous amount of karma to undergo and overcome. They will have to do as much good to humanity as they did evil. It will take a long time, perhaps creation cycles, but they will turn back. Eternal alienation from God is impossible for anyone, since we are all rooted in the very Being of God: gods within God.

When a disaster strikes, for example the holocaust, how can it be that all those people deserved that? Vedanta says we only get what we deserve. It does seem strange to me that all those people who deserve what they got just happened to be in the same place at the same time.
Why should it be strange? The universe is a perfect evolution machine, and karma is a major factor. Group karma operates as precisely as individual karma. In *May a Christian Believe in Reincarnation?* I have cited the words of the great Rabbi Hillel, a contemporary of Jesus, in the *Daily Prayer Book*, edited by Philip Birnbaum (Hebrew Publishing Company, New York). In the second chapter of the section entitled: *Ethics of the Fathers*, the seventh section says: “He [Hillel] saw a skull floating on the surface of the water. He said to it: Because you drowned others, others have drowned you; and those who have drowned you shall themselves be drowned.” The persecuted have been persecutors in previous lives, and the present persecutors shall be the persecuted in the future. We may think the world is flawed, but it is seen as perfect when we hold the profound overview of the Indian rishis.

Vedanta also believes in re-birth until you reach moksha or liberation, but the Bible teaches us that we go to heaven or hell. Am I right to compare to try to make sense?

After each birth we go to a world very much like this one, or to a miserable one (a hell) or a happier one (a heaven), all according to the nuances of our karma. It is not true that there is only one life, or that anyone can go to hell forever. If you compare the views of Sanatana Dharma and those of the Bible in these matters you can only conclude that one is true and the other is false.

In my writings I quote from the Bible to show that a great deal of it escaped the deformation of those who reworked it to reflect “official” Christianity, and that it demonstrates the original, fully oriental (Indian) character of Jesus and his teachings. A person who wishes to follow Jesus must follow him to India. I recommend that you read *The Aquarian Gospel of Jesus the Christ* by Levi Dowling and *The Second Coming of Christ* by Paramhansa Yogananda.

My confusion is with the opposites. If I chose either Christianity or Vedanta maybe I wouldn’t have this problem. But I have experienced both and now it has become a problem for me, and I love both.

A very dear friend of mine was a niece of Edith Gray, a Canadian who went to India and became a disciple of Sri Ma Sarada Devi. She showed me a copy of a letter written to Miss Gray by Swami Saradananda at the time Holy Mother was in Benares. Miss Gray had asked why it was she was sometimes more attracted to Jesus and at other times more attracted to Sri Ramakrishna. Saradanandaji wrote to her that this was very natural, and that Sri Ramakrishna had told him that he (Saradananda) and some of the other disciples had been disciples of Jesus.

We should love all God’s messengers to humanity such as Rama, Krishna, Buddha, Ramakrishna and Jesus. And insofar as they convey the true teachings of those great ones, we can respect the religions that bear their names. But we must also be like the wise ant who can take sugar and leave the white sand behind. In *The
Gospel of Sri Ramakrishna, Sri Ramakrishna says: “Books–I mean the scriptures—contain a mixture of sand and sugar. The sadhu takes the sugar, leaving aside the sand. He takes only the essence.” We must do the same.

You say, “Free will is a fundamental condition of all spirits. Those who set their wills to evil become ‘demons.’” I totally agree, but does Vedanta?
Sanatana Dharma certainly does, as is seen by the sixteenth chapter of the Bhagavad Gita. It brings us inestimable good to read a chapter of the Gita daily.

Please would you help me, or direct me to some understanding. Why don’t I understand when I love and adore God so much?
You do understand, but you are trying to fit or see everything according to the things which those who claim to be authorities have told you. This is a common affliction of those who are in the West and attempting to adopt the wisdom of the East. They see one thing but have been told to think/say it is something else. Books and teachers from the East often say things that may sound good as platitudes and “positive thinking,” but do not conform to reality, and therefore are not a viable philosophy at all. We must always keep our sugar-from-sand intelligence working. And if others do not like it, that is their problem.
As Paramhansa Nityananda, one of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries’ greatest yogis, told people: “You were born with a brain, not a book.” We must be honest in our conclusions at all times. There is nothing at all wrong in concluding that something is mistaken, no matter who is saying or doing it. Consider how Swami Vivekananda never at any time failed to speak how he saw and felt. He even told Sri Ramakrishna he considered him deluded! Sri Ramakrishna often said that one could attain liberation if they always spoke the truth. And Swamiji’s truthfulness and assertion of what he saw as truth led him onward to the Truth. He said: “Awake! Arise!” He did not say: “Listen; accept; believe and obey.”

Although we are ourselves Brahman, is there a main God when we pray? Does this mean that there isn’t an actual God in the sky as it were?
Brahman has a threefold manifestation: (1) transcending creation and impersonal, (2) pervading creation and personal and (3) cosmic creative energy. This is the Trinity, One but Three. God within creation as its manifestor and guide is Ishwara, the personal aspect of God. When we pray, we pray to Ishwara, whatever name we might use. So God does hear and answer prayer. God is everywhere, so he is “in the sky” but also in us. “The devoted dwell with Him, they know Him always there in the heart” (Bhagavad Gita 5:17). “The Lord lives in the heart of every creature” (Bhagavad Gita 18:61).
To a friend who had questions about right and wrong and my position on certain questions regarding the personal conduct of others.

Although Sanatana Dharma has beliefs, it has no dogmas. That is, there is nothing a person must believe or think about something.

Faith/belief is according to the evolutionary level and character of each person. That is why the Bhagavad Gita says: “The faith of each one is according to his nature. A man consists of his faith—he is what his faith is” (17:3). Therefore no one should be coerced as to their personal beliefs—including those who think people should be so coerced. Keep quiet and let people alone is a good rule to follow.

Beliefs, like actions, are according to a person’s individual status. We need not be involved in those of others. “Offering actions to Brahman, having abandoned attachment, he [the wise aspirant] acts untainted by evil as a lotus leaf is not wetted by water” (5:10). We are in the water of earthly life, but we should not get wet and bogged down in it. We should stay in our own individual pond and stay out of others’

A cornerstone of evolution is working out things for ourselves. Religion (not Dharma) tries to make people dependent on them for their ideas and actions, but that is stagnating and often destructive. Everyone must cope with their mental and material karma. Some choose to correct their negativity, some to suppress it and others to express it. That is the way things are.

Freedom is necessary for growth, and that includes the freedom to accept or reject something. One summer when we were living in the desert, we decided to move for the hot months to a higher altitude. Just a short drive from our monastery we found in the mountains an ideal place that had a sign saying it was for rent. We called the phone number and the owner refused to rent to us because he was an atheist and we were monks. We did not argue, nor even consider protesting his “discrimination” against us. It was his house and his principle. He did right—and we did right by respecting his feelings and finding another place to rent.

What is the most authentic document of Jesus’ teaching? The Gospel of Thomas? Are there others you would point to?

The Gospel of Thomas seems to be the most authentic. Certainly the four Gospels of the Bible contain his teachings, but mixed in with untrustworthy elements. Still, they should be read with the understanding that the sugar must be separated from the sand, as Sri Ramakrishna said about all scriptures.

The Second Coming of Christ by Yogananda is invaluable in understanding Jesus’ teachings, as is his autobiography and the books of his public talks.

The Aquarian Gospel of Jesus the Christ is a remarkable document that does not claim to be infallible, but is also invaluable in understanding Jesus and the difference between THE Christ and A Christ.

The Unknown Life of Jesus Christ seems to be very much conformed to the idea of
the narrators. Still, it is good to look into.

In *The Christ of India* the quotation from the Bhavishya Mahapurana is short but certainly authentic. There we see what Jesus thought of the religion of his land of birth and his definite adoption of Sanatana Dharma.

Jesus the man taught that the Universal (Christ Consciousness) pervades all of our visible and invisible (manifest and unmanifest) universe and that Christ Consciousness pervades all and is All including the body, mind and actions of the perceived individual which is a spark of the Absolute (Father). Correct?
Absolutely!

Why is monastic life chosen over engagement in society where the influence of the Christ Consciousness awakened in the monks can influence the consciousness of society?
The purpose of monastic life is to intensely develop and evolve the consciousness without hindrance. Our website, which embodies hundreds of hours of work, and continues to expand, reaches far more people than any other form of activity ever could.
Consciousness is not just imparted by physical contact, but by the unseen unity with all.

Is the story of the historical Jesus also an allegory of the journey of the Soul to merge with God? If so could you elucidate or point the direction?
The lives of all great masters who after perfect realization return to the earth as avatars are certainly portrayals of esoteric truths, mostly in symbol. The life of Jesus and the early life of Krishna are mystic portrayals of the soul’s journey to God-realization.

How do the teachings of Advaita, Veda, Upanishads, Bhagavad Gita, Ramayana fit with St. Thomas Christianity? Western Christianity? Eastern Orthodoxy? Catholicism?
The vital question is, how do those religious expressions fit with Sanatana Dharma? The degree of their agreement with Sanatana Dharma is the degree of their validity. Sanatana Dharma is the touchstone of all religious philosophy and practice.

The healings and miracles of Christ have been done by yogis before Christ
and after. What does this mean and are these siddhis part of St. Thomas Christianity?

The meaning of Jesus' miracles is simply that he, too was a yogi–and more, a perfectly realized master, an avatar. Since real Saint Thomas Christianity is the Sanatana Dharma taught by Jesus, certainly those siddhis have manifested. The pinnacle of the church in India was Saint Gregorios of Parumala, whose shrine is visited by thousand of Christians, Hindus and Moslems every day. His miracles are continuous. And Saint Gregorios was committed to the restoration of Dharma–especially karma, reincarnation and the oneness of each spirit (atma) with God–to the Saint Thomas Christian community.

Can a householder be a Saint Thomas Christian?
Certainly. Millions have been and are.

How can a St. Thomas Christian participate in Satsang?
That is a completely individual matter, but should not be limited to Christian groups.

Many believe Jesus taught in Kashmir and in fact is entombed in a Shrine in Kashmir. Some ancient Kashmiri texts point to Jesus in Kashmir, do you think this tomb is the tomb of Jesus?
No. The tomb from which he resurrected in Jerusalem is one of the major centers of spiritual power in the world. But when his earthly life was over in India he released the atoms of his body into light. There was nothing to bury.

What is the advantage of a pilgrimage to the Holy Land or Kerala? If “the Kingdom of God is within you,” Why travel?
The world is not the same everywhere. Each place has a distinctive vibration. Certainly the vibrations of a cowboy bar, a prison, a hospital or a holy shrine are not the same. There are centers of spiritual power on the earth, and visiting and meditating there is more effective than in an ordinary place. In India there are certain areas called tapobhumis where yoga practice requires much less time for success than elsewhere.

Yogis have always valued pilgrimage. My sannyasa guru told me that he once was completely without peace due to some very negative things that had been done to him. No matter how much he meditated, he just could not regain his spiritual balance. So he decided to go on pilgrimage. At each place he visited, he experienced benefit, but still there was an undercurrent of inner unrest. Then he went to the Sri Ramanashram in Tiruvanamalai and went into the “old” hall where for decades Sri
Ramana Maharshi had spent most of his days and nights giving darshan to people. “The moment I sat down and closed my eyes, total peace arose in me, and I was healed permanently of the spiritual wounds within,” he told me. “I can tell you that Ramana Maharshi is living in subtle body in that hall. I know.”

Finding everything within is the ideal, but only the very adept yogi is able to do that.

If ALL that is, IS GOD, then this aspect of God is writing to HIMSELF. The reply comes from HIMSELF. The only perceived separation is Illusion. We are one in Christ and God, the Father....

Anyone can say or think this, but if it is not experienced in a continuous state of consciousness, then for all practical purposes it is not true. After a while the mind begins telling itself that since all is God and all is therefore perfection, then it is illusory to engage in any spiritual practice because we are already perfect spirit. This is the folly propagated by the book *The Impersonal Life* that has supplied a lot of spiritual layabouts with the excuse to pursue everything in the world but enlightenment. As Yogananda said: Humans are so skilled in their ignorance.

Empty philosophy can be one of the most harmful factors in a person’s life. In my early life as a yogi I always knew someone was about to abandon yoga and spiritual life when I saw them reading either *The Impersonal Life* or *Commentaries On Living*.

In St. Thomas Christianity is there any purpose beyond Lila proposed?

The idea of “all is lila” is the rationalization behind acceptance of foolish, negative and destructive behavior on the part of gurus and religious authorities—and the excuser himself. Right along with it is the “crazy wisdom” cant of contemporary Western spiritual frauds about their own ignorance and evil. Nothing in the cosmos is just for fun, everything is for the attainment of jnana—of liberated consciousness. It all means something except to those who themselves are meaningless because they identify with illusion and do not know the reality behind everything.

In reply to a sadhaka living in an area where there were no others of her practice or philosophy. She asked which meditation groups I would recommend to a person looking for some spiritual association.

The problem with meditation groups is that they are often for propaganda and keeping people followers of whatever philosophy or guru they have. And frankly, most of such meditation groups put out negative or confused vibrations. It is better to meditate alone than with such people.

Satsang is so important, but what kind of satsang can you have with people who believe things contrary to dharma? Sincerity counts for very little as a substitute for
true understanding.

Also, when these groups find you do not follow their ideas or ways they are not really accepting of you, only waiting to “bring you around” to their ideas and ways.

Unity and the Church of Religious Science can be positive, but they are tending more and more to be whatever the current New Age fads dictate. So you would need to visit them and see their actual character, which can vary from center to center.

Are there no Hindu temples in your area? Even when our ashram was in California where there are so many groups, we confined our association to orthodox Hindu temples and devotees’ homes and Mahayana Buddhist temples of the Pure Land (Amitabha) or Kuan Yin traditions. We always found an instant spiritual affinity with them.

I am afraid I am not being much help, but outside India it is a real problem to find true, spiritually helpful satsang. It is better to be alone than to be in worthless or detrimental company.

To an Indian Methodist bishop who wanted to visit us because: “Here in India I am deeply concerned about reaching Hindus with the Christian gospel, in a way acceptable to them in the language and form they understand. After a lot of research and browsing I have found your way of understanding and presentation of the Christian faith may be the answer to lead the majority community in India and diaspora to the TRUTH.”

Please look more carefully at our website, especially the article and book: The Christ of India. There you will see that our intention is to demonstrate that Jesus of Nazareth travelled to India and became an orthodox Sanatana Dharma. Then after living half of his life in India he returned to Israel as a teacher of Dharma and after three years returned to India where he lived the rest of his life in the Himalayas as a master yogi.

Those who would truly follow Jesus have only one option: the adoption and following of Sanatana Dharma—not that which is today called Christianity, but is only Churchianity.

Since the teachings of Jesus were pure Sanatana Dharma, they can be learned from the eleven principal Upanishads (Isha, Kena, Katha, Prashna, Mundaka, Mandukya, Taittiriya, Aitareya, Chandogya, Brihadaranyaka, and Shvetashvatara), the Bhagavad Gita and the Yoga Darshan (Yoga Sutras) of Patanjali. Anything that contradicts or adds to them is contrary to Dharma, and therefore contradictory to the original teachings of Jesus.

Hindus do not need “the Christian gospel,” which is a corruption of Jesus’ teachings, but they need to become more deeply aware of and grounded in traditional, authentic Sanatana Dharma. One of my friends in Delhi for some reason (perhaps from past life samskaras) became interested in converting to Christianity, so he went to consult with some Catholic priests. They asked him to first carefully
study the scriptures of Sanatana Dharma and then decide what he felt was right to do. He did so, and did the right thing: he did not convert, but began following the Brahminical traditions of his ancestors. He kept a picture of Jesus in his puja room along with the pictures and images of the Hindu deities, understanding that Jesus was a worshipper of them as was he.

We certainly do hope that our website and publications will help seekers in America to find the eternal truths revealed to the ancient rishis of India, and will confirm those of India in the faith and practice of their divine, ancestral heritage: Sanatana Dharma.

Satyam Eva Jayate: “Truth alone prevails, not falsehood. By truth the path is laid out, the Way of the Gods, on which the seers, whose every desire is satisfied, proceed to the Highest Abode of the True” (Mundaka Upanishad 3:1:6).

May you find that path.

A blogpost in reference to the previous question and answer.

Dear friends,

There are two reasons for this blogpost besides the fact that I received the letter from India quoted previously.

The first is the need to make clear to all visitors to our website and readers of my books that the purpose of the website and my writings is to demonstrate that Jesus of Nazareth travelled to India in his so-called “lost years” and was a convert to Sanatana Dharma (Hinduism). He returned to Israel as a messenger of that Dharma and was persecuted and executed for teaching Dharma. He returned to India where he lived the remainder of his life in the Himalayas. Therefore those who feel a spiritual affinity for Jesus become his true disciples by following his example and adopting Sanatana Dharma.

A Saint Thomas Christian priest once told me: “You cannot understand the teachings of Jesus if you do not know the scriptures of India.” That is because Jesus taught those scriptures! What is today popularly considered Christianity (Churchianity) is an insult and defamation of both Jesus and his teachings. The purpose of our website is to help Christians of both East and West to find the true religion of Jesus: Sanatana Dharma, and follow it as he did. They will be Sanatana Dharmis who have devotion to Jesus, just as there are Sanatana Dharmis who have devotion to Rama, Krishna, Vishnu, Shiva, Durga, Ganesha and the other forms of God. They will no longer be Churchians and need not even call themselves Christians.

The second is to explain that in India there are missionaries and their adherents that incorporate token elements of Hinduism into their churches in the hope that they will attract Indian converts. For example, they will read passages from the Upanishads or Gita and follow them with passages from the Bible to pretend that the Vedic rishis were seeking truth and that the Bible and Christianity are the fulfillment of that search.
When the writer of the letter I answered got my reply he wrote a long letter of semi-defense which was really an admission of such underhanded and brazen deceptions on his part. He even said that his desire was to help those that pray: Asato ma sad gamaya, Lead me from the unreal to the Real (which he rendered, Lead me from the untrue to the Truth) to “the Truth that is Jesus.” And of course he expressed indignation at my reference to Brahmins—and therefore caste—in my answer to him. In other words, the man aspires to destroy dharma and usher people into profound ignorance and blasphemy. These may be strong words, but one of my first Indian friends told me what he and his Hindu classmates endured day after day in a Christian school in Gujerat. Every day there was a session of sneering at them and Dharma. They were told they were stupid, fools and going to hell. Often pictures and images of the deities were brought in and mocked and disrespected. The teachers also read out passages from the Hindu scriptures and mocked them. (Now their successors read them in church, as I have mentioned.) As a result he detested Jesus personally. That is the evil of the missionaries who came to “dark India” to bring the false “light” of lies. Of course their version of Jesus is detestable.

For thirty-two years Paramhansa Yogananda lived in the West and explained the real teachings of Jesus and Jesus himself as a yogi and disciple of the masters of India. All of his writings present the truth, especially The Second Coming of Christ. If you have not already done so, I hope that you will read The Christ of India and the other related articles on our website.

Yours in the Light of the Spirit, the Atma Jyoti,

Abbot George Burke
(Swami Nirmalananda Giri)

I am a student preparing for my civil service examinations next year. Eating meat has greatly decreased my concentration level. Every year for at least a month I eat only vegetarian food before I make a pilgrimage to the Sabarimala Temple. I can feel the difference in my intellectual level during that time. Now I would like to become a vegetarian. But I am confused as to whether I can eat eggs or not.

I know there are those who say that eating non-fertile eggs is acceptable because it does not violate the principle of ahimsa. That is so, but it does violate the principle of shaucha–purity. Eggs are animal flesh, a dead embryo, and as such are unclean, often being contaminated by elements such as salmonella which are detrimental–even dangerous–to health. As you have seen for yourself, the food you eat affects your mental abilities. Here is what the Chandogya Upanishad tells us about food and the mind:

“Food when eaten becomes threefold. What is coarsest in it becomes faeces, what is medium becomes flesh and what is subtlest becomes mind. Water when
drunk becomes threefold. What is coarsest in it becomes urine, what is medium becomes blood and what is subtlest becomes prana....The mind consists of food, [and] the prana of water…” (Chandogya Upanishad 6.5.1-2, 4).

“That which is the subtlest part of curds rises, when they are churned and becomes butter. In the same manner that which is the subtlest part of the food that is eaten rises and becomes mind. Thus the mind consists of food” (Chandogya Upanishad 6.6.1, 2,5; the same is confirmed in 6.7.1-6).

“Now is described the discipline for inner purification by which self-knowledge is attained: When the food is pure, the mind becomes pure. When the mind is pure the memory [smriti–memory of our eternal spirit-Self] becomes firm. When the memory is firm all ties are loosened” (Chandogya Upanishad 7.26.2).

No intelligent person wants to absorb the mental energies of a chicken into his mind!

To a friend who wrote concerned about derogatory things being spoken about some people who, being departed from this world, had no defence.

Not only are falsehoods spread, but so are faults in understanding. I want to tell you about one I myself encountered.

Before I went to see the great Master Shivananda, a very serious and good sadhaka, Brahmachari Haridas who was living at the Yogoda Math in Dakshineswar, told me that letters were being sent from Sivanandashram with the signature of Sivananda that he did not really write. This was told to me in all sincerity and as a caution for me to not think everyone in Sivanandashram was fully honest.

Here is the actual situation I found out.

Sivananda was incredibly busy with people coming day and night seeking his blessing, help and advice. His very advanced disciple, Swami Venkateshananda, would sit in his room with a typewriter and as Sivananda spoke with people in another part of the ashram he would at the same time psychically dictate letters to Venkateshananda who would type them out and bring them to Sivananda who would sign them. The situation showed the yogic capacities of both guru and disciple. But it can easily be understood that people might not understand the truth of it.

As Swami Brahmananda, the great disciple of Sri Ramakrishna used to say: “Just see the fun!”

This we know: God is true. It is Him that we must seek.

What is your perspective on the possible correspondences between the Holy Trinity and the human trinity of body, soul and spirit?

Our eternal spirit which is one with the Father “is” the father in our trinity. The higher bodies which reflect the spirit correspond to the Son, and the lower bodies correspond to the Holy Spirit, the Energy of creation.
However, the analogy is more symbolic than accurate. The Father aspect of us is our spirit’s transcendental nature, the Son is our spirit’s immanent, incarnate nature, and the energy bodies, our evolving energies in which our spirit is incarnate, are the Holy Spirit.

And all are one. As we move toward perfection, our bodies become increasingly refined until they are assumed into our spirit. For nothing but spirit has ever really existed. This assumption is symbolized by the Assumption of the Virgin Mary.

What exactly is the blasphemy against the Holy Spirit which cannot be forgiven?

First we should look at the Bible references to the blasphemy against the Holy Spirit: “All manner of sin and blasphemy shall be forgiven unto men: but the blasphemy against the Holy Ghost shall not be forgiven unto men. And whosoever speaketh a word against the Son of man, it shall be forgiven him: but whosoever speaketh against the Holy Ghost, it shall not be forgiven him, neither in this world, neither in the world to come” (Matthew 12:31-32, Luke 12:10).

The word translated “blasphemy” is blasphemia, which means to vilify and rave against someone—even to curse and defame them. Intense hatred of the target is implied, also. The word translated “forgive” is afymi, which means to banish, leave behind, omit, remit. It implies separation from, cessation or reversal. So this means to hate and work or speak against something and for the slate not to ever be wiped clean or the penalty cancelled.

In a nutshell: whoever actively and intentionally defames or denounces the action of the Holy Spirit will suffer the consequences, the negative karma—not that they will be damned forever, but that they will absolutely pay off the karmic debt they created by their negativity. All other karma can be neutralized by positive words and deeds, but not that karma, for it is self-destruction.

How do we blaspheme the Holy Spirit? It is not doing or speaking evil of a saint or master, because Jesus says: “Whosoever speaketh a word against the Son of man, it shall be forgiven him.” Those who lied about Jesus and claimed he was demon-possessed, born of fornication, a liar and a fraud, and even those who engineered his death, could have that karma dissolved by future positive words and acts. The same is true of those who have injured and even killed saints and masters.

Now we should turn to the Aquarian Gospel where, as usual, Jesus’ words in the Bible are made clear and comprehensible in a spiritually sensible manner.

“If you sin against a son of man, you may be pardoned and your guilt be cleansed by acts of kindness and of love; but if you sin against the Holy Breath [Pneuma-Spirit] by disregarding her when she would open up the doors of life for you; by closing up the windows of the soul when she would pour the light of love into your hearts, and cleanse them with the fires of God; your guilt shall not be blotted out in this, nor in the life to come.

“An opportunity has gone to come no more, and you must wait until the ages
roll again. Then will the Holy Breath again breathe on your fires of life, and fan them to a living flame. Then she will open up the doors again, and you may let her in to sup with you for evermore, or you may slight her once again, and then again” (105:32-38).

So we see that the basic “blasphemy” against the Holy Spirit is to ignore or actively turn against the inner awakening She seeks to bring about in us. It is not insulting and rejecting Her messengers, but our refusal to act according to what our now-evolving consciousness reveals to us is the truth about higher consciousness and its requisite: higher life. People do this all time, not wanting to change their life to conform to the spiritual insight they have gained. I have watched this tragic drama unfold in the lives of people over and over again. They consider the “price” is too high for them to pay. This is the saddest thing anyone can witness. Physical death is small compared to seeing a person condemn themselves to inner death and future suffering by disdaining the way to peace and freedom, considering it not worth the trouble.

Sometimes the call from On High comes several times and is rejected, but mostly it comes only once in a lifetime. Please understand that this unhappy fate is not decreed by God the Holy Spirit, but is the simple principle of karma. The magnitude of a situation determines the magnitude of the karma. And when the Holy Spirit Mother is involved, it is tremendous, indeed. Yet, as Jesus says, in time She will awaken us again and we can choose again–wisely or foolishly. But it is usually a very long time before that “again” occurs. And I know of instances in which the habit of rejection manifested in many lives in succession. But it is always our choice.

To a friend who asked me to write about Paramhansa Yogananda’s statements regarding Judas Iscariot and his present spiritual status.

Master Yogananda knew Judas in India, where he had been reborn in Bengal in 1865, and was named Sarat Chandra Chakravarty. One day Jesus appeared to Sri Ramakrishna Paramhansa and told him that Judas had suffered greatly in many lives and that he was sending him to Sri Ramakrishna to be put back on the path to liberation. Shortly afterward Sarat came to Dakshineswar, met Sri Ramakrishna and became his disciple.

When Yogananda knew him he was Swami Saradananda. Other disciples of Sri Ramakrishna told Yoganandaji about Jesus’ appearance to Sri Ramakrishna regarding the reincarnated Judas.

In a conversation about Saradananda/Judas, Yogananda said that he very early on displayed “an unusual” attitude toward money. (The same attitude that had played a major part in his life as Judas, and brought about his betrayal of Jesus.) The other disciples of Ramakrishna began to tease him about this, and Sri Ramakrishna very forcefully forbade them to ever do so again, fearful that such teasing might actually awaken past negative samskaras.
At the end of his life, Jesus entrusted the care of the Virgin Mary to his most beloved disciple, Saint John. From then on he looked after her welfare. In the same way, Swami Saradananda became the wholehearted, loving servant of Sri Sri Sarada Devi, the virgin wife of Sri Ramakrishna after the mahasamadhi of Sri Ramakrishna. In every way he looked after Sri Ma for many years, and at the end of her life was in constant attendance on her. Surely this shows that his attainment was equal to that of Saint John the Beloved.

Yoganandaji said that Swami Saradananda attained liberation in that life. So the love and mercy of God had prevailed and brought him home to union with the Divine. Surely Jesus would say with Krishna: “Understand: no devotee of me is ever lost” (Bhagavad Gita 9:31).

Wikipedia has a very good article about Swami Saradananda (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Saradananda), so you can read more there. Yoganandaji’s words about Judas can be found in The New Path and Paramhansa Yogananda by Swami Kriyananda. If you look in the index of The Second Coming of Christ under the entry “Judas Iscariot” and the sub-entry “liberated in India in 20th century,” you will find further statements of Yoganandaji about the liberation of Judas.

To a friend who asked my opinion of the idea taught in Fundamentalist Christianity that “individuals who deliberately, intentionally and consciously engage in evil deeds can be simply salvaged/redeemed and liberated by just saying: ‘I believe in Jesus Christ,’ without reforming and abandoning their wickedness.”

Your question reminds me of one Sunday in the late ‘sixties, when I had been invited to speak to a Methodist Sunday School class of high school students about Indian philosophy and yoga. The teacher and students were very open and accepting of what I had to say, but all through most of our conversation there was a terrible din of trashy “contemporary Christian” music being pounded out in the main part of the church by a group of college students. Toward the end of our conversation the noise stopped and shortly we were invaded by the “musicians” who had heard I was speaking there and wanted to make sure I did not corrupt my hearers.

Immediately they began challenging me with words so fatuous that I had no trouble in showing their silliness. Throwing reason aside, one young woman finally said: “We know we are all sinners, and always will be, but we believe in Jesus as our Savior, and in the day of judgment he will say to God: ‘They believed in me down there,’ and God will honor that and let us enter heaven.”

“What a hideously immoral thing you have just said,” I replied. “The picture you present is like that of a criminal in a court who is obviously guilty, but a rich and powerful man comes up to the corrupt judge and says: ‘Look, Dave, you and I have been friends all our lives, and for your friendship with me, please pardon my boy here whom I love and who has always been a good son to me.’ So the judge ignores the law, bangs the gavel, declares the case dismissed and lets the criminal go home.
free. This is the terrible image you want people to have of God: Just a graftee who
pardons his friends even through they are guilty.” They stuttered and stammered,
and the teacher quickly thanked me and sent me on my way.

Christianity has trafficked in this evil teaching for centuries because it has no
grasp of the nature, purpose and destiny of the human being—which is spiritual
perfection and union with God, not heaven. Nor has it any reasonable or legitimate
idea about Jesus, his nature, purpose and teachings. In fact, it has no reasonable or
legitimate idea about hardly anything. Churchians are followers of Lady Macbeth,
who said regarding her sin: “A little water clears us of this deed: How easy is it, then!”
(Act II, Scene II). Yes; how easy.

A religion that does not teach reincarnation, karma and the evolution of
consciousness knows nothing about life, death or the soul. They are those Jesus
spoke about as “having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof.” And
his advice to us regarding them is: “From such turn away” (II Timothy 3:5)!

I have just finished reading two books about Christian ascetics whose
major activities seemed to be “fighting the demons” and being frightened or
tormented by evil spirits throughout their lives. Does this really happen to
those who try to attain higher consciousness and know God? Even yogis?

Everything that happens to us externally is a matter of karma, the result of past
life action. Everything that arises from within us is a manifestation of samskaras,
habit patterns and impressions (including memories) from past lives. So everything
in our life comes from, and in one sense is a continuation and reaction of/to, our
past deeds and mental states. Therefore the yogi must from the very first realize
that whatever happens inwardly or outwardly is a result of his own previous deeds
and thoughts. He must understand that his inner and outer life is a revealing of
himself, hopefully leading to the revelation of his Self.

There is a Chinese proverb: “When mean-spirited people live behind a door,
mean-spirited people come to that door.” The entire universe is a field of vibrating
energy, and that energy is magnetic—it tends to gather around itself energies of like
character. Our inner and outer experience is determined by our present vibration.
As Yogananda’s greatest disciple, Sister Gyanamata said: “Your own will always
come to you. Indeed, you cannot have anything but your own.” This is a
fundamental principle that is wise to keep in mind. It means that when something
is said or done to us it is an echo of our own previous speech and action. We say
and do it to ourselves. This is very bitter for the ego to face, but unless we do we
will never understand anything about our life. It is all karma, and it is our karma.

“Come ye, and let us walk in the light of the Lord” (Isaiah 2:5). If you “walk in
the light, as he is in the light” (I John 1:7), you will be in the light. But if “the light
that is in thee be darkness, how great is that darkness!” (Matthew 6:23). This is
how you discern whether you are really “in the light” or not. If demons flock
around, you are in the dark and likely to stay that way if your religion is so oriented.
When you are in a place where pigs are rooting all around, you are in a sty. Where there are no pigs at all, you are not in a sty. The dwellers in the religious sties rationalize that the demons are roused against and jealous of them because they are following the truth, and those who are not bothered by demons are in league with them, and that is why they leave them alone. Not true.

Now we should consider what could bring about encounters with evil spirits.

*Karma.* The first cause of such encounters is karma. Those (including yogis) who created karma with evil spirits in past lives by intentionally contacting them in some manner, by practicing witchcraft or magic, or by having it done for them, certainly may encounter evil spirits, but can easily deal with the situation as I outline later.

*Religion.* A great deal of demonic attack by evil spirits is caused by the very nature of a person’s religion. For example, if much of their beliefs are false such as eternal hell and damnation (especially for others not part of their religion or sect), and they denounce beliefs that are true such as reincarnation, karma, evolution of consciousness and the ultimate salvation of all sentient beings, then they are vulnerable to such misfortune. For Jesus said to such religionists: “Ye are of your father the devil,... for he is a liar, and the father of it” (John 8:44). So a religion that propagates lies is of Satan. Lies have a negative, destructive vibration even if they are declared to be theological truth—and even if those who speak them are sincere in their belief. Therefore a religion that is a mixture of truth and lies and therefore propagates lies will naturally be in tune with evil, including evil spirits. What other “spiritual” experience would its adherents have but demonic contact? Sincerity in believing lies does not shield you from their negative effects.

Jesus said: “As thou hast believed, so be it done unto thee,... According to your faith be it unto you” (Matthew 8:13; 9:29). If you keep thinking that you deserve to go to hell, the messengers of hell will come to help you get there! The mind and emotion are powerful magnets. If someone thinks of evil spirits and either fears or hates them, he attracts them to himself and by his own will makes himself vulnerable to them. Sri Ramakrishna once told a young man who was a spiritualist medium: “My boy, if you think of ghosts you will become a ghost. If you think of God you will become god. Which do you prefer?”

The Lord Jesus also said: “Where your treasure is, there will your heart be also” (Matthew 6:21). So those who dwell on evil and evil spirits do so because they have an affinity, even a liking, for evil and evil beings. Those obsessed with sin, damnation and demons have made their choice and will get it. And those who know that God Is All and the destiny of all sentient beings is conscious oneness with God will attain that.

“If ye then be risen with Christ,... your life is hid with Christ in God” (Colossians 3:1-3). If a religion is truly of God, then practice of that religion will protect from and banish such spirits.

Saint James, the Son of Thunder, wrote: “Resist the devil, and he will flee from you” (James 4:7-8), not: “He will come back again and again and beat and torment
you.” And he told the way to be safe and free from evil: “Draw nigh to God, and he will draw nigh to you” (James 4:7-8). In Saint Mark’s Gospel (4:10) he tells us that when Satan tempted Jesus, all Jesus had to do was to tell him to get out, and out he got! This is true Christianity. Anything else is blasphemous superstition and evil which naturally attracts demons and demonic people.

Worship. Obviously some religions such as voodoo and black magic invoke evil spirits intentionally, but the worship of ignorant religion can unintentionally do the same. The liturgical worship of exoteric Christians draws to them invasion of evil spirits, for the worship itself goes on and on about sin and sinfulness and unworthiness for salvation. Such “affirmations” must bear fruit. This is especially true of Lent which emphasizes sin and penance, judgment and hell and God’s “righteous wrath.” Accounts through the centuries tell (brag?) about how during Lent demons manifest much more, and how possessed people become more actively so. On the other hand, the Lenten season of esoteric Christians is a true preparation for Easter, and the days become brighter and brighter until they are prepared to consciously participate in the Resurrection. I have seen this contrast for decades.

Inner negativity. When the mind is dark and clouded and inclined toward that cloudy darkness, then it becomes itself demonic and the person becomes also a demon in human form. I well remember a spiritual director saying to me about a man who had abandoned spiritual life: “He was never bothered by demons. He was his own demon.” Just as I have read and heard ridiculous “enlightenment” experiences that came solely from the deluded minds of the narrators, in the same way I have read and heard “demonic attack” experiences that also came from the same source: a distorted and deluded mind.

We all have subconscious minds, and those minds can hold much negativity and foolishness from previous lives. Just as hypochondriacs conjure up illnesses for themselves, the negativity of our subconscious can project all sorts of imaginations and even hallucinations. If we realize this, then dealing with them will not be difficult, even if tedious. This can be the case with beginning yogis, since there may be a good deal of negative karmas and energies that must be cleared away before the yoga life can really be lived.

One of my closest yogi friends one day saw the decaying face of a corpse in meditation. Overcome by terror, she stopped meditating. From then on, every time she sat for meditation the horrid face would appear along with paralyzing fear. Instead of going to an experienced yogi for advice (she knew several), she went to a psychiatrist who convinced her that yoga meditation was bad for her, and for all non-orientals. So she stopped meditation and ended her spiritual quest.

Recently I read a rather lengthy book in which the author recounts his “warfare” with demons. If he had understood about reincarnation, and how we all have had many lives filled with evil deeds and thoughts, much of which remain buried in our subconscious, he would have known that the temptations and attacks came from his own mind, that the apparitions of demons and various threatening phenomena came right out of himself. He was his own demon. Some of the things he told were
so obviously from his mind and not from any other source that it would have been amusing in a child. But in a man it was tragic. And he is teaching others to follow him in the same path. “There is a way which seemeth right unto a man, but the end thereof are the ways of death” (Proverbs 14:12).

*Deluded asceticism.* The Bhagavad Gita rightly and reasonably says: “Asceticism [tapasya] which is practiced with deluded notions of the Self, and with self-torture... is declared to be of the principle of darkness and ignorance [tamas]” (17:19). Unfortunately, such deluded asceticism can be found in every religion. In Hinduism and Buddhism it is based on the delusion that self-torture of the body is a discipline that somehow develops the will and enables the mind to master itself. This is like the mother of the spoiled boy that told his schoolteacher: “If he misbehaves, just slap the boy next to him and he will straighten up.” Torturing, weakening and ultimately destroying the health of the body in no way improves the mind, but is a symptom of mental and moral aberration. In Christianity it is based on delusion in the form of belief that God is pleased with self-torture, that the body (and not the mind) is the cause of evil and therefore torturing the body somehow expiates sin. Such “discipline” is based squarely on self-loathing justified by the idea that God is angry with us and therefore loathes us, and we really only merit the torments of hell. So such ascetics create and live in hell in their own body, mind and life. Such hellish delusion proves that they are the real demons, and naturally they attract evil spirits of like mind. Their own comes to them.

*Evil spirits exist.* There are such things as evil spirits. Some are earthbound human beings and some are non-human spirits that have wandered into our dimension from other universes. Others were involved in the creation of our universe, yet became corrupted and fell into the ways of evil. These are the beings called “fallen angels,” though that is not exactly correct. They are real and malevolent, so I am not expounding to you the Pretty Positive Thinking philosophy that denies the existence of evil and evil spirits and such terrible things as black magic and worship of such evil beings. Misguided and mistaken “positive thinking” can be very negative in its effect when it denies what truly exists.

A “New Thought” type of church bought a property adjacent to their church to use for various church activities. But they found they could not use it because of its unsettling and eerie atmosphere. No one could handle the negative energies, and people who went there for Sunday School classes in a short while ended up out in the yard. Since the church denied the existence of evil and evil spirits the minister and members were helpless to do anything. All their “white light” meditations and affirmations did nothing to clear things up. So when the great scientist and Christian metaphysician Marcel Vogel came to speak at the church, they asked him to go to the house and see what he could do. He asked me to go along and investigate with him. The next morning we went and discovered that there were a few harmless earthbound spirits in the house. But the problem was in the attic apartment at the top of the house. We both could see it, and Marcel perceived that
it was a non-human entity that had somehow gotten into our dimension and become trapped there and was frantic to get out. People felt its agitation and panic and that was what drove them out of the building. Marcel simply opened the way for the entity to return to its natural world and it was gone in an instant. Then all was well.

I have had a goodly bit of experience with spirits, and it is not at all uncommon for people to encounter them, but they often do not know what they are faced with. Sometimes both human and spirit frighten each other! Never believe the threats of spirits. It is all noise and bluff. But never laugh, mock or speak with contempt or hatred to them, because that can empower them. And besides, it is wrong to do because they are God’s children, also. Just be calm and refuse to be affected by them. On occasion simply ignoring them can make them leave because it unsettles their ego and frustrates them. I must admit that sometimes I have gotten rid of a spirit simply by saying: “If you don’t go away I will bless you,” or: “If you don’t go away I will pray to God that he will deliver you from your evil.” Neither of these appeal to truly evil spirits.

Dispelling evil entities. Negative spirits detest that which is positive, therefore a positive environment repels them. The best way to keep away or banish evil (or insane or ignorant) spirits is to keep yourself in a positive frame of mind and to see that your home is filled with positive vibrations, especially through japa and meditation. I have seen spirits that have haunted a place for a long while easily banished by the regular meditation of a single person in the house.

Positive attitude. Always remember that the positive expels the negative and do not fear or feel animosity for the spirits, for fear and hatred attract evil. Many of the exorcism prayers for both Eastern and Western Christianity are ridiculously negative and even hateful, and can prolong the disturbance and even guarantee its continuation. Furthermore, a psychotic individual pretending to be possessed by spirits and undergoing such exorcism is capable of producing all kinds of phenomena that read like the best of horror stories. I have witnessed this nonsense myself. Here, too, sincerity on the part of the exorcist is no safeguard.

Blessing is usually the best form of exorcism. I have seen possessed and obsessed people freed quickly and easily (and without drama) by such means. On occasion I have found that praying for an entity can benefit it. This is because some spirits actually come for help, but at the same time their deep negativity may impel them to be hostile and threatening—they cannot help themselves. Do not let spirits fool you by pretending they need you to keep on “helping” them by praying and suchlike. This is just a way to tie you to them. If something does not get rid of them right away, then another approach is needed.

One of Swami Sivananda’s disciples told me that in an Indian scripture it is written that once many evil spirits came to Brahma the Creator and asked how they could be delivered from their negative condition, for their evil rendered them unable to help themselves. Brahma told them to seek out true yogis and sadhakas and stay near them and in time they would be freed. In India I have been in some
ashrams and holy places that were incredibly haunted by such spirits. I once read an account by a Westerner who had encountered negative spirits in one of the holiest shrines of Shiva, who is merciful to evil and crazy spirits. She was so terrified that she ran from there and denounced Hinduism as being demonic. But the negativity was in her, and that attracted the spirits to her just as positivity can attract them. I met her some time after reading her account and found her to be literally spiritually crazy, just like the spirits she had encountered that frightened her so.

Another yogi friend began seeing a terrifying and threatening entity every time he sat for meditation. His meditation room had doors with glass panes. Shortly after beginning to meditate he would feel something awful nearby, and opening his eyes would see a werewolf kind of creature leaning against the door on the opposite side and glaring at him. Obviously the being could not come in the meditation room, but how could he close his eyes with it just a foot away from him? Well, he could and he did, and after about four times the thing no longer appeared.

Not long before I took up yoga, I had attracted a non-human entity unintentionally through a mistaken attempt at psychic development. How it got into the earth plane I have no idea, but it had been worshipped as a god in an ancient culture. When that worship had ceased it had just drifted about. It was not at all malevolent, but it was ignorant and at loose ends and wanted human contact. I did not fear possession or obsession by it, but I realized it was psychically unhealthy to have any kind of contact with it, and that further contact could be detrimental. (It might have caused me to be bound to it after death, but I did not realize that at the time.) So I just ignored it, and after a while I did not see it very often, and then not at all. Yet one day when I was in the vegetarian restaurant at the Hollywood SRF Center, the entity came up to me and wanted further contact. I concentrated at the third eye and calmly broadcasted the message that I was not interested in any contact and that it should seek to return to its normal plane and even to move higher. That was the end of all contact, and I feel sure that the merciful vibrations of Master Yogananda in that sacred place freed the entity.

As I have said, the yogi may have such experiences because of some previous life karma. Usually, though, japa and meditation draw that which is good and repel that which is evil. If evil (in the form of entities or energies) approaches and japa and meditation are maintained calmly by the yogi, then it leaves, dissolves or is transmuted.

Transmutation into a higher plane. As I have said, spirits come to us for help. Even though a lot spend their time harming and terrifying people because of their hatred and malice, some really do want to be freed from their miserable state, even though they still manifest hostility toward the people or place from which they seek help.

Omkar Sahai, a disciple of Swami Keshavananda (Keshabananda) written about in Autobiography of a Yogi, told me that once when he was with Anandamayi Ma standing with a group of people where Ma was sitting under a tree, one of the tree’s branches began to move up and down, at first gently and then violently. At the same time everyone backed away as though some force was pushing them. Ma continued
to sit there quietly, and slowly the branch stopped moving. Then everyone came forward to where they had been standing. Later Ma said that a brahmarakshasa (see the article “Brahmarakshasa” on Wikipedia) had come and was moving the branch to get her attention. That was all she told, but it was assumed that she had freed it.

It is a common practice in India for there to be continual (akhanda) kirtan (singing of Holy Names) for some hours, days and or even months. At Sivanandashram in Rishikesh the Mahamantra Akhanda Kirtan has been going on for seventy-four years. One time I participated in an akhanda kirtan at Sapta Rishi Ashram north of the holy city of Hardwar. I had stayed at the ashram five years before and found it one of those places where spirits come for release or at least some relief. It was still the same, and early on in the kirtan I saw quite a few wandering spirits, one of them a very frightening spirit of the type called pishacha—a blood-drinker. After some hours this spirit came right into the area of the kirtan and began shouting and obviously threatening the singers, who of course had no idea he was there. But I saw and heard him and was on guard, to put it mildly. Fortunately, he ignored me and kept on raving and threatening. After some time he stopped and just stood there. Pishachas are intensely black, almost like a total void rather than a color. But after some time, he became more like a normal black hue, and then began to become lighter and lighter in color. Finally he was glowing white light and suddenly was gone. He had gone to a higher world. I have witnessed less dramatic transmutations at other times, some of them in kirtan and others in ritualistic worship and meditation.

On occasion the released spirits express gratitude before passing upward. Always remember: the core of the evil spirit is the same divine spirit at the core of all beings. Otherwise they could not be freed.

Earthbound spirits. I mentioned earthbound spirits before. They can be encountered also, some of them malevolent, some of them insane and some of them suffering and frightened. These, too, may show up, especially to a yogi. Prayer and good will can go a great deal to heal them. They, too, can be lifted into a higher level where they would be if they had not for some karmic reason become earthbound.

There is no doubt that a foolish and sentimental sympathy will not help those spirits, and they can start pestering and even tormenting those that do not try to help them in the right way. In fact, there are times when they must kindly be told: “I cannot help you. Please go elsewhere.” I have had to do so myself on occasion, because otherwise the incautious yogi can become swamped by such spirits. Knowing our limitations and acting accordingly is an important asset in many aspects of life, including this.

If the spirit does not go, then japa and meditation must come into use as well. The recitation or singing of mantras (as in kirtan) aloud can be a real help, too.

Animal spirits. Animal spirits can be earthbound, too. Since they do not have human intelligence you cannot talk to them. But you can pray for them and even ask their guardian spirits (they have them) to come help them or to ask holy angels
to come to their aid.

This is a very long answer to your question, but I wanted it to be complete. Avoid those who are obsessed with the devil and demons and keep company with those who are devoted to God and his true saints.

I am wondering about the concept of Paramatma, Prakriti and jivatma: Why have a construct of Paramatma, Prakriti and atma/jivatma? Why not simply have Paramatma, without the need for any existence, any Universe, creatures or beings, given that Paramatma is eternal, unmanifest, not of Prakriti, and does not require Prakriti or jivatma to “be,” whilst the latter two require Paramatma to “be”... etc?

I do understand that with this hypothesis, there would be no beings, universe etc. which is a false statement in itself, but from a Vedanta standpoint, what is the “tarka” or logical reasoning for the construct of Paramatma, Prakriti, and jivatma?

You see for yourself into what absurdity it all falls when a person subscribes to misunderstood Advaita Vedanta rather than Sankhya, the original philosophy (darshana) of Sanatana Dharma, especially the Bhagavad Gita, and of the Yoga Darshana itself. When we realize that these three concepts are necessary for an intelligent pursuit of Brahmajnana and moksha, it is really an absurdity to deny their value. Any concept is fundamentally merely an image in the mind, but the entire universe is being held as a concept in the consciousness of Brahman. It is a dream, but a dream that is real like any other dream of the mind. To say that something is not real because it is not material reveals the mind of a materialist, of Charvaka, not Dharma. These three concepts form the practical basis of, and make possible, the individual’s intelligent pursuit and attainment of Atmajnana and Brahmajnana. This is reality, not the over-intellection of Vedantists that only causes people to be like the poor centipede who, when asked how he could walk with so many legs, tried to figure it out, got them all tangled and ended up on his back.

I am not saying that a non-dual view of things is false, only that the theories of people that say such things as: “Even though non-duality is the truth, we must live as though there is duality,” are not to be taken seriously. The final word to all of this is Sri Krishna’s advice to us: “Therefore be a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46). And, as already said, Sankhya is the basis of Yoga, which is why the Gita has an entire chapter called Sankhya Yoga, and Sankhya is mentioned in these seven verses:

“This buddhi yoga taught by Sankhya is now declared to you, so heed. Yoked to this buddhi yoga, you shall avoid the bonds of karma” (2:39).

“In this world there is a two-fold path taught by me long ago: knowledge, the yoga of the Sankhyas, and action, the yoga of the yogis” (3:3).

“Sankhya and karma yoga are different,’ the childish declare—not the wise. If one is practiced correctly, that person finds the fruit of both” (5:4).

“The realization that is attained by the followers of Sankhya is also attained by
the followers of karma yoga. Sankhya and karma yoga are one. He who perceives this truly perceives” (5:5).

“Some perceive the Self in the Self by the Self through meditation, others by Sankhya yoga, and still others by karma yoga” (13:24).

“Learn from me these five factors for the accomplishment of all actions, declared in the Sankhya” (18:13).

“It is said in the Sankhya Philosophy, in the doctrine of the three gunas, that knowledge, action and the doer are of three kinds: hear them also duly” (18:19).

In the Gita (10:26) Sri Krishna also says: “Among the siddhas I am the sage Kapila” who was the formulator of the Sankhya philosophy (darshan).

To someone who wrote describing his serious problems in meditation and who was practicing several yogic methods together.

Pleased be warned against mixing yogic practices. Methods that of themselves are legitimate and beneficial when practiced separately can create subtle conflicts in the meditator’s bodies and even cause harm when combined with other practices.

Twice I have been consulted by people who were experiencing paralysis and spasm in their meditations. The paralysis could last for two or more hours with physical contortions. They could not break out of that condition until it stopped on its own. As you can imagine, they were apprehensive about this. When I questioned them I learned that they were combining two utterly differing methods and processes.

This seems to me to be your problem.

Do you base your knowledge about Jesus Christ and his teachings on the presently available Bible or some other book(s)?

Though they are not well known, there are quite a few books about the lives of Jesus and his mother Mary. They were considered authoritative by the early Christians but are mostly forgotten today except by the Eastern Christians. I have made a compilation of many of them and written a commentary on each one. It has recently been printed as The Unknown Lives of Jesus and Mary.

Several Christian mystics had visions of Jesus’ life, especially Anna Catherine Emmerich whose three-volume Biblical Revelations is quite remarkable and very reliable. Teresa Neumann, who is written about in Autobiography of a Yogi by Paramhansa Yogananda, had many visions of Jesus’ life, and they can be found in The Visions of Teresa Neumann, by Johannes Steiner. Three volumes by him in German are also available.

The Unknown Life of Jesus Christ by Nicolas Notovitch is the translation of a Tibetan manuscript found in a Buddhist monastery in Ladakh in the nineteenth century, and is available from more than one publisher. Information on that and two other sources, the Bhavishya Mahapurana and the Nathanamavali can be found in my
book *The Christ of India* which is posted on our website and available in a print version.

In my estimation one of the most valuable books of Jesus’ life is *The Aquarian Gospel of Jesus the Christ* by Levi Dowling. It is a record of his mystical visions of Jesus’ life, and lays no claim to being perfect or infallible, but the teachings in it are of great value, including the account of his years spent in India. This can also be found on our website along with a commentary by me.

The best exposition of Jesus’ true teachings are found in *The Second Coming of Christ* by Paramhansa Yogananda. The version published by the Amrita Foundation is also posted on our website, but the print version from Self-Realization Fellowship is more complete. Yoganandaji’s books of talks and articles contain a great deal of trustworthy explanations of Jesus’ teachings.

*Jesus Christ and Yoga* by Shyam Sundar Goswami is very instructive also.

---

Do you consider the whole of the presently available Bible literally as the Infallible Word of God without any errors or untruths in it as is believed by Christians?

I do not believe that any of the Bible is infallible or the Word of God. There have been many alterations in the texts through the centuries, some to suit the ideas of Jewish rabbis and some to suit the theology of state-supported Christianity. So we must sift through and use our judgement as to the value of what we find there.

The Vedas are the infallible Word of God—Shabda Brahman. The purity of the text can be tested by purely mathematical formulae. (My knowledge of such processes is nil, so Indian scholars and pandits must be consulted regarding this.) I do believe that the interpretations and translations of the Vedas by Maharshi Dayananda Saraswati and others of the Arya Samaj (notably Pandit Anandapriya of Baroda) are the most trustworthy.

Having said this, I must in all honesty aver that in my opinion the supreme universal scripture is the Srimad Bhagavad Gita, and I believe every word with my whole heart.

---

To someone who wrote about joining the monastery and receiving “monastic training.”

This I tell you very sincerely: monks are born, not made. The idea of “monastic training” gives me the horrors, for it is just brainwashing. The Roman Catholic Church specialized in it for centuries, calling it “formation,” another chilling word. A monk is not a performing animal to be taught tricks.

Here is the real way monastic life works in the true East. Someone visits a monastery and observes. If he feels at home and finds it natural, then he stays (unless there is a space problem), or leaves to settle his affairs and returns. He lives there and follows the routine. He alone can be doing interiorly what he should be
doing, and he realizes that it is all in his hands. Saint Silouan of Athos wrote that after just a few days at Saint Panteleimon’s Monastery he discovered that he could go to spiritual ruin just as easily in the monastery as back in Petersburg. No one can make a man be a monk, and only a fool tries to make him act like one, because if monastic life is not spontaneous for him, then living in a monastery is a mistake.

I do not mean that a man does not discover that the monastic way is different from anything he has previously known, and that it requires an attitude and outlook completely different from that of “the world.” I had to learn a goodly bit, but it was just practical, sensible nuts and bolts conduct appropriate to monastic life. One time when I had only been there a month or so I made a suggestion to the abbot and another senior monk about something I thought would be a good idea in our routine, and they laughed so hard the monk had to hold on to a wall to keep from falling. In just three weeks or so I looked back on the incident and laughed myself. But everything was always easy and freeing. I never was asked to think and feel contrary to the way I did think and feel. Nor was I told to pretend to think and feel differently. I did have to learn things, but learning them was understanding them.

There is never anything unreasonable in true monastic life. If an impasse arises, then either the monastery is not right, or the man is not right. In either way, the road lies outside the door and should be taken. In a recording of Yogananda he says: “Master always said, ‘The door is always open. Whenever you want to go, go.’” No genuine monastery wants to make slaves, just as no true teacher does. In the thirteenth and fourteenth chapters of Autobiography of a Yogi, the incident of Yogananda’s abortive “running away to the Himalayas,” and Sri Yukteswar’s reaction is the way it should always be. In the same recording I heard him say: “The great masters who liberate do not want disciples.” This is the great secret.

When a person enters a monastery it should be with the idea of living there always, not learning the externals and then going away to make a yoga center or monastery to be a lotus for him to shine in as the jewel. (Through the years quite a few people obviously had that intention but we did not let them into the monastery even for a visit.)

It is assumed that a man can ask: “What benefit can this monastery give me?” A sensible question. But it is a two-way street. The monastery brotherhood asks: “What benefit can this man give us?” A single wrong-headed and wrong-hearted man can disrupt the entire community externally and internally. I speak from firsthand experience.

When I became a novice there was another novice in the monastery who thought he was clever, talented and a real personality kid. He made everyone miserable with his arrogance, his insistence on being above our discipline—and his outright cussedness. Yet in mercy the monks tolerated him, even when he went into the kitchen where another novice was working and began knocking him around with no provocation other than his discontent with himself. (I witnessed this.) Finally he chose to leave on a major holy day, upsetting our routine and demanding things he “deserved” to take with him. No one was angry with him, but truly sad at
seeing his disintegration. He left in the afternoon, and the resulting peace was astonishing to us all.

Of course he went to another monastery and made tremendous trouble there, even at one point threatening to sue that monastery. Our abbot in an act of colossal mercy asked him to return to us and try to straighten out. He did not, but went home and ended up a Zen priest whose main occupation was abusing all comers in the true psychotic Rinzai tradition. So he remained a misery to all around him for the rest of his days.

In the beginning our abbot had told him forcefully that he should not come to live in the monastery, that he would not find it tolerable, but since childhood he had gotten what he wanted so he came anyway and started his career of chaos. This is an unpleasant story, but I have seen what can result from the wrong person in the wrong place. Here we get rid of his kind within one or two days, but we know in less than half an hour (more like ten minutes) which way the door is going to swing. It is our opinion that indulgence is neither kindness nor mercy.

To someone who went to India to find a teacher of the “real Kriya Yoga” and returned home disappointed and frustrated.

During my many pilgrimages to India I met only one true Kriya Master, and he is now out of the body. He was nothing like these circus-performers that claim to be teaching the real Kriya. He did all his teaching in total secrecy, just as did Lahiri Mahasaya. He forbade his disciples to even mention his name or say that they had a guru. As long as he was in the body I kept my contact with him secret. He was really a cosmic being, like Sivananda.

I actually met him in meditation in America before meeting him in India. One morning while meditating I was aware of the presence of an incredible being. “Who are you?” was the only response I could think of. And he told me who he was and where he lived. I asked if I could meet him the next time I was in India, and he said I could. So I did.

I was going down a crowded main street in the city where he lived hoping to meet with him when I saw two men in a rickshaw stalled at a traffic circle. One of them turned and saw me, got out of the rickshaw and came hurrying over to me. He took my hand and said, “I am N. I am going to be busy all day. You would have trouble finding my house, but I can come meet with you tonight at the ashram.” So he did. I had not told him where I was staying; he just knew.

We sat side by side on my bed and he told me many things about Lahiri Mahasaya’s standards and requirements for discipleship which have to be observed even now, and the master’s relationship with his disciples. I had read a biography of Lahiri Mahasaya several years before. He knew about it in his omniscience and told me what things in the book were not true and which gave a wrong impression of the Yogiraj. Everything about him really revealed to me the ways of a true guru, especially an unassuming sensibleness devoid of the holy show that contemporary
India thinks are the marks of a guru.

I don’t want to be tedious, but I would like to explain to you that although the term “Kriya Yoga” was legitimately coined by Yogananda for use in America, it was never used by the Indian teachers in the lineage of Lahiri Mahasaya. They (as did Yogananda in his early years even in America) only called it “the yoga of Shyama Charan Lahiri of Benares [Varanasi].” That was the term used by all of Lahiri Mahasaya’s disciples. So no one in the original lineage will call it Kriya Yoga. It is a simple thing, but a fact. One of my good friends, a disciple of Swami Keshabananda, said to me: “I practiced that yoga for twenty-four years before I ever heard it called Kriya Yoga.” Further, a true teacher in that lineage never announces himself or allows others to mention him.

Priya Nath Sarkar, the future Swami Sri Yukteswar Giri, in his teens was very good friends with a family named Goswami. They were very normal and respectable people, but he noticed that when he spent some hours visiting with them, and on occasion staying for some days, one of them would quietly leave for an hour or so, and when he came back another of the family would leave for the same amount of time. This happened at each of his visits. Since he was a very close friend, after a while he asked about it. They seemed unconcerned about his query and said it must just be coincidence. But he refused to accept that. Eventually they admitted that they were going for meditation, that all the family were disciples of a yoga guru but they only meditated one by one so no one would realize they were yogis. Naturally he asked about their guru and was told that they had vowed to never reveal his name or whereabouts.

As you know from his accounts in Autobiography of a Yoga about himself in childhood, Priya Nath was not to be put off. He waited and watched and discovered that occasionally one or more members of the Goswami family would go to Varanasi for some time. When he asked why this was they stayed silent. So obviously that was where their guru was! When he could manage, Priya Nath went to Varanasi, confident that through intuition he could find the guru.

He began roaming through the Bengali section of the holy city. One morning he was sitting under a tree by the Ganges when a man came walking down the narrow street and down the steps into the river for a bath. Priya Nath was overwhelmed. Later he would tell people, “He swallowed me in one gulp!” When the man came out of the river, Priya Nath followed him to his nearby home, made inquiries, persisted and eventually returned to the Goswami’s having become their gurubhai (guru-brother) as a disciple of Lahiri Mahasaya.

This is the way things worked around Yogiraj Shyama Charan Lahiri. It was a long, hard road. But it led to God and liberation.

For thousands of years yoga has been handed on in a one-on-one approach, for organizations by their nature go into decline and become corrupt. That you have discovered for yourself.
How does a person go about becoming a yogi? I mean a real yogi in a real tradition?

First of all, seek God and God alone, and never accept any intermediary, though there is nothing wrong in learning from teachers. But scrutinize them thoroughly and do not be afraid to question, ignore or reject them.

I appreciate your question, because it took me years to become a real yogi. I will tell you what I think is indispensable in becoming a true yogi. (These are not in order of importance, just as they occurred to me.)

1) Become a vegetarian: no meat, fish, eggs or anything that contains them to any degree.
2) Abstain absolutely from alcohol, nicotine and any mind-altering drugs.
3) Live according to the principles of yama and niyama without compromise or mitigation. They are:
   - Ahimsa: non-violence, non-injury, harmlessness
   - Satya: truthfulness, honesty
   - Asteya: non-stealing, honesty, non-misappropriativeness
   - Brahmacharya: sexual continence in thought, word and deed as well as control of all the senses
   - Aparigraha: non-possessiveness, non-greed, non-selfishness, non-acquisitiveness
   - Shaucha: purity, cleanliness
   - Santosha: contentment, peacefulness
   - Tapas: austerity, practical (i.e., result-producing) spiritual discipline
   - Swadhyaya: introspective self-study, spiritual study
   - Ishwarapranidhana: offering of one’s life to God

   Read The Foundations of Yoga on our ocoy.org. website for an exposition of them all.
4) Avoid joining any organization. In time you find they are worthless.
5) Learn authentic Indian and Yoga philosophy. Read the Bhagavad Gita, the Upanishads and a translation of the Yoga Sutras not written by a propagandist for a particular yoga method or teacher.
6) Read one chapter of the Gita each day. The concepts and truths it contains are so lacking in Western religion that it is easy to pass over them and let them slip or get pushed into the background of your mind if you just read it once or only occasionally (which will be end up being never, eventually). If people read the Gita daily with intelligent attention, they could not be fooled by the glitter gurus or their fake yogas.
7) Read the Upanishads, the Yoga Sutras and Perspectives on Yoga to learn the yoga life.

8) You do not need to dress like an Indian and sit on the floor and eat with your fingers. But you do need to adopt and identify with Sanatana Dharma. If you really do so, you will find yourself at one with all true religion.
9) Never close your mind. Be ready to learn, adopt and conform to any wisdom
10) Never get initiation from any group or teacher. Real yoga can be practiced by all, because it is based on the fundamental nature of the sentient human being. (See *Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self*.)

11) Let no one bully you into their narrow, ignorant ideas, especially those that want to pen you up in some soul-trap of an organization or guru’s group of pygmy adorers.

12) Understand that “techniques” only prepare or get you ready for meditation, though their practice is often passed off as meditation. Be assured that real yoga sadhana is self-sufficient. It is a single thing that you will discover is both method and result itself. True yoga does not lead to enlightenment, it is enlightenment. That is, it does not produce enlightenment, it reveals it through the unveiling of your Self.

13) Those that tell you “devotion” and/or “grace” is all that you need in spiritual life. These are the “devotee” types that intend to emotionally brainwash and blackmail you into fearing to question or examine their fake philosophy and (usually) guru.

14) Be prepared to be all on your own, self-sufficient, without support, encouragement or understanding on the part of others, no one being “your kind” at all.

One of the notable omissions in Yogananda’s *Autobiography of a Yogi* is the lack of mention of the saint-disciples of Sri Ramakrishna, particularly when so much of the early life of Yogananda seems to have been spent meeting with Saints.

It seems incredible that Lahiri Mahasaya and Ramakrishna, Swami Brahmananda and Sri Yukteswar were all contemporaries, sharing the same space and time.

Obviously Yogananda is very fond of M [Mahendranath Gupta] and portrays his divine friendship with him very touchingly, but M was not a monastic and I don’t think of the innermost circle as such. Regardless, why is there no mention in the *Autobiography* of Vivekananda etc., particularly as Yogananda’s journey seems to follow the same impulse.

Can you shed light on this?

First of all let me tell you this unhappy truth: The bane of the Bengali personality is jealousy. (Bengalis say this, not I, but I know it to be true by my own experience and observation.)

The major reason for Yoganandaji not writing about the disciples of Sri Ramakrishna, except for Mahendranath Gupta, was the presence in Hollywood of Swami Prabhavananda, head of the Vedanta Society of Southern California. This man was obsessively envious and spiteful toward Yogananda whom he had known at Calcutta University and Belur Math, the headquarters of Ramakrishna Mission.
When Yogananda established his center in Hollywood he told others that he wanted to put Sri Ramakrishna’s picture in the temple along with other great figures of the world’s religions, but he knew that Prabhavananda would be troublesome about it. (Remember, that some decades later the Self Realization Fellowship organization sued Swami Kriyananda, making the claim that Yogananda’s photo could not be displayed publicly by any person or organization without their permission!)

Regarding Brahmananda (the guru of Prabhavananda) and other disciples of Sri Ramakrishna: Brahmananda loved Yoganandaji very much, and on several occasions when he came to see Brahmanandaji at Belur Math Swamiji would say to the other monks: “Mukunda is one of ours.” Yogananda knew all the direct disciples of Sri Ramakrishna who were living at Belur Math which he visited frequently, and others that visited there as well.

Swami Premananda and Swami Turiyananda were the best friends of Swami Sri Yukteswar. Sri Yukteswar went once to meet Sri Ramakrishna, but he was not at Dakshineswar that day. However, at the insistence of Premananda and Turiyananda, Sri Yukteswar and Brahmananda had several discussions on the possibility of opening a yoga institute at Belur which would be headed by Sri Yukteswar.

Yogananda was very devoted to Sri Ma Sarada Devi, the consort (not “wife” in the usual sense) of Sri Ramakrishna. And it was notable that even when thousands gathered to see Holy Mother and Yogananda was on the edge of the crowd, he could seemingly miraculously get to the Mother and touch her feet.

Yoganandaji’s friendship with Swami Saradananda, whom he said was Judas in a previous life, came about because of Yogananda’s frequent visits to Holy Mother at the Udbodhan office where Saradananda lived and served Sri Ma wholeheartedly.

As far as Vivekananda is concerned, Yogananda would certainly have met him, but again those who thought they had a franchise on Ramakrishna and Vivekananda would have objected to his writing about him. Besides Prabhavananda, several monks of the Ramakrishna Mission whom Yoganandaji met here in America and was very cordial to were overtly insulting to him because he was not of the Ramakrishna Order. Some who visited the Encinitas hermitage refused to sign the guest book.

So the “light” I have shed on your question is sadly quite dark. But I see no reason to hide the truth to spare the reputations or feelings of those who deserve no such consideration. And that includes the present-day defamers of the Great Master, Yogananda. Regarding this evil, I recommend you read a new book, The Life of Yogananda: The Story of the Yogi Who Became the First Modern Guru by Philip Goldberg who carefully studied the whole matter and sets the record straight.

May I ask you a question regarding rebirth? Does it always follow the principle of evolution and if so how does that work?

The entire purpose of our entering into relative existence is for us to evolve to
the point where our consciousness is so expanded that we can awaken into divine consciousness as perfect reflections of God and participate in his infinite consciousness. (This is considered at length in my book Robe of Light.)

This does not mean however, that we always progress from life to life. It is possible for us to regress when we have lived a life unwisely or negatively. And with many people the progress is often negligible or not at all. When a person dedicates himself to authentic religion much more progress is made, and when yoga enters the picture and is maintained steadily, then as Yogananda said, it is the beginning of the end.

Will a lifetime of “spiritual” growth be evident in the next rebirth, a sort of mathematically correct cause and effect so that the character and circumstances of the next life will be more favorable?

This is definitely so. “No one who does good goes to misfortune. Attaining the worlds of the meritorious, having dwelt there for countless years, he who has fallen from yoga is reborn in a happy and illustrious family. Or else he may be born into a family of wise yogis. Truly, a birth such as that is more difficult to obtain in this world. There he regains the knowledge he acquired in his former incarnation, and strives from thence once more toward perfection. Truly, without his willing it his previous practice impels him on the yogic path. He who just desires to know about yoga goes beyond the Vedas. By persevering effort and mastery, the totally purified yogi, perfected through many births, reaches the Supreme Goal” (6:40-45).

Or can a rebirth possibly take place after a lifetime of “spiritual” growth to bestow the next lifetime with positive attributes to address a seemingly hard life of clearing negative karma accrued from previous existences?

This, too, is so. We judge a good or bad birth according to our egoic, pain-avoiding mind. We think a pleasant incarnation is good, when it may really be stagnation brought about by negative karma. It is the same with a painful incarnation. We may think it is unfortunate, but it may be, as you say, a clearing of much negative karma.

So that although evolution is continuing, it’s outer expression is complex as it seeks to integrate the whole flow of reincarnation.

Indeed so. In fact, it is so complex that we can only understand a bit of the whole picture. Also, we romanticize karma, making it dramatic and therefore somehow even glamorous. For example, if we get in a serious auto accident we might want to think it is reaction from some intense incident in a previous life, but it may just be because we were too stupid to pay attention to a garageman who told us that our tires were so worn they would give out or skid in wet weather. A lot of karma is just a result of wrong judgment in this life—perhaps only a matter of minutes, days or weeks previously.
The final word on reincarnation is that of Padre Pio: “The only thing that matters is this: Are you seeking God now?”

Would you explain the incarnations of the Hindu faith and how we should reconcile Krishna and Jesus.

There are two views about divine incarnations in India. The most common is the idea that God incarnates and lives in a physical body. Some say, however, that the incarnation is in a chinmaya body, a body formed of consciousness and not vibratory matter, that such an incarnation is just an appearance, and a play of consciousness. But since everything is a play of consciousness, that is not saying much. Whichever is believed, physical (anumaya) or chinmaya, this is the view of the scriptures known as Puranas.

The other view is that of the yogis, those who ascribe to the Yoga Philosophy or Yoga Darshan of Patanjali, which is itself based on the Sankhya Philosophy attributed to the sage Kapila. According to this view, if a person has evolved through all the levels of relative existence and attained the transcendental state of perfect liberation (moksha) and entered into total union with Brahman, the Supreme Consciousness, and elects to return to any of the levels of relative existence, including that of earth, to help others attain liberation, that person, when he incarnates, is an avatar, an incarnation of God.

As it was said of Jesus: “In him dwells all the fullness of the Godhead bodily” (Colossians 2:9). Since the individual spirit (atman) and the Supreme Spirit (Paramatman) are one in the avatar’s bodily vehicle, we can say that God is incarnate in that body. Such a being is truly an intermediary between God and humanity. The body is the vehicle of the liberated being, a siddha, and the siddha is himself the vehicle of God. As the prophet said: “Behold, a virgin shall be with child, and shall bring forth a son, and they shall call his name Emmanuel, which being interpreted [translated] is, God with us” (Matthew 1:23). When we speak with an avatar we are speaking with God who speaks back to us through the avatar—not like through a telephone or a medium, but through the illuminated consciousness of the avatar.

Is a supernatural conception and birth a mark of an avatar? This is a question I have not come across in the Indian scriptures. However: Rama, Buddha, the Virgin Mary, Jesus, Ramakrishna, Sri Sarada Devi and Anandamayi Ma were said to have such conceptions and their mothers to have had absolutely painless births: they just appeared outside the mother’s body rather than being born in the usual manner. Further, they had full consciousness from the very moment of their birth.

Why would a supernatural conception be necessary? Perhaps because the kind of body for a liberated being to incarnate in needs to be of a higher order than that produced by purely human means. When we realize that the body of an infant comes from the body of the mother, but its blood comes exclusively from the father, then the blood of an avatar would actually be a spiritual or divine manifestation. It
might be speculated that this is why God could be called the Father of an avatar.

Naturally, there is no proof of these supernatural conceptions and births because essentially they were spiritual, not physical, events, though testimony exists from witnesses of both the conceptions and births.

There is no need for a reconciliation of the avatar of Krishna and Jesus unless someone believes that Jesus is unique in supernatural conception and birth. But that is not so, just ignorance and bigotry.

But this thing we must come to know: Since we are all one with God, waves on the sea of Divine Being, in a sense we are all incarnations of God in actuality (not just in potential), and we have come into this world to liberate our consciousness into the realization and manifestation of that eternal reality.

As Krishna said to Arjuna: “Therefore be a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46).

Are these statements right: 1) Christianity expresses that God is not within His creation. He is out of His creation (materiality). 2) Contrary to the idea of the Upanishads, in the Western there has been a tendency to assume that the phenomenal or transactional world possesses a reality of its own. The Upanishads say that the world has no absolute reality; the world is relatively real. It is created by Brahman. So, its reality is dependent on Brahman.

These statements are correct in relation to contemporary exoteric dogmatic Christianity which developed after it became a state religion in the fourth century. Before that the followers of Jesus (Ishanath) believed exactly what the Indian rishis knew to be true, for he taught them what he had learned in India.

Even though official, political Christianity held these materialistic views as you describe them, whenever a Christian developed as a mystic, they saw things in the original manner of the Indian sages. For example, in the sixth and eleventh centuries, both Saint Benedict of Nursia and Saint Bernard of Clairvaux described seeing that the entire universe was a single beam of light, and that light was God. The author of Theologica Germanica in the fourteenth century wrote that the world around us is illusion if we believe it as we see it through the senses, but that it is the presence of God, one with God. In the fourth century Saint Athanasius of Alexandria, Egypt, wrote that the world is an illusion, that only God is real. (He also wrote that evil did not exist, but was a twisting, a corruption, of good.) Even until the Middle Ages, the only mystical experience considered legitimate in Europe was threefold: 1) the vision of infinite Light; 2) the knowing that the Light was God; and 3) the experience of being one with that Light-God.

So awakened Christians (who were persecuted and even murdered by Churchianity) saw just what the rishis saw, which is why our website was created: to show that original Christianity was the teachings of Sanatana Dharma which Jesus brought back from India.
Would you address the interaction of karma and bad choices (for example, self-defeating, free will choices in life possibly made from a poor sense of self esteem). If they do affect each other, how does one affect the other?

Simplistic statements are usually not very trustworthy, but I believe we can safely consider that our experiences within relative existence from beginning to end are a single thing: Karma. That is, karma is the seed from which the phenomena of our many lives result. Continued existence in relativity is the fruition of karma. That is why karma has to be ended to transcend relative existence and enter into perfect liberation (purna- or kaivalya- moksha).

Solomon expressed it this way: “That which hath been is now; and that which is to be hath already been” (Ecclesiastes 3:15). What is the present is really an extension of the past, and the future is an extension of the present which then will be the past. It is all one in actuality.

As a consequence, when we make a decision it is made from either a clear or cloudy state of mind and that cloudy or clear condition is a result of past thought and action: Karma. And when that decision is carried out in the form of action that makes new karmic force. And so it goes on perpetually. Karma, too, “reincarnates” as further karma. All of relative existence is completely interrelated because even duality is unity.

Could you explain “the dark night of the soul” to me?

First I should tell you that it is actually a very simple thing. It is a stage in the evolution of a highly evolved human being when he is at a pivotal point in his development. All that has gone before, although it was of value in earlier times, is to be recognized as limited and something to be laid aside in order to enter into a higher period of the aspirant’s life. The character of the state of development he is to enter is vastly different from prior stages and is a tremendous leap forward. This is a very real watershed in his evolution and the rupture between past and present is drastic, even violent, and can be a period of great pain, confusion and even despair.

Because he does not realize the need or the nature of this utter cutting off of the past, which includes things to which he has dedicated himself as most sacred and which he cannot conceive being without, this process is nothing less than traumatic. In fact he feels at least subliminally that he is moving toward death, which it is: a death of all that went before and a birth of things of which he cannot begin to conceive. No one reaches this point except through dedication to things of the spirit, and that means total loyalty to religion(s), to a path he has traversed through many lives, but all of a limitation and defect he does not perceive and which he would regard as blasphemy if someone spoke to him of it.

Therefore everything in his soul and heart goes dark and a state of utter deadness or numbness results which is worse to him than any torture he could conceive. It is as though the world and everything and everyone in it is as dead as
he feels, and therefore he has not a single thing to hold to for assurance, what to say of consolation. He feels nothing but emptiness and hopelessness. Even God becomes nothing but an empty idea. Yet he does not stop believing in God, though he seems to have lost any relationship he thought he had with God. God is there, but does not respond to his reachings out toward him, so to him God is not there. It is as though there is no God, but he knows there is, and that can only mean that God has closed himself from him, turned away and become indifferent to him. Since God is the only thing that is ultimately real, he has entered into a condition beyond death in its terrible void. And there is no change in that condition, just complete stagnation or stasis, abandonment to nothing.

There is only one course open to him: remaining in that state, not seeking to leave it or change it—just being in it. But he never stops yearning for it to end and for some form of divine communication to come. Jesus said: “By your patience possess your soul” (Luke 21:19). He does not know it, but in his holding fast and refusing to let go of his desire for contact with God he is developing mastery of his spirit. His resolve is like that of Isaiah: “I will wait upon the Lord, that hideth his face, and I will look for him” (Isaiah 8:17). So this he does, though without hope. He hopes hopelessly. Yet there is nothing else for him to do, and he considers nothing else.

And then it suddenly ends as an undreamed of dimension of life appears and opens before him and he enters it as in a dream, beginning to awaken into a reality that before he would have thought impossible, even a delusion. He dares to think what before he would never have dared to think. He dares to learn and know what before he would have considered insanity and blasphemy. He becomes what previously he would have prayed to die rather than become. He sees all his previous light to have been darkness and his beliefs about God to have been an indefensible joke. And that is just the beginning of “being transformed from glory to glory by the Spirit of the Lord” (II Corinthians 3:18).

Though they may have minor ups and downs in the beginning, true yogis never go through the dark night because they have already gone through it, often in a previous birth, for yoga is the dawn after the dark night.

You have written that Tantra is dangerous, having seen half a century of people harmed by it. Could you expand on this? Why is it dangerous? Which practices for example are dangerous? How was it harmful in your observation?

The “path of power” is always dangerous, whether yogic or occult/esoteric. Our nature is consciousness, not shakti, and obsession with and cultivation of shakti leads to delusion. But the ego, being energy itself, naturally loves it because it will increase the ego and eclipse the Self whose realization puts an end to our involvement in prakriti and the various worlds formed of it. One former Christian (Franciscan) monk who had become deeply involved in a tantric/occult tradition
told me: “I feel like I am dying inside.” And he was. There was no way to help him because he was deeply enmeshed in his self-disintegration. It pleased his ego.

Tantra is not Yoga, though of course it can seem to be so since they appear to have elements in common. But they are opposites, for Tantra is involved with shakti and Yoga deals with consciousness (chaitanya) alone. For example, they both work with mantra and breath, but the mantras and the breath modes are completely different because they do not at all have the same purpose. They are two different paths and do not lead to the same result.

It is the nature of Tantra to alter the configurations of the subtle bodies and the mind rather than to resolve their awareness into Self-awareness. Oh, of course tantrics talk about realization, but it is only talk. Sincerity on their part in no way protects them from the harm they inflict on themselves ignorantly.

Just as there are drugs that so distort the mind that the addict is not even aware of the distortions until the drug wears off, so tantric practices do the same. I have met people who lived on the edge of mental collapse from the practices given them by a tantric guru. (Suicide is not unknown among such people.) A very good friend of mine in India came to believe that God hated her. I explained that her tantric sadhana was the cause of this aberration and I am glad to say that she had enough mind left to realize I was telling her the truth. So she stopped and returned to the authentic (and unspectacular) sadhana she had known before coming under the influence of the tantric guru. She recovered completely. Other disciples of the same guru refused to even look honestly at their situation and became increasingly mentally disturbed.

A family I knew was overwhelmed with illness, emotional imbalance and material misfortune. The source was a relative who was hiring a Tantric to destroy that family. I explained this to them, even telling them some of the tantric rituals being worked against them. But they were disciples of a guru who was tainted with tantric attitudes and acceptance of “right-hand” practices who told them to ignore me. So they did, and the last I saw of them they were living in constant fear and confusion.

Many people thought that Nehru and his daughter, Indira Gandhi, were in power through the influence of Anandamayi Ma. Ma had given Indira a rudraksha mala, and I was amazed one day to see a political cartoon of Indira where she was leaping from stone to stone in a river while holding on to the rudraksha mala around her neck. The idea of the cartoon was that through Ma’s power residing in the mala she was able to keep avoiding political upset. The result was that many tantrics were engaged to kill Ma. Their rituals went on day and night, as Ma herself revealed. One day while Ma was conversing with Brahmacharini Udas she opened her hand and showed Udasji a deep cut that had just opened on the palm of her hand. She explained that the tantric rituals had produced that. After a bit it was gone.

Now my own observation. One morning in Brindaban I saw a wolfish-looking man with long hair and upper cloth and dhoti, the attire of a very traditional Indian
religious person, come into the satsang where he sat opposite Ma and never took his eyes away from her. That afternoon one of the devotees who usually travelled with Ma came to me in agitation. She told me that when the ashramites left Ma to rest after the noon meal they had forgotten to lock the door. When one of them returned to unlock it and go in to Ma, they found the door open and inside the room the man I had noticed was sitting performing various tantric hand gestures and intoning tantric curse mantras. Ma was sitting there calmly watching all this with great interest, for it was her habit to never reject anyone or anything, even if negative. “We are so worried,” my friend concluded, “because the cursing may work since Ma accepts whatever comes and never defends herself.” Her apprehension was correct. The next day no one could see Ma because she was quite seriously ill. Things got progressively worse and in a few days we learned she was being taken far away to a small ashram in Gujarat. We immediately got reservations on the same train. As we were waiting at the station, some of Ma’s devotees came in carrying Ma on a stretcher which they put down on the platform. Ma appeared completely unconscious, and frankly looked dead. As we stood there, Mr. Wolf himself came brazenly swaggering in and stood there looking at Ma very intently, obviously estimating the effects of his work. When we arrived at the ashram each one who had come there set about doing what we could to offset the evil force, because Ma was evidently not going to. Japa, meditation and worship and singing of God’s Name went on continually, but only individually, not in a group. Fortunately I had brought with me some holy amulets which protect against and repel evil energies and spirits. I gave one to Swami Bhaskarananda and explained its character and use. Swamiji immediately went and got a new sacred thread (yajnopavita) and tied it to the amulet and hung it by Ma’s cot where she was lying. Each day Ma got better, and each day I saw the amulet hanging there near her. Finally Ma was completely recovered. But I did not forget this experience.

Actually my first experience with a tantric took place at an ashram on the bank of the Ganges in the holy city of Hardwar. The ashram consisted of twenty or so kutirs (small cottages), and I was living in one. Every night when the sun went down I would feel an eerie and negative atmosphere pervade the ashram. After a few days an American yogi came to stay in one of the kutirs and we began meditating together daily and occasionally singing kirtan. In a week or so the manager of the ashram who lived in Delhi came to stay for a few days. He came to see me, having made arrangements for me to stay there at Ma Anandamayi’s direction. “There seems to be some disturbance here,” he told me. “The sadhu in the kutir next to you has complained vigorously to me that you and your friend are upsetting his routine. ‘Day and night they are over there shouting and making a racket and I get no peace at all!’ he told me.” This was surprising to me, since of course we certainly were not shouting at any time, and when we were going to do kirtan we would close the windows and doors just so no one would hear us in the other kutirs and be disturbed. When I told him this, he gently laughed and replied: “You see, he is a tantric. He has lived here for some years intent on raising his
kundalini.” Then he told me a bit more about the man and concluded, “Go on doing whatever you are doing. You are not making a disturbance, I know. That is his problem.” During my next trip to India Ma recommended that I stay at that ashram again with some others who had come to India with me. So we did. But again there was a definite negative psychic atmosphere, and when we went down to Delhi for a day or so we visited a saint I had become acquainted with during my first trip to India. I told her about the situation at the ashram and she sat for a while with closed eyes. Then she said: “A tantric is at the heart of this. He is living in the kutir just next to yours and he is upset by all of you doing meditation.” And she told us how to counteract any negativity on his part. When we returned I made inquiry and found it was the same tantric who was there five years previously. We did what the saint told us and everything went smoothly and the negative atmosphere was dispelled. And the tantric remained silent and invisible.

Quite some years later I stayed at an ashram in the plains which was quite pleasant and peaceful during the day, but at night became gloomy and disturbing. Others who stayed there told me of the same experience. They, like myself and my friends, would not go out of their room alone after the sun set, there was such a threatening feeling in the air. The reason was that a tantric was staying in a room right next to us. He was a very mild and, I am sure, sincere man. But daily for hours he was reciting tantric texts and mantras which attracted negative spirits and energies. So evil intent is not necessary for tantric practices to produce negative results.

As you see, my ideas about Tantra are not theoretical. And these are but a few instances I have had myself or heard about.

I know there is what is called Right-hand Tantra and Left-hand Tantra, but the distinction is deceptive. Being obsessed with power and control, eventually both end up in the same swamp. Those who start out on the right hand drift toward the left, not realizing what is happening.

All Tantra is dangerous. My sannyasa guru, a disciple of Paramhansa Yogananda, was a great yogi and possessed all the yoga powers. He would not allow Tantra to be named in his ashram, much less discussed. Bengal is the home of Tantra and no one there is unaware of its character.

I came across a very interesting article concerning astral projection. The author mentioned that there should not be any concerns as to it’s safety. Others say that astral projection makes one vulnerable to dark forces. What is your opinion?

Peggy Dietz, one of Yogananda’s secretaries, told me that she had automatically astral travelled from childhood. But when she went to live at the Mount Washington ashram, Yogananda told her very forcibly that she must not continue it. However, astral travelers often find that after some time they start going out on the astral spontaneously and cannot stop it. This was her condition for a while. She said
that very often she was attacked by evil forces as she was returning to her body and would desperately call on Yogananda who would intervene and help her back into the body. Eventually she no longer astral travelled.

Astral travel actually weakens the astral connections to the body and causes many problems both in health and in loss of psychic control on various levels. This is especially true of people who in a previous life engaged a great deal in astral travel, often forced to do so by others who used them for occult purposes.

I knew a woman who was in this condition. In good faith she became associated with an Indian guru who had lived for many years in America. When she told him about the problem and asked how to stop it, he said, “Go on out, you fool! That is why you meditate.” She realized he was not a true teacher at all and severed all connection with him. Eventually she managed to stop the phenomena.

One of my friends was in this condition from childhood, like Peggy. When she met a teacher visiting from India she immediately asked him: “Can you get me ‘off the astral’?” And he did so by teaching her meditation.

Unfortunately even in India there are false teachers that advocate astral travel and claim it is yoga.

Mediumship, hypnosis and astral travel weaken the body-mind (astral) interconnections. But they are detrimental on all levels of the person. They are not evil or “of the devil,” but unwise and dangerous.

To someone who felt confused about meditating on either a divine form or formlessness.

Meditation should not be on anything external but on the sole Reality: our Self (Atma) and the Self of the Self, the Purushottama or Brahman. (See Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self.) Visualization and conceptualization have nothing whatsoever to do with meditation. A practice that involves those is a false, delusive practice. It may produce “results,” but they will be themselves false and delusive, or at best negligible.

With regard to the particular means of thinking of God: are you advocating the traditional forms of cultivating our relationship with God through perceiving the Divine as Mother, Father, Child, Lover or even a Transpersonal Tao?

No. Such an approach is totally egocentric: “I want a Mother, Father, etc.” This is most crassly expressed by the oft-cited statement: I don’t want to be sugar, I want to eat it.” God is not an object of our gratification or delectation. It is not a matter of what we merely want or like, but what we need. And that is a single thing: knowledge of our Self (jivatman) and the Supreme Self (Paramatman). God is the Self of our Self and can only be approached in that way. These other ways of viewing God do not even begin to do the needful. I am very aware of this since I wasted time
with them before I gained real knowledge of Sanatana Dharma and Yoga. Nevertheless they have their place for those who do not have clear understanding. A person has to start somewhere. That is why Krishna said: “At the end of many births the wise man takes refuge in me. He knows: All is Vasudeva. How very rare is that great soul. Those whose knowledge has been stolen away by various desires resort to other gods, following various religious practices, impelled thus by their own natures. Whoever wishes to worship whatever form with faith, on him I bestow immovable faith. He who, endowed with this faith, desires to propitiate that form, receives from it his desires because their fulfillment has been decreed by me. But temporary is the fruit for those of small understanding. To the gods go the worshippers of the gods. Those who worship me come unto me” (7:19-23).

Can this be expanded upon by what comes most naturally or arises most organically within each individual given our psychological disposition to find the essence of our lives?

No. That, too, is purely of the ego.

Should we commit to one form or can this evolve as our perception transforms?

Certainly it will change as the seeker changes. But will he attain enlightenment thereby? Playing with dolls does not really prepare a little girl to be a mother. Nor does playing with concepts of God that are imaginary and ultimately unreal prepare us for Atmajnana and Brahmajnana. I am not advocating the ego-based outlook of either “bhakti” or “jnana,” but rather Krishna’s exhortation: Be A Yogi. “By persevering effort and mastery, the totally purified yogi, perfected through many births, reaches the Supreme Goal. The yogi is superior to ascetics, and considered superior to jnanis and superior to those engaged in Vedic rituals. Therefore be a yogi. Of all the yogis, he who has merged his inner Self in me and honors me, full of faith, I consider him the most devoted to me” (6:45-47).

Adi Shankara wrote that true bhakti is dedication and perseverance in the search for liberation, and that true jnana is the attainment of liberation. Yoga brings both of those about. Sadhana alone reveals the Sat, the Real.

As Sri Ma Sarada Devi said: “After attaining wisdom one sees that gods and deities are all maya” (Precepts For Perfection 672).

Sri Ramana Maharshi said: “Since the Self is the reality of all the gods, the meditation on the Self which is oneself is the greatest of all meditations. All other meditations are included in this. It is for gaining this that the other meditations are prescribed. So, if this is gained, the others are not necessary. Knowing one’s Self is knowing God. Without knowing one’s Self that meditates, imagining that there is a deity which is different and meditating on it, is compared by the great ones to the act of measuring with one’s foot one’s own shadow, and to the search for a trivial conch [shell] after throwing away a priceless gem that is already in one’s possession” (Collected Works, section 28).
May I ask for your explanation of the true sense of yajna [sacrifice] and Adiyajna [Primal Sacrifice] as used by Lord Krishna, and is it found in the sacred teachings of The Christ?

Although we naturally equate yajna with the fire sacrifice, the agnihotra, yajna basically means any kind of offering. Those who publish and distribute books of spiritual and scriptural knowledge are said to be engaging in Jnana Yajna. It is very common to call akhanda kirtan (especially of the Mahamantra) Nama Yajna.

As in virtually all spiritual matters, the supreme authority is the Bhagavad Gita. There we find the subject explained thoroughly and perfectly. No commentary is needed because Vyasa has expressed everything so clearly.

1) "The world is bound by the actions not done for sake of sacrifice. Hence for sacrifice you should act without attachment. In the beginning along with mankind Prajapati created sacrifice and said: ‘By this shall you increase: this shall be the granter of desires. May you foster the gods by this, and may the gods then foster you. Then, each the others fostering, you shall attain the highest welfare. The gods, fostered by sacrifice, will give you desired enjoyments. But he who enjoys the gods’ gifts without offering to them is a thief.’ The good who eat the sacrificial remains are freed from all evils. The wicked eat their own evil who cook food only for themselves. From food all beings are produced, and from rain all food is produced. From sacrifice there comes down rain. From action is born sacrifice. Understand that action arises from Brahma, Brahma arises from the Imperishable. Hence the all-pervading Brahma is eternally established in sacrifice” (3:9-15).

2) "The karma of one who is free from attachment, whose thought is established in knowledge, undertaking action for sacrifice, is wholly dissolved. Brahman is the offering, Brahman is the oblation poured out by Brahman into the fire of Brahman. Brahman is to be attained by him who always sees Brahman in action. Some yogis offer sacrifice to the gods alone, while others offer the Self as sacrifice unto the Self into the fire that is Brahman. Others offer senses such as hearing into the fires of restraint; others, sound; and others objects of the senses into the fire of the senses. Some offer all the actions of the senses and the functions of the life force (prana) into the fire of the yoga of self-restraint, which is enkindled by knowledge. Those whose sacrifices take the form of yoga offer material possessions and tapasya as sacrifices; while ascetics with stringent vows offer self-analysis and knowledge as sacrifice. Some offer inhalation into exhalation, and exhalation into inhalation, restraining the paths of inhalation and exhalation, intent upon control of the breath (pranayama). Others who have restricted their food offer the pranas into the pranas. All these are knowers of sacrifice whose wrongdoings have been annihilated through sacrifice. Eating the amrita of the sacrificial remains, they go to the Eternal Brahman. Even this world is not for the non-sacrificing—how then the other worlds? Sacrifices of many kinds are spread out before the face of Brahman. Know them all to be born from action. Knowing thus, you shall be liberated. Better than the
sacrifice of material things is knowledge-sacrifice. All action without exception is fully contained in knowledge” (4:23-33).

3) “And others, sacrificing by the sacrifice of knowledge, worship me as One and Manifold, variously manifested, omniscient” (9:15). “He who will study this dharmic dialogue of ours, by him will I have been worshipped through the sacrifice of knowledge; such is my conviction” (18:70).

4) Sri Krishna also speaks of “the tapasyas offered as sacrifice” (5:29).

5) “Whatever you do, whatever you eat, whatever you offer in sacrifice, whatever you give, whatever tapasya you practice, do that as an offering to me. Thus shall you be freed from the bonds of actions producing both good and evil fruits. Steadfast in the yoga of renunciation and totally liberated, you shall come to me” (9:27-28).

6) Sri Krishna then discusses sacrifice as characterized by the three gunas: “Sacrifice which is offered, observing the scriptures, by those who do not desire the fruits, concentrating the mind only on the thought: ‘This is to be offered;’ that is sattwic. But sacrifice which is offered with a view for the fruit and for the purpose of ostentation, know that to be rajasic. Sacrifice devoid of faith, disregarding the scriptures, with no food offered, without mantras, without gift or fee is declared to be tamasic” (17:11-13).

Regarding the Adiyajna, Sri Krishna, speaking as Ishwara, says: “I myself am the Primal Sacrifice” (8:4). At the beginning of each creation cycle (kalpa) the unchangeable and unchanging Brahman yet expands or projects Itself as both the material creation, Prakriti, and Ishwara, the Divine Guiding Consciousness within creation. Thus Brahman “sacrifices” Itself by embodying Itself within material existence and guiding that material existence until the time of its dissolution when all resolves back into the original state of Parabrahman. God (Ishwara, Bhagavan) is the Adiyajna.

Since Jesus the Christ spent most of his life in India before returning to Israel to teach Sanatana Dharma, he would have taught what he would have learned from the Gita. The so-called Gospels were edited and filtered through the minds of the editors and were widely distributed long after Jesus was on the earth. Except for fragments, the earliest Gospel texts are from about three hundred years after his lifetime. Therefore they are not reliable and certainly contain many things that he did not teach.

That is why anyone who wants to know the teaching of Jesus must study the scriptures of India, especially the Gita and Upanishads. Just as Jesus sought and adopted the wisdom of the Indian rishis, so should they, for he was a Sanatana Dharmi.

**What is your opinion of those who preach the gospel of eclecticism?**

There are those who because of their past life involvement with various religions need to pick up the threads of those religions and follow them to some extent. One of my teachers at California State University, Los Angeles, had grown up in Hawaii
attending a church that honored four major religions: Hinduism, Buddhism, Christianity and Zoroastrianism. The pulpit in the church had four panels depicting the founders/symbols of those religions, and the Sunday sermons were based on each of these religions in turn: one per Sunday. She knew a great deal about those religions and considered herself an adherent of them all. Certainly there are those that just make a kind of mishmash of bits and pieces from religions, but that, too, is their prerogative.

One of the things I admired most about many swamis I met in India was their very real knowledge of all the major world religions and their genuine respect for all.

Of course that points out that fact that Sanatana Dharma is the only religion in the world that enables its adherents to comprehend all other religions.

To someone who asked about experiencing blankness and spontaneous bodily movements in meditation.

It does happen sometimes that the meditator gets into a kind of blank or tamasic state in meditation, and may even pass into dreamless sleep without realizing it. This is because the mind is used to going into the sleep state when the mind becomes still and relaxed. In time this problem goes away. But be sure you are getting enough sleep at night.

Bodily movements and tremors do occur to some yogis in the beginning. When it happens, stand up for a few moments and then sit back down. Sometimes these things occur because the meditator has habitually been living with some muscles tensed, and now they are beginning to relax and cause such movements. It is good to take a deep breath and let out all tension occasionally. It is important to not let such movements take over, but stop them immediately. There are so many sources for these problems that it is impossible to determine them.

There are many people who sway back and forth or side to side and claim subtle pranic forces are causing this. Really it is their restless minds seeking some distraction and activity. (I am not saying this is your situation, but it is good to know, especially if in the future you meet such people.)

The yogi must always be in charge of body and mind. That is easier said than done, but can be done.

To someone who asked about meditating at the “third eye.”

There is no need to concentrate between the eyebrows. During meditation awareness of different areas of the body and head can arise, but they should not catch your attention. Just keep on with breath-and-sound awareness. Distractions that are ignored will eventually go away.
To someone who asked about the nature of samadhi.
There is a lot of mythology about samadhi. Samadhi is when the awareness is totally absorbed in the Self. Physical manifestations are not essential. Some people think the breath and heartbeat should stop, but this is foolish. Every time we die the breath and heartbeat stop, and we do not pass into spiritual consciousness. Sometimes people who have intense trauma undergo breathlessness and suspension of the heart, but do not gain in spiritual consciousness. Nevertheless this does occur to some yogis. My sannyasa guru, Swami Vidyananda Giri, daily went into the breathless state during meditation. Whether his heart stopped I do not know. Certainly it happens to some. A friend of mine witnessed Paramhansa Yogananda enter a state of complete suspension of breath and heartbeat. When it is spontaneous and not just yogic control, it is a true spiritual state.

Just fix your mind on God through the japa and meditation of Soham. As Patanjali says in Yoga Sutra 1:27, that is the way.

What are friends? Is it good to have friends?
Books can be written on the subject of friends and friendship, so I will confine myself to the perspective of a sadhaka, a seeker for higher consciousness—not a religious or philosophical dilettante, but a committed yogi.

A true and worthwhile friend is one whose company is both elevating and strengthening, who also like the sadhaka looks toward eternal matters and values. These we should associate with and value.

There are very good and warm-hearted people whose friendship is most pleasant and enjoyable, even though their minds never turn toward higher things. To be with such people a positive thing.

But if friends are of a character contrary to dharmic mentality and behavior and pursue that which is contrary to right thought and action and cultivate negativity in any area of their life, the yogi should not cultivate that association. Writing this I am reminded of a young man who became a yogi but had many friends that lived in a self-destructive manner, including drug addiction. Considering what breaking with them would entail, he asked me: “Should you ever hurt anyone’s feelings?” “Just change your own vibration,” I assured him, “and you will pass out of their ‘world’ and they will never give you a thought.” So he worked at becoming established in yoga sadhana and never heard from any them again.

On the other hand, some negative people intuit the change in the sadhaka’s vibration and become very hostile and themselves break off the association as though they have been wronged. And others of even greater negativity do everything they can to stop the sadhaka’s spiritual practice, even becoming violent and coercive.

Obviously there are “friends” that prove to not be friends.

Sri Ramana Maharshi told those who sought his advice that satsang, the company of spiritually-minded people, was essential for the sadhaka. But if there
are no such people around us, then we must go on alone.

“The recollected mind is awake in the knowledge of the Atman [Self] which is dark night to the ignorant: the ignorant are awake in their sense-life which they think is daylight: to the seer it is darkness” (Bhagavad Gita 2:69).

Sri Ramakrishna said: “God dwells in all beings. But you may be intimate only with good people; you must keep away from the evil-minded. God is even in the tiger; but you cannot embrace the tiger on that account. You may say, ‘Why run away from a tiger, which is also a manifestation of God?’ The answer to that is: Those who tell you to run away are also manifestations of God–and why shouldn’t you listen to them?”

Is Krishna the Supreme Personality of Godhead or just a teacher like Jesus?

Krishna is not Parabrahman, the Absolute Being, and Jesus is not the Godhead, either. Nor are they “just teachers,” either.

Both Krishna and Jesus were perfect siddhas, thoroughly deified persons, truly gods, having evolved through all the worlds of relative existence and transcended them in total union with the Absolute. Though finite, their consciousness and power is infinite. And this is true of all incarnations of God (avatars) such as Rama and Buddha. (See the book Robe of Light.)

A totally liberated being, a siddha, is far beyond the concept of God held by human beings, for finite humans cannot at all even begin to completely conceive of God. This is why the truly God-realized never attempt to describe or explain the nature of God.

As Sri Ramakrishna said, “What Brahman is cannot be described. All things in the world—the Vedas, the Puranas, the Tantras, the six systems of philosophy—have been defiled, like food that has been touched by the tongue, for they have been read or uttered by the tongue. Only one thing has not been defiled in this way, and that is Brahman. No one has ever been able to say what Brahman is.

“A man had two sons. The father sent them to a preceptor to learn the Knowledge of Brahman. After a few years they returned from their preceptor’s house and bowed low before their father. Wanting to measure the depth of their knowledge of Brahman, he first questioned the older of the two boys. ‘My child,’ he said, ‘You have studied all the scriptures. Now tell me, what is the nature of Brahman?’ The boy began to explain Brahman by reciting various texts from the Vedas. The father did not say anything. Then he asked the younger son the same question. But the boy remained silent and stood with eyes cast down. No word escaped his lips. The father was pleased and said to him: ‘My child, you have understood a little of Brahman. What It is cannot be expressed in words.’

“Men often think they have understood Brahman fully. Once an ant went to a hill of sugar. One grain filled its stomach. Taking another grain in its mouth it started homeward. On its way it thought, ‘Next time I shall carry home the whole
hill.’ That is the way shallow minds think. They don’t know that Brahman is beyond one’s words and thought. However great a man may be, how much can he know of Brahman? Sukadeva and sages like him may have been big ants; but even they could carry at the utmost eight or ten grains of sugar!

“As for what has been said in the Vedas and the Puranas, do you know what it is like? Suppose a man has seen the ocean, and somebody asks him, ‘Well, what is the ocean like?’ The first man opens his mouth as wide as he can and says: ‘What a sight! What tremendous waves and sounds!’ The description of Brahman in the sacred books is like that. It is said in the Vedas that Brahman is of the nature of Bliss–It is Satchidananda.

“Suka and other sages stood on the shore of this Ocean of Brahman and saw and touched the water. According to one school of thought they never plunged into it. Those who do, cannot come back to the world again.

“In samadhi one attains the Knowledge of Brahman–one realizes Brahman. In that state reasoning stops altogether, and man becomes mute. He has no power to describe the nature of Brahman.

“Once a salt doll went to measure the depth of the ocean. It wanted to tell others how deep the water was. But this it could never do, for no sooner did it get into the water than it melted. Now who was there to report the ocean’s depth?”

“Shankaracharya retained the ‘ego of Knowledge’ in order to teach others. After the vision of Brahman a man becomes silent. He reasons about It as long as he has not realized It. If you heat butter in a pan on the stove, it makes a sizzling sound as long as the water it contains has not dried up. But when no trace of water is left the clarified butter makes no sound. If you put an uncooked cake of flour in that butter it sizzles again. But after the cake is cooked all sound stops. Just so, a man established in samadhi comes down to the relative plane of consciousness in order to teach others, and then he talks about God.

“The bee buzzes as long as it is not sitting on a flower. It becomes silent when it begins to sip the honey. But sometimes, intoxicated with the honey, it buzzes again.

“An empty pitcher makes a gurgling sound when it is dipped in water. When it fills up it becomes silent.”

How glorious is the scope of Sanatana Dharma! How vast and deep are its concepts! Those with small minds will prefer small ideas, but we can revel in the vision of the rishis of India.

What is “possession” by spirits? It is the same as “obsession”?

This is a most important subject. Possession by negative entities is definitely possible, although obsession is much more common. Let us look at both phenomena.

Possession occurs when an entity actually enters into the body of a physically living being (it need not be a human being–evil magicians often use animals as “mediums” for the vile spirits they traffic with) and dwells within it as the
controller of its life. That is, the entity is virtually incarnated in that body. What happens to the rightful owner of that body? Usually he is expelled from the physical body (that is, his astral and causal bodies are pushed out of integration with the physical body) but remains within its aura. On occasion, though, the invading entity snaps the connection the person has with his body, and he “dies.” This can be detected by physical means: such a possessed body will have no pulse, but rather a kind of heavy vibration or current that moves the blood through the body since the heart has become deactivated. (A living possessed person can also be diagnosed through the pulse. The heart will be found to beat for a few strokes and then be suspended for several strokes, then beat a few times more, then be suspended again. This pattern will continue until the possession is ended.) If the rightful owner is astrally powerful, he may be able to push the invading entity out, at least for some time. Thus in some cases the possession seems to come and go. This is often mistaken for multiple personality, just as multiple personality is often mistaken for possession. The purpose of possession is to live in a physical body, usually for sensual experience of some kind, though some very evil entities take over bodies to work for evil and the corruption of the world.

For true possession to take place, an entity’s astral and causal bodies have to be very like the body of the victim. Since this is rare, the phenomenon of obsession is more common.

Obsession occurs when an entity attaches itself intimately to the aura or body of an individual, sometimes even invading the body and lodging within it—usually within some organ. From its hold it attempts to control the behavior, and often the physical condition, of its host. Its desire is complete possession, but it takes what it can get. Often it works to weaken the physical health of its victim so it may become possible to accomplish a possession. Obsessing entities are often human beings that were addicted to sex, violence (including murder), drugs, nicotine or alcohol. Rather than going on and getting another body in a legitimate manner by being born again, they are earthbound because of their cravings. They incite those they obsess to engage in sex, violence, drugs, nicotine or alcohol so they can get an indirect experience of those things. Sometimes they are able to momentarily possess the victim at the height of those activities. For example, if the host gets so drunk he passes out, they momentarily possess him until he begins to sober up and come to. They may possess a host when he is at the peak of a drug “high,” or other intense moment. The kind of entities that are addicted to sexual energies usually incite their victims to commit acts of violence as well as (usually perverted) sexuality so they can get a “high” from those distorted energies as well. This is also why many (truly) very gentle people become violent when they are drunk.

Those who ingest meat, alcohol, drugs or nicotine are extremely susceptible to obsession. The sad truth is that nearly every person in the modern world is obsessed to some degree.

How can we guard against obsession? By abstaining absolutely from those elements whose low, deranged, or toxic vibrations make us susceptible (and this
includes meat, fish eggs, alcohol, drugs, or nicotine); by eating food that is both pure and blessed; by wearing objects of sacred power; by keeping objects of sacred power in our environment. And most of all by regularly meditating.

One of the major purposes of spiritual discipline is to regain control of our physical and mental bodies and powers.

What is mental illness? My friend’s son has bipolar disorder with schizoid tendencies. How can I help?

Everything in our life, inner and outer—except for the witnessing consciousness which our true Self—is a product of karma. Just as physical ills come from negative karma, so do mental ills. Also, those ills are symptoms, not the real cause: negative thoughts, words and deeds from the past.

There is only one “cure” for karma: purification. The entire life, inner and outer, must be purified. Obviously this can only be done by the individual. If for some reason they cannot or will not purify themselves then no one can do anything for them.

What we can and should do is sincerely and overtly love and care for them and express kindness at all times for them and their family. Certainly we should pray for them—especially that they will awaken to their condition and its causes. But no one can do it for them. Speaking of the “prison” of karma Jesus said: “Verily I say unto thee, Thou shalt by no means come out thence, till thou hast paid the uttermost farthing” (Matthew 5:26). The purification I have mentioned is the necessary payment. It is not a sentence of condemnation, but the process of evolution necessary to all sentient beings as they journey toward perfection.

When Paul writes (Ephesians 5:14): “Thus God speaks through the scriptures: ‘Awake, you who sleep, arise from the dead, and Christ will give you light,’” which scriptures is he referring to?

The King James Version simply has: “Wherefore he saith, Awake thou that sleepest, and arise from the dead, and Christ shall give thee light.” And so does the Greek text. Most “modern language” translations are interpretive to the point of dishonesty.

Saint Paul quotes words of Jesus not found in the Gospels and Saint Jude cites a prophecy of Enoch. So we can be sure that the Christians in the early days had access to writings now lost through persecutions, wars and the deliberate destruction by those who thought their own version of Christianity was the only right one. All the Christian world read the more than three hundred books of Origen until a Church council declared them heretical and they were destroyed. Today only a few of them still exist.

When he writes: “All scripture is inspired by God and is useful for teaching
the faith and correcting error, for re-setting the direction of a man’s life and training him in good living. The scriptures are the comprehensive equipment of the man of God and fit him fully for all branches of his work,” is he referring to ALL scriptures or just what mainstream Christianity would consider the “Old Testament”?

First of all, the real text says: “All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness: that the man of God may be perfect, throughly furnished unto all good works” (II Timothy 3:16-17). See from this how much that you quoted is just somebody’s ideas masquerading as scripture. I urge you to get a trustworthy translation of the Bible: both the King James Version and the New King James Version are good.

Since there was no such thing as the Bible until the fourth century, what Saint Paul meant by “scripture” is anyone’s guess. We do know that the Books of Hermas and the Epistles of Saint Ignatius of Antioch as well as many Gospels other than the four were freely read in the churches and at home by Christians. There is a very interesting book called The Lost Books of the Bible and the Forgotten Books of Eden. A very scholarly and honest collection is The Apocryphal New Testament by M. R. James. You might find both interesting. Then there is my book, The Unknown Lives of Jesus and Mary, that contains a great deal of material that was originally accepted by Christians as authentic.

This all underlines the fact that you cannot get your religion from books alone. But the best certainly is the Bhagavad Gita which says: “Therefore be a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46).

To someone who asked if Soham should always be intoned in time with the breath.

To really get the intended benefit from Soham, it must be mentally intoned in time with the breath: So while inhaling and Ham (“Hum”) when exhaling, letting the breath be natural and spontaneous, not deliberate or controlled. Although we are not conscious of it, this process is always going on deep within—in our spirit, actually. So if we do on the conscious level what has always (eternally) been going on in the deepest level, we will immediately begin linking our consciousness with that atmic process and thus with our Atma, our true Self.

As a result of countless incarnations in relative existence, and the negative and destructive karmas incurred in those births, all the levels of our being (koshas or bodies, material, astral and causal) have become out of phase with one another, their polarities have become disrupted, distorted and confused and they no longer work in harmony, but are either in conflict with one another or completely out of touch with one another. The way to correct this condition is simple: the intonation of Soham in time with the breath as the original yogis taught, and which is outlined in Soham Yoga. This practice reintegrates and repolarizes the bodies and in time restores us to the state Jesus called in his prayer toward the end of his life: “the
I assure you that prolonged practice in the traditional way I have described will itself reveal to you the truth of what I have written.

May I ask, when it comes to suffering, particularly of the chronic physical type, is this all a result of inflicting such pain on others in a past life? Karma works very exactly. Karma is extremely complex because exactly what we did must come back to us. It does not get bundled up with various types of causes and result in an unspecific form. For example, if you inflict pain on someone, then someone will inflict pain on you. Physical illness or suffering can be caused by having neglected or even done deliberate harm to our body in anger or some other negative emotion, including self-loathing. Unsuccessful attempts at suicide can be a cause of present pain.

Or is it a combination of that and obstacles set in place (by a higher self) to help us grow and develop?

No. Neither our higher self or God ever decide that we need “a good kicking around” for our own “good.” We do not get karmically spanked for “being bad.” The reaping of negative karma is not retribution. It comes for our learning. So suffering can be a help to our inner growth, but it all happens under the aegis of our own Self. Again, karma is the exact reaction produced by an identical action on our part.

I’m asking because I have had a series of chronic physical problems from a young age, that just seem to be multiplying as I get older. I’m wondering how do I get through it in a way that atones for the past life transgressions or brings me closer to God, when I don’t understand the reason for it? I try very hard to follow God and love others, but it is hard not to get caught up in the physicality of the problems.

There are two things, one physical and one metaphysical, which are major aids in dealing with karma: a vegetarian diet and meditation. Much illness can come from simply ignoring good health practices, and diet is a prime factor in health. Meditation not only helps us to rise above physical suffering, it neutralizes karma through inner awakening so that reaping karma will no longer be necessary. Karma that is not completely eliminated by meditation sometimes is greatly mitigated. For example, instead of breaking a leg we may only sprain an ankle. Or an illness that would usually have lasted months or years may only last days or weeks. Plus meditation is the cure for the disease of rebirth in this material, confused and painful world.

I think I remember reading in one of Abbot George’s writings that “fasting is not the way.” Should an occasional fast, or time-restricted eating be
considered healthy or unhealthy?

Body-identified people who aspire to be yogis think that the body is what is wrong with their minds, when it is the mind itself that is the problem. And the mind is a field of energy formed of the food we eat. This is the teaching of the Chandogya Upanishad: “Mind consists of food. That which is the subtle part of milk moves upward when the milk is churned and becomes butter. In the same manner, the subtle part of the food that is eaten moves upward and becomes mind. Thus, mind consists of food” (Chandogya Upanishad 6.5.4; 6.6.1-2,5). Therefore diet—both what we do and do not eat—is a key element in attaining success in yoga. However, what we think is also a key element in the condition of our mind. And that is where correct sadhana comes in. But that is another matter altogether, and I will stay with your question.

The body-identified for some reason are obsessed with fasting. They think that if they purify their body by fasting they will purify their mind, but they are wrong. I have observed that a lot of “yogis” are intuitively very intent on things that will leave their mind alone while they entertain themselves with disciplines such as fasting which will leave the delusions of the mind safely and surely intact. Such persons are the kind that love to let everybody know they are having “a day of silence” or are “on a fast.”

There is no doubt that people who have harmed their body and mind by eating destructive things or good things in a destructive, mistaken manner, can be benefitted by a very mild form of abstinence from food such as a day on water or juice alone.

Since we are on the subject of eating in a kind of backhand way, I want to include here what I have written in Bhagavad Gita For Awakening about some of the foolish things regarding diet put forth by contemporary Indian yogis who should know better, especially in the climatic conditions of India:

Prohibition of salt. Salt is essential to correct brain function. Lack of salt produces mental and physical debility, which is why sensible employers supply salt tablets to workers who perspire a great deal while at their job. One hellish summer I was in Benares and feeling terrible, hardly able to think. I had gone to visit the publishers of the Chowkhamba Sanskrit Series, whose main office was in a sweltering attic. As I sat there feeling like I could die and afraid I was going to pass out, I came to the conclusion that I should leave my fellow travelers in India and return to America and check into a hospital for tests. I really felt that bad. Mercifully there popped into my mind the matter of salt tablets used by workers during extremely hot weather or working conditions. Upon leaving there, I went directly to a drugstore and bought some. That night before sleeping I took several, and awoke in the morning feeling completely well and mentally alert. So from then on I kept salt tablets with me when traveling in India.

Nothing can justify the prohibition of salt except in special medical cases such as high blood pressure, and then it should be made by a qualified medical practitioner. Yet this moronic dictum is to be found in many books on yoga.
Prohibition of chillies. Anywhere in the world, but particularly in a tropical climate like that of India, intestinal parasites are an inevitable problem. And few things are more helpful in eliminating them than chili peppers, especially fresh green ones. Chillies contain a natural form of quinine that is very cleansing for the digestive tract and helps in warding off malaria, another tropical danger. To tell yogis to never eat chillies in any form is irresponsible as well as ignorant.

Prohibition of garlic. Equally nonsensical and irresponsible is the prohibition of garlic. Garlic is the best antibiotic nature has to offer. It cures many ills and destroys intestinal parasites and cleanses the intestines. Large amounts of garlic can be of supreme help at the onset of colds, flu, and other troubles. It is also very beneficial in high blood pressure and insomnia.

Prohibition of onions. Onions purify the blood and tone up the digestive tract. They also enable a person to endure hot weather (raw onions are especially good for this). They are beneficial in every climate, but in India they are especially so. Not only did Sri Ramana Maharshi consider prohibition of onions and garlic silly, he actually wrote a satirical song about his mother’s exaggerated aversion to even touching them. Since one of his disciples, Annamalai Swami, was in charge of building in the blistering hot weather, he had him eat so much onions that he reeked of onion and consequently became known in the ashram as “Onion Swami.”

Prohibition of all spices or flavorings. This is utterly silly. The spices and other flavorings used in traditional Indian cooking have genuine health benefits. For example, Sri Anandamayi Ma formulated a recipe her devotees called “Anandamayi Kitchuri.” For flavoring it contained turmeric, ginger, anise seed, fenugreek seed, cumin seed, plenty of chilis and salt. This was eaten by those who practiced intense sadhana under her direction. Each of those ingredients has a medicinal value, and Ma said that if this was eaten daily as the major item in the diet, they would not become ill. I have eaten some of the food that Ma herself ate daily, and it was hot! Those who had eaten Ma’s cooking told me the same. Sri Ramakrishna used to tell those who were cooking for him: “Put in enough spices to make a pig squeal!”

There are those in India that call their food prohibitions “eating sattwic,” but Krishna will say in just a few verses that tamasic people like and recommend tasteless food. The idea that the yogi’s life is to be bland and boring does not come from real yogis. And that applies to food, as well. Krishna will also point out that sattwic people like flavorful food. As one famous yogi told me: “Boring people like boring food and interesting people like interesting food.”

I have been doing Soham Yoga as mentioned in your book regularly. However there is still upheaval of negative emotions, fears and insecurity.

I feel for your unhappy situation. Please continue with Soham sadhana, but be sure that you are conforming your life to the principles of yama and niyama. Just as prayer can do nothing if we are not also doing practical things to “put legs on our prayers,” little is accomplished. The information given in the chapter “Foundations
of Yoga” is essential.

Be assured that through perseverance all can be resolved and cleared up. Certainly in the meantime it may be uncomfortable or even miserable, but we have to wait out the storm to get the sunshine. That sounds like a platitude, but I am speaking from experience, not just theory. Yogis are not exempted from these periods of upheaval and trouble. That is the usual nature of life in this world.

Consider the lives of Sri Rama, Sri Krishna, Sri Ramakrishna and all great saints and sages of history. They, too, went through great trials and difficulties, but they were unshakably established in the Self. That is the secret of peace in this world.

To someone who objected to what I wrote in the section “What are ‘demons’?” in the first edition of Satsang With the Abbot.

“Those who are devoted to the gods (devas) go to the gods. Those who are devoted to the ancestors (pitris) go to the ancestors. Those who are devoted to the spirits (bhutas) go to the spirits. Those who are devoted to me surely come to me” (Bhagavad Gita 9:25).

Those who worship or link up psychically with the bhutas go to them after death. The more those spirits gave things to them or did things for them in this world, the more karmic debt is created, and the longer they are in bondage to them. They worship them because they have an affinity for them—they are like them. So negativity attracts and compounds negativity. This happens in various degrees according to the intensity of the karmic connections. Furthermore, those spirits do not willingly let go of their slaves, and follow them into subsequent incarnations and endeavor to entrap them again and again.

Just because this is an ugly situation to contemplate does not mean it is not real. There are many people who deny the Nazi Holocaust because they just cannot believe that human beings could be so evil and perpetrate such cruelty on other human beings. But they did and do. And it is the same in the astral worlds.

It is the use of their free will that gets the prisoners in the condition I have described. Of course people have free will over their destiny, and it is the corruption and misuse of their free will that puts them people into these horrible situations. When I was living in Los Angeles some people attacked a policeman and cut off some of his fingers. Newsmen were there almost immediately, and on local television the viewers saw the attackers being forced into police cars. One of the perpetrators, a woman, looked into the camera and said: “I’m sorry for what I did!” That changed nothing in court. And it is change that is needed.

Certainly those who engage in sadhana can expunge all negative karmas by purifying themselves. But how many people even know of sadhana? How many serial killers, rapists alcoholics, drug addicts, black magicians and (consciously) demon worshippers have become interested in sadhana?

It is all a matter of consciousness and will. No one is a victim. All are willfully positive or negative. “Be not deceived; God is not mocked: for whatsoever a man
soweth, that shall he also reap” (Galatians 6:7). This is cosmic law, actually cosmic order (ritam). “Ordinary” people cannot escape this law—they do not even know it. Yogis are not ordinary. They can transmute and dissolve their karma. But who listens and becomes a yogi and perseveres? Certainly not people who have willingly and knowingly defiled and degraded their consciousness for lifetimes. That is the result of free will. Only after tremendous sowing and reaping is there a chance. Not because they are “bad” and are being “punished.” The universe is simply reacting to them, actually teaching them ultimately. Even hell is mercy, and so is enslavement to evil spirits and evil human beings. Eventually everyone learns, but often only after millions of years and many creation cycles. “That which hath been is now; and that which is to be hath already been” (Ecclesiastes 3:15). The present action is a carrying on of past action, and future action will be a carrying on of present action. There is no getting around it. What we sow we reap. And all to our good.

Nevertheless: “Truly this maya of mine made of the gunas is difficult to go beyond. Verily only those who attain me shall pass beyond this maya. Evil-doers, the lowest of men, bereft of knowledge by maya, do not seek me, being attached to (existing within) a demonic mode of existence” (7:14-15). There it is. Some people truly do say either in deed and word: “Evil, be thou my good.” So it happens. As C. S. Lewis wrote: Some people say to God, “Thy will be done,” and to some people God says: “Thy will be done.” Only people who intentionally align their will with negative people or spirits can come under the power of such. And just changing their mind when it proves unpleasant is meaningless. It is like signing a legal document. Even if there are hidden implications, it is binding. It is not pleasing, but that is of no consequence. When Yogananda was once asked if he believed in hell, he smiled and replied: “Where do you think you are?” True, heaven and hell are in the mind. But that is the problem, not an easy out.

To someone who asked if Soham means “I am he” or “I am that.”

“So” is not a personal pronoun. It only means the impersonal “That.” It is a simple matter of linguistics. “I am He” is an absurd mistranslation because neither Brahman nor the Atman can ever be “He,” only “That”—Tat, as in Tat Twam Asi: You are That.

According to the Isha (verse sixteen) and Brihadaranyaka (1:4:1; 5.15.2) Upanishads, both Brahman and the Atman “say” Soham Asmi—I am Soham. Of course they mean the Soham Bhava which is the essential consciousness of Brahman, and therefore of the Atman.

You find Soham Yoga effective because Soham is your own Self. And the Self of your Self: Brahman.

To someone who asked about “shaktipat” gurus and their practices.

The whole shaktipat approach is very chancey and always ends in disaster. We
are not energy: we are consciousness. It is energy that deludes us. That is why Patanjali never mentions kundalini or even chakras. The Nath Yogis know more about the chakras than regular yogis, but they just know about them, they do not bother with them. When the chakras manifest in their sadhana they understand what is going on, ignore it and continue with Soham joined to their breath.

The individual has within himself everything that is needed. He only needs the knowledge of what it is and how to use it. That is the purpose of Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self. We do not need anything “put into us” in the form of energies from another person. That is psychic invasion which disturbs and unbalances our own natural state without which we cannot possibly come to Self-knowledge. Anandamayi Ma said: “Getting implies losing.” So any “shakti” injected into us must by its nature be ejected and lost.

To someone who asked which chakra was the best to focus on in meditation.

Meditation on a specific chakra can confine and limit the yogi’s awareness. Only what happens spontaneously in meditation is correct and worthy of attention. I wrote in Soham Yoga: “Sometimes during meditation you may spontaneously become more aware of some point or area of the body, and that is all right, but keep the focus of your attention on the breath and your intonations of Soham, letting whatever happens, happen, letting the subtle energy (shakti) of Soham move where it will and energize and awaken whatever needs energizing and awakening at that moment. Since everything is formed of prana, the essence of breath, intoning Soham in time with the breath effects every part and aspect of our being, physical, astral, and causal.”

There is an exception to this. On occasion, such as at the very beginning of meditation or when during the rest of the day you find your attention drifting from the breath and Soham, it can be helpful to make yourself very gently (lest you give yourself a headache from tension) aware of your entire brain (Sahasrara) area, feeling that the breath and Soham intonations are taking place there.

A short time of this awareness—just the duration of a few breaths—is sufficient, because subsequent practice of Soham sadhana will result in spontaneous Sahasrara awareness, which is best.

To someone who asked about saguna and nirguna meditation, and if one was superior to the other.

Since Brahman is everything, It is both Saguna and Nirguna, immanent and transcendent. It embraces everything, since it IS everything.

Certainly we can speak about Its immanent being and not about its transcendent being. But does it matter that we cannot “talk” about it? We need to realize It, for we are already one with It. We need to be yogis.
After describing his manifestations in the Gita, Krishna concludes: “But what need have you, Arjuna, to know this huge variety? Know only that I exist, and that one atom of myself sustains the universe” (Bhagavad Gita 10:42). And at the same time, Brahman is beyond our idea of existence, and since It has no parts, it has no atoms! Yet we must use these terms with the higher reality or actuality in mind. That is why sages used to be called munis: people who did not speak.

In Soham sadhana the yogi focuses his mind on the two essential powers of his being: breath and sound. And that leads beyond into Consciousness which is his nature that is one with Brahman. Formally meditating on a concept of God that is just in our own mind/intellect is of no lasting value.

Soham sadhana is the way to meditate on the Self and Brahman, the Self of the Self. As Bhagavan Sri Ramana Maharshi wrote in one of his commentaries: “Soham Sadhana is the last pilgrimage.” (See Soham Yoga.)

Soham Sadhana is the way to the Supreme Reality. And takes care of everything in between. You will find this to be true as you practice.

To someone who wrote asking my opinion about a very famous contemporary guru.

The question is not what N.’s spiritual status is, but what is our status. This is why yogis should beware of being “followers” and echoes of someone else—and often of people they never even met. To quote the American Sage PeeWee Herman: “I know you are. But what am I?”

To someone who wrote about the correct attitude to adopt toward others when interacting with them, especially those with whom the yogi has a close personal relationship.

In all relationships with other human beings we are to see the divine Self in them and realize that ultimately we are relating to the Divine Itself. The moment we think someone is “ours” ego is present and problematic. That is why sadhana is so necessary to keep our minds in the correct perspective.

To someone who inquired about the nature of authentic Raja Yoga.

Raja Yoga is the science of Prana, the breath being the main yogic instrument for its accomplishment. It is much more than controlling or refining the breath (for real pranayama is refinement, not control), it is the Way of Unity. Raja Yoga both leads to and is the experience of unity with the Self and Brahman. Total unity is its only goal. This is important to recognize, because Raja Yoga involves mastery of our inner and outer life, which inevitably involves the emergence of inner powers which can easily be wasted or misapplied.

It is pointless to tell a yogi to “shun the yoga powers” any more than it would be
to tell a child to avoid adulthood. Certainly, an adult is subject to many more delusions and addictions than a child, and certainly has the ability to work much more harm to himself and others. Nevertheless, adulthood is inevitable. And so it is with the yogi: these powers will manifest in him. If he keeps his eye upon the goal of liberation in Brahman, those powers will ripen into something more, into spiritual realizations, much the same way that sexual energies conserved are transmuted into far higher and greater forces within the consciousness of the yogi. Both sexual energy (and all the body-energies) and the yogic powers are the ore that can be refined into the gold of Self-realization. Those who misdirect and waste them become lost in the maze of illusion, including illusions of enlightenment. But a worthy Raja Yogi stays intent on Unity and lives in that context alone.

Since I have expatiated so on Raja Yoga I must explain that there is externalized practice that is really just Hatha Yoga with a veneer of Raja Yoga. This is most important to understand, because in our time Raja Yoga means just about everything it is not. A great deal of physical involvement, cultivation of bodily control and artificial (abnormal) breathing exercises is believed to be Raja Yoga. Body identification is at the core of such activities that are not only not sadhana, but the destroyers of authentic sadhana.

Nearly everything called Raja Yoga in India is this fraud. Because of this, and lest I fall into a yoga pit, one day in Varanasi Mother Anandamayi spoke to me very plainly about such false Raja Yoga. “Raja Yoga deceives its practitioners,” she said, “by giving them just a touch of what they should attain. Then after years of practice it evaporates and leaves them totally empty.” This I have observed myself through the years. I cannot calculate the number of burnt out Raja Yogis I have seen shuffling around with dead eyes and blank faces and speaking in weak, hoarse voices, having ruined their throats and vocal cords with their thoroughly material and abnormal practice. Some have neurological problems brought on by their practice, and others have turned to alcohol and drugs through their frustration at getting no real results–while still keeping up the practice.

Of course, Ma was not speaking of the real Raja Yoga, but of the delusive imitations. As the ads used to say in my childhood: Accept No Substitutes.

To someone who asked about Khechari Mudra.

The khechari mudra most people talk about is solely a hatha yoga method, and a foolish and dangerous one at that. Those who “fail” to do it are fortunate.

The mudras of the yogis are solely positions of the eyes. The khechari mudra of the yogis is the spontaneous turning upward of the eyes in meditation that occurs naturally when it should. To do it deliberately is to interfere with the meditation process. In Soham sadhana this happens naturally and can come and go during a single meditation period according to how the pranas are moving spontaneously. It happens when the sushumna is activated and the process of meditation becomes centered there. Some yogic texts speak of it as occurring when the japa of Soham
enters—begins to take place in—the sushumna. Then the yogi truly is a “sky walker,” which is what “khechari” means. He “flies” in the Sky of Consciousness, the Chidakasha in the Sahasrara.

To someone who asked if the meaning of Soham (I Am That) should be held in mind during meditation and japa.
In Soham sadhana there should be no thought of the meaning of Soham, otherwise the yogi gets caught in the realm of discursive thought—which is where most “yoga” begins and ends. It is the Soham Bhava that is desired: the literal experience of knowing “I am That.”
Soham can be translated both “I am that” and “That I am.” The second is good since Paramatma comes before the jivatman, implying that the jiva lives in Shiva. But it is awkward, so I don’t use it ordinarily. Again, Soham Bhava is what it is all about.
This all just points out the fact that Krishna was right: We must become yogis. Gorakhnath wrote: “By birth I was a Hindu, but through maturity I became a Yogi.”

Should God (Brahman) be called It or He?
Brahman is It, not He. And it is the same with each individual atman. “He” applies only to the relative and temporary part of our experience. The jivatman and Paramatman have no gender.
However, Ishwara is “He” in the sense that he is the Divine Positive to the Divine Negative that is Mahashakti or Prakriti (“She”).

Where did the words “God” and “soul” come from? Are they Christian in origin?
The English word “God” comes from a Proto-Germanic word, Gudan, which means someone who is called or invoked. It is neuter, neither masculine nor feminine.
The modern English word “soul” is derived from the Old English sawol or sawel. It is speculated that it means “coming from or belonging to the sea (or lake),” because of the Germanic and pre-Celtic belief in souls emerging from and returning to sacred lakes. It appears that in Old Saxon seola (soul) is derived from seo (sea).
In the Bible (New Testament) the Greek word Theos is translated “God” and the Greek Psuche (psyche) is translated “soul.” Theos literally means: “the absolutely Other.” In other words: Parabrahman. Psuche means the subtle, astral and causal bodies, our psychic nature as contrasted with the pneuma, the spirit, the unconditioned consciousness that is our true being.
To someone who wrote about bhakti (devotion) in spiritual life.
Shankara wrote that meditation is the true bhakti, and samadhi is the true jnana. Bhakti should be translated “dedication,” because it is devotion in the sense of being devoted or dedicated to something. It has nothing to do with liking or loving. Sivananda continually told people: “Emotion is not Devotion.”

To someone who wrote objecting to my saying in Yoga: Science of the Absolute that Soham was the Pranava mentioned by Patanjali.
The Pranava, the Inner Breath Word, is Soham. As Swami Sivananda pointed out, Om which is commonly thought to be the Pranava is extracted from Soham. Soham itself is the Word of Divine Consciousness, and therefore the means to realization of the Divine—both our own divinity, the jivatman, and the All-encompassing divinity, the Paramatman.
Patanjali was himself a Nath Yogi, and the distinctive sadhana of the Nath Yogis is Soham Yoga. Jesus was also a Nath Yogi, as explained in The Christ of India.
In the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad we find this: “In the beginning this [world] was only the Self [Atman], in the shape of a person. Looking around he saw nothing else than the Self. He first said, I am Soham [Soham asmi]” (1:4:1). Ishwara is the “soul” of creation, is immanent in creation, and is the Self of the world, just as we are the Self in our body. So the first thing Ishwara did at the beginning of creation was to “speak” himself as the word Soham, saying, “I am Soham.” Therefore the consciousness of the Self is called Soham Bhava, the consciousness of Soham: I Am That. (See Soham Yoga, The Yoga of the Self.) So the vachaka of Ishwara is Soham. And it is our vachaka, also.
The glory of yoga is that its practice reveals its value. Having sixty years of learning and practicing many yogic methods I can certainly affirm what the two greatest yogis of India, Matsyendranath and Gorakhnath, wrote about Soham mantra sadhana: “The inhalation comes in with the subtle sound of So, and the exhalation goes out with the subtle sound of Ham. There is no knowledge equal to this, nor has there ever been in the past or shall be in the future any knowledge equal to this. There is no japa equal to this, nor has there ever been in the past or shall be in the future any japa equal to this.”
There has never been, nor shall there ever be vidya [yogic knowledge] such as this, and there has never been, nor shall there ever be japa [mantra repetition] such as this: the mental intoning of So when naturally inhaling and Ham when naturally exhaling.

To someone who wrote about the nature of avatars—incarnations of God.
The Sankhya view (on which Yoga is based) of avatars is that when perfectly illumined and liberated souls return to earth to teach spiritual wisdom, they are avatars: incarnations of God in the sense that the divine is fully manifested in them.
It can be said of them as Saint Paul said about the Lord Jesus: “In him dwelleth all the fulness of the Godhead bodily” (Colossians 2:9). Just think: “They brought forth the sick into the streets, and laid them on beds and couches, that at the least the shadow of Peter passing by might overshadow some of them” (Acts 5:15). “And God wrought special miracles by the hands of Paul: So that from his body were brought unto the sick handkerchiefs or aprons, and the diseases departed from them, and the evil spirits went out of them” (Acts 19:11-12). When I read this as in my early teens I realized that Saint Peter and Saint Paul were not just “born again” and “good Christians.” They had become Christs, gods upon the earth. They were “incarnations” of God. This is in keeping with the Bible: “Jesus answered them, Is it not written in your law, I said, Ye are gods?” (John 10:34; Psalms 82:6). It is interesting how little “Bible Christians” understand or believe of the Bible. Especially those who boast that they believe the Bible literally.

“Verily, verily, I say unto you, He that believeth on me, the works that I do shall he do also; and greater works than these shall he do” (John 14:12). So we see that believing in Jesus entails a lot more than Christians even want to realize. This is why Swami Abhedananda, a disciple of Sri Ramakrishna, wrote an pamphlet entitled “Why a Hindu Accepts Christ and Rejects Churchianity.”

All human beings are intended to become Sons of God. It is spiritual ignorance that clouds the issue. Of course God alone is God, yet the rishis of India realized that our spirit (atman) is inseparable from God, like the wave from the ocean. But as Shankara wrote: “O Lord, you do not belong to me, but I belong to you. The ocean can say, ‘I am the wave,’ but the wave cannot say, ‘I am the ocean.’”

To someone who asked if I would advise him to receive Kriya initiation from a visiting Indian Kriya Guru who charges five hundred dollars for initiation.

No true teacher ever charges money. Whatever excuses might be made for doing so, it is dishonest and outright racketeering. So my advice is to have nothing to do with such a false guru—or false disciples who are collecting it for the guru’s supposed expenses, etc. And further: have nothing to do with anyone who says you need some kind of initiation-empowerment from them to be a yogi. And have nothing to do with anyone who claims to be a guru. God alone is the guru.

What is the subconscious? Some may call it the “Unconscious.”

The human being consists of many layers, but the three large divisions are the physical, astral and causal bodies or layers. The mind is also physical, astral and causal.

The physical brain is the seat and instrument of the conscious mind which includes the “upper” levels of the astral and causal minds. But since the human being is usually unaware of many of the levels of the astral and causal “brains” or
minds, we call those levels “the subconscious.” In the subconscious are stored all the past life impressions (samskaras and vasanas) which greatly influence our personality and behavior, but reflexively, not consciously.

**How does the subconscious help us? How does it burden us?**

The subconscious is absolutely necessary for us to function, much less evolve. What really burdens us is our unawareness which confines us to acting instinctually when we should be acting rationally–intelligently and insightfully.

**Sometimes it feels like a water buffalo smashing through the garden.**

That is not its fault. What it disrupts is the result of our ignorance, the blindness of our consciousness.

**Can we tame the subconscious? Need we do so?**

What we need to do is bring into the light that which is presently in the dark because of the present limitations of our consciousness. To express it simply: we need to make conscious what is presently subconscious (unconscious). We do this by delving into the depths of our minds and expanding our awareness of our total being through meditation. This is what Yoga is all about: becoming conscious. The yogi who applies himself does this quite naturally and virtually without effort. But of course, the practice must be effective, correct and complete.

It sounds too simple and too easy. Which is why those with tangled (they call it complex) minds:

1) never find it;
2) do not recognize it when they find it;
3) do not believe it is what it is when they find it; and therefore:
4) never really try it.

**I have read that Lahiri Mahasaya said no one should become a sannyasi. Is that true? If so, why did he say it?**

Lahiri Mahasaya told his disciples that they should never become a Dasanami Sannyasi—a member of the Swami Order of Shankaracharya. The reason was purely yogic. To become a member of that order, a ritual known as the Viraja Homa is performed. It is not a symbol, but a powerful ritual that alters the subtle bodies and karma of the person. Its purpose is to break all ties with this world. It transmutes the person’s worldly karma into spiritual karma. This sounds very desirable, but if the person was mistaken in taking up sannyas and finds himself unable to continue it, his subsequent life will be chaotic and miserable because he has no positive worldly karmic store on which to draw. He will be a failure in both his social and personal life, adrift like a broken cloud in the sky. A terrible fate! But what has been done cannot be undone in the sense that there is no reversing of the effect of the Viraja Homa. At the same time, but has been undone cannot be done—that is, the
karma that was transmuted cannot be returned to its original state. Practically speaking, there is no place for such a person in this world.

The Shankara Order is very modern in the annals of Sanatana Dharma and India. The ancient dharma shastras describe the way sannyas should be taken–solely by the will of the individual. Though it might be preceded by a final performance of the daily rituals of a Sanatana Dharmi, the real sannyas was the simple declaration of intention to leave (or never enter) the householder life and departure for lifelong tapasya (sadhana). In modern times this was done by the renowned Swami (“Papa”) Ramdas of Anandashram. He simply had some cloth dyed gerrua, put it on and declared that from thenceforth his name was Ramdas, and walked out of his house never to return.

Sri Ramakrishna told a story about true sannyas. One day a man found his wife very depressed. When asked why she was so sad, she told him that she was about to lose her brother who was married; that he was going to become a sannyasi. “How do you know he’s going to become a sannyasi?” asked her husband. “Because he is carefully setting all his affairs in order, getting everything all straightened out and arranging things so he can take up the monastic life” was the reply. “Oh well,” her husband told her, “then you have nothing to worry about at all. He will never become a sannyasi.” When his wife protested that surely he would be a sannyasi since he was making so much preparation, he explained: “No one who acts like that, carefully planning everything out so it will be easy–and by that delaying the actual taking up of the monastic life–ever does it.” “I don’t understand what you mean,” objected his wife. The man answered: “Let me show you how it is really done.” So he went off in another room, and in a few minutes came back wearing the two cloths that make up the simple garb of a Hindu sannyasi. Saluting his wife he said: “Goodbye. From now on you are to me as my sister.” Then he walked out the door and was never seen again.

One time in Kurukshetra, Anandamayi Ma spoke with me at length about what she called shukla sannyas (white sannyas) in which, impelled by a force deep within, the person simply dons the gerua clothing, adopts an appropriate name, and leaves home to lead the sadhu life. She was emphatic that no one need be “given” sannyas, but that the best was declaring oneself a sadhu with no ties, not even with the Shankara Order. She told me that Swami Paramananda, the senior sadhu in the Anandamayi Sangha, had taken this kind of sannyas when he was only fourteen or fifteen years old, and was an ideal example of a sannyasi. Having read the dharma shastras, I knew that this was the only way prescribed in ancient times.

From the esoteric, yogic standpoint this is preferable because if the person returns to ordinary life, his positive outer karma remains and he can live an orderly and even virtuous life–unless it is his swadharma to be a sannyasi. In that case other kinds of misfortune result from turning from what should be done.

As you may recall from Autobiography of a Yogi, three disciples of Lahiri Mahasaya, Swami Pranabananda, Swami Keshabananda and Swami Kebalananda, are mentioned. Often the honorary title of “Swami” is used by others in relation to
worthy spiritual teachers, even if they may be married. Actually, Pranabananda, Keshabananda and Kebalananda were naishtika brahmacharis: men who had solemnly declared their intention to permanently lead the life of a brahmachari. Sometimes a ritual of blessing is done at the time of their sankalpa and the donning of the monastic clothing (either white or gerrua according to their wish), but sometimes it is not. Either way, it is not absolutely binding, and leaving it has no negative result unless they are abandoning their swadharma. In India I have met great saints and yogis who were naishtika brahmacharis. Some were called Swami and others were called Brahmachari.

Some Shankara swamis, such as Paramhansa Yogananda, take vidvat–non-ceremonial/ritualistic–sannyas when it is perceived that their inner disposition is such that the Viraja Homa is not needed: their minds are irrevocably fixed in the path of renunciation from birth.

Lahiri Mahasaya also disapproved of taking the usual Shankara Order sannyas because he said that the Viraja Homa so affects the subtle bodies that even the sannyasi’s mental sins are more destructive to him than the external sins of ordinary people. Therefore that path is extremely perilous, and he warned his disciples accordingly.

This is the truth of the matter. Lahiri Mahasaya never opposed leading the life of a renunciate as such, only entering it through the Viraja Homa or an equivalent.

To someone who asked why some Indian teachers insist that the only thing needed is to be devoted to the form of God they worship—just love that form of God, worship him and go to his world after death and adore him forever—which is not really different from the evangelical Christian “get saved” view.

It is sad that those who like to cite selected passages from the Bhagavad Gita in their lectures or writings usually overlook the summation of the Gita: “Therefore be a yogi” (6:46).

Authentic yoga postulates that the aspirant must liberate himself by realizing his own true nature as the ever-free Atman-Self. Just as we have bound ourselves to the wheel of birth and death through ignorance and the karmas created by (and perpetuating) that ignorance we must liberate ourselves by attaining true knowledge and wisdom (jnana). And the way is simple: Be A Yogi.

Those who are not yogis have no understanding of this, for yoga is both a philosophy and a practice. If someone does not hold the philosophy he will have no way to evaluate a practice, much less teach it. And if he does not have a true yogic practice, he will never gain the experience which leads to and validates the philosophy.

The real problem is this: those who teach this “bhakti” nonsense have completely worldly minds, so they assume that worldly ways apply in spiritual life. So they think that we should direct our obsessive ego-centered “love” to God as the “beloved.” Not so. The ways of ignorance cannot be transmuted into wisdom just
by changing the usual object of ignorance for God. The aspirant must first change himself and the condition of his mind and will through purification, and then follow the rules the sages have laid down for those who aspire to liberation—not “love.”

Morally defiled people in India and elsewhere want to retain their sensual, material attitudes and ways and project them onto God and sadhana. They fail.

When reincarnated do we retain our current memories and interests, or what it is that makes us feel “ourselves”?

All the memories of our past lives remain in the subconscious mind in the forms of impressions in the mind-substance (chitta) which is a field of magnetic energy. They sort of float around, vibrating individually as samskaras, but those of similar magnetism become attracted to one another and become bundles of samskaras called vasanas. Because of their combined force the vasanas are experienced as impulses, attractions and aversions in the mind. Thus we enter each life with a definite personality that has the potential of unfoldment and expression in response to the experiences we undergo in that life. However, the responsiveness depends on the evolutionary level of the individual and the character of the experiences.

The more evolved a person is, the greater is the level of sensitivity and response. In those of lower evolution the subconscious is truly “buried,” whereas in those of higher development the subconscious and conscious minds influence and interact with one another. Since the conscious mind is centered in the brain, and in each life we have a completely new one, past life impressions in the form of impulses, attractions and aversion can only manifest as the brain is evolved. In those of moderate evolution they are just impulses, but in those of higher evolution they often manifest as actual memories of past lives. Usually they do not arise spontaneously, but are evoked by trigger experiences. The younger the person the more likely these memories will arise. As the years go on, the mind becomes distracted and coarsened, especially through diet since the mind is formed of the energies derived from the food we eat.

Adept yogis become increasingly aware of these subconscious impressions as the barrier between the conscious and subconscious minds becomes lessened and the two minds begin to communicate with one another readily. The yogi begins to remember the roots of his present experiences and reactions. His recalling of the past illumines and explains his present life situations and he consciously shapes his future actions accordingly. It is all quite practical and purposeful.

I can understand that our mind may be “blanked” when we are reborn, but I read of cases where someone is born with genius, such as Mozart, that seems to come through from previous lives and of others where a child takes her family to meet her “previous husband” and in these cases memories seem to have carried directly through.
This all occurs through the evolutionary development of the individual. Genius is a trait of a high level of evolution. Shanti Devi, whom I assume you are citing, was an accomplished yogi in her previous birth. Even so, living both a past and present life simultaneously produced great confusion in her mind. Fortunately, Sri Maitri Devi, with whom I became acquainted in my first pilgrimage to India, was able to help her resolve the difficulty.

**Does our spiritual essence have some sort of “character” that remains “us”?**

Our spiritual essence is the only “us” we shall ever have. Our many lives in this world and in higher worlds are only dreams. We, the dreamers, are the only reality behind it all. We write, produce, direct and star in each life. Forgetfulness or awareness of that depends on the level of our spiritual consciousness, for consciousness alone is our essential being.

**How do I exhaust all my vasanas totally in this life before death, so that I will no longer transmigrate/reincarnate totally?**

Even if you do not exhaust all your vasanas in this life, if you have been constant in your sadhana you can be freed from returning to earthly embodiment. Instead, you will go to a higher world (loka), and if you continue in sadhana there you will gradually ascend from level to level until you transcend relative existence altogether. You need only have the needed determination and persistence.

“Therefore be a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46).

I have heard that on the path of sadhana a sadhak reaches a stage where he experiences samadhi. Then it is very difficult for him to maintain himself in his body and so he leaves his body forever; he dies. Is this true? I’m a little afraid of this situation.

There are a lot of silly things said in the contemporary yoga world, and this is one of them.

Sadhana is the path to mastery, and those who attain genuine samadhi (not some pathological state produced by a false method learned from a false teacher) will easily maintain the body, only leaving it at the right time consciously and intentionally.

So keep up your sadhana diligently, remembering the motto Swami Trigunatita, a disciple of Sri Ramakrishna, hung on the wall of his ashram in San Francisco: Do Or Die. But You Won’t Die.

**What name do you think Jesus was called by when he walked the**
earth? I’m certain that it was not Jesus. For one thing neither Hebrew nor Aramaic had a “J” sound like we have. I know he was called Issa or similar in India.

“Jesus” actually is Joshua: Yahoshua in Hebrew and Yeshua in Aramaic. (The Saint Thomas Christians in India call him Yeshua.)

In India Jesus was called Isha Nath. Isha means lord (as in Ishwara). Nath means master, but it also indicated that he was a member of the Nath Yogi Order (Sampradaya). The Christ of India, which you can read on or download from our website, has more about this.

What is the meaning of the term “Mahakaal” as associated with Lord Shiva?
Mahakala literally means great or endless time. It can also mean cosmic time; undivided time; timelessness; and Shiva in his aspect of dissolver (not “destroyer”). Brahma creates, Vishnu maintains and Shiva dissolves the universe at the times of Pralaya. Shiva never destroys, he liberates. But those who cling to what he dissolves accuse him of being destructive. Sri Krishna himself says in the Gita: “I am mighty world-destroying Time” (11:32).

I have heard terms like “bliss beyond intoxication,” “supreme bliss” etc., with regards to meditation. What is this?
They are nonsense, basically: the propaganda of the yoga cults who peddle their guru and his “yoga” to their dupes.

There is, however ananda, the bliss of the individual atman, and paramananda, the bliss of the Paramatman. They cannot be described, but can be experienced. This we must seek.

Does a person really become very powerful if he has mastery over his senses?
If a strictly disciplined person masters the senses, he has power over them. If a yogi masters the senses then he is able to go beyond the senses into atmic consciousness which is beyond power or shakti. This second way is the way of the wise. Certainly power can be gained, but that is not the way to moksha, only to further egoic bondage.

To someone who wrote asking me my thoughts as to why a very famous guru and his organization taught/teach a yoga method that is not supported by a single authentic yoga text or tradition.
A person with an active mind in a guru or yoga cult eventually collects a number
of “why does?” “why dids?” and “why nots?” regarding the Master or the Divine Institution. The answer to all these questions in my opinion is simple and should be faced: Ignorance, incompetence and dishonesty on the part of both the Master and his organization.

The real tragedy is the complete lack of a sense of personal responsibility on the part of the “devotee” to have the integrity and common sense to make sure he is not being fed a sucker line and to differentiate between nonsense and lies and wisdom and truth. It is very like the person who is married to a hellish spouse but whose “love” and ego-investment either blinds them to the truth or makes them deceive themselves about the truth of things. Every “special child/grandchild” I have met has been two steps from a stalk of broccoli, and every “special wife” I have met has been a flaming harpy. Ego is at the bottom of it all. “How could I have been so wrong?” “I was/am wrong,” and “I am responsible–no one else” is seldom if ever said to themselves by the True Believers.

I have followed more than one fake guru and diligently practiced a string of worthless yogic techniques. It was all my fault. I loved, believed in and trusted because I was a sentimental fool letting my gullibility and ego cancel out my intelligence. (But deep down like all cultists I had doubts, fears, insecurity and smothered discontent.) I even had “superconscious dreams” of each false guru, too. There is no denying: I worked at being fooled.

It is indeed a terrible wrench to realize that Sri Gurudev is a fool or a fraud, that the beloved gurubhais and gurubhens are pathetic dupes, that our personal, “sacred” experiences are complete illusions and the divinely dispensed technique is either worthless or actually harmful. And most painful of all: that one has been an utter fool–and often more than once. But it is better to realize and act on the truth than to remain in the prison of willing delusion. That never results in anything but continual though unacknowledged suffering.

It is also a great tragedy when people do not leave the guru/yoga cult quietly, sincerely relieved that they have at last seen the truth, and get on with their lives, investigating other possibly good and authentic teachers and teachings. But they usually turn into bitter, resentful and even hate-filled crusaders denouncing all teachers and groups and screeching to the world that they are all fake and criminal and even “of the devil,” sometimes writing books and starting organizations to denounce and combat all they now hate. That is easier on their ego than hating themselves, but no less self-destructive.

Random counsels from a letter written to a yogi friend in India.

The problem with all exoteric religion is that it eventually leads back to bondage in this world. I am very thankful that I came from a very religious family, but in time that was not enough.

Certainly the knowledge of yoga is a supreme blessing. As Paramhansa
Yogananda often said: “Yoga is the beginning of the end.” And Sri Ramana Maharshi said: “Soham sadhana is the last step on the journey to Self-realization.”

Unless there is really loud or distracting noise, there is no problem with hearing external sounds when meditating. Soham meditation is much too effective to be hindered by some outer sound. Only those who practice fake yoga claim that there can be absolutely no sounds or distractions. That is because their false practice is weak (and worthless).

It is only incidental that Soham means “I Am That.” It is the internal, subtle sound of your mental intonations of Soham that matters. The meaning of the word Soham is in the realm of the thinking mind, which is not of much value. We are looking for consciousness in meditation. The internal, mental sound of Soham will lift you to higher awareness, and your experiences will be nothing like these yoga cult people claim with astonishing, theatrical and therefore coarse and semi-material experiences. Rather, they will be beyond description right away, and they will not delude you into thinking that you are enlightened. You will have a very realistic view of yourself, but also optimistic. The section “Soham Yoga Sadhana in three sentences” that is in the beginning part of Chapter Two of Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self gives the right perspective. So just listen to the sound of Soham japa and discover that it is much more than anyone could possibly guess. Only those who practice will know its effect and value.

Jesus being a Nath Yogi, the essence of his life was Soham sadhana and its effects. When Moses asked God about His Name, He told him: I AM THAT I AM (Exodus 3:14). That is the exact translation of SOHAM ASMI, which the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad (1.4.1) tells us was the first “speaking” of God. It is not just a mantra, it is the Consciousness, the Soham Bhava, to which the mantra leads.

Soham literally means “I Am THAT,” “That” being the only reasonable designation of God (Brahman) who is beyond all concepts. Soham is both Christ Consciousness and God Consciousness. For they are essentially one.

Do not worry about having to be “focused” on the intonation of Soham. Just relax and listen. Your breath and the sound of your mental intonations of So and Ham as your breath naturally goes in and out are the only things you need bother about. Memories and all kinds of silly stuff may appear to be arising, when in reality you are experiencing the inner mind where they are continually buzzing around. So this is a mark of progress!

The twentieth chapter of Revelation (12-15) says: “I saw the dead, small and great, stand before God; and the books were opened: and another book was opened, which is the book of life: and the dead were judged out of those things which were
written in the books, according to their works. And the sea gave up the dead which were in it; and death and hell delivered up the dead which were in them: and they were judged every man according to their works. And death and hell were cast into the lake of fire. This is the second death. And whosoever was not found written in the book of life was cast into the lake of fire.”

This is not something to be scared about like the ignorant think. This is the experience of the yogi as the necessary purification and transmutation take place. There is a lot for the inner mind and heart to vomit up! Just keep with Soham and you need not be concerned. And the last thing you want is to stop it. Rather, you want to clear it all out and be free of it. The crucial thing, though, is to make certain that you just observe it and do not “touch” it in any way or allow it to “touch” you. It is no more than movies: boring, ugly, silly and even seemingly threatening. Always remember: “Greater is he that is in you, than he that is in the world” (I John 4:4). And all these distractions and annoyances are “the world” that we foolishly “ate” and now are having to “vomit” up. Our indifference will be our protection and assurance.

In our hearts we should be alone with God: with our inner intonations of Soham. All that comes from outside is nothing and we should turn from it by ignoring it and fixing our awareness on the intonations of Soham in time with the breath.

“Then shall the kingdom of heaven be likened unto ten virgins, which took their lamps, and went forth to meet the bridegroom. And five of them were wise, and five were foolish. They that were foolish took their lamps, and took no oil with them: But the wise took oil in their vessels with their lamps. While the bridegroom tarried, they all slumbered and slept. And at midnight there was a cry made, Behold, the bridegroom cometh; go ye out to meet him. Then all those virgins arose, and trimmed their lamps. And the foolish said unto the wise, Give us of your oil; for our lamps are gone out. But the wise answered, saying, Not so; lest there be not enough for us and you: but go ye rather to them that sell, and buy for yourselves. And while they went to buy, the bridegroom came; and they that were ready went in with him to the marriage: and the door was shut. Afterward came also the other virgins, saying, Lord, Lord, open to us. But he answered and said, Verily I say unto you, I know you not.” (Matthew 25:1-12)

The lamps of the foolish are the external religious life and the lamps of the wise are the internal life of the spirit. The foolish only have lamps, but the wise have both lamps and vessels of oil. They have both the external ways of righteousness and the inner light of spirit consciousness. They alone go in to the Bridegroom. Saint Methodius of Olympus, a martyr-bishop in the fourth century, wrote a dialogue on the subject of spiritual wisdom. And at the end the wise who won in the discussion stand in a circle and sing: “Chastely I live for Thee. And holding my lighted Lamps, my Lord, I go forth to meet Thee.” This is the way of the yogi.
Again, do not worry. Just be absorbed in Soham and that will do everything. And don’t expect it to happen overnight. But it will begin happening and will continue, as you will discover.

Prayer is one thing and meditation is quite another. It is good to calmly pray for those in need. Before meditation I pray for all beings in all the worlds. Praying for specific people is all right, as long as it does not get you caught in spending your meditation time in prayer. That can be a trick of the mind. But a few minutes does no harm and is good.

Please do not let seemingly spiritual imaginings or daydreams about the possible future waste your time. NOW is the accepted time, the day of salvation (II Corinthians 6:2), not the future. So meditate now and let the future be what it will. Since the present creates the future, meditation will ensure future spiritual development.

Spiritual life has nothing to do with external conditions. Tens of thousands of miserable, ignorant and frustrated men are wandering around India with their minds, hearts and lives a spiritual wasteland. They thought that all they needed was to walk out of the house and put on gerrua and get a Sanskrit name and away they would go to Nirvana. But instead they went to hell. And do not know how to get out.

It is where your mind lives that matters. You can be in God right in the middle of Connaught Circus while numberless “sadhus” in the Himalayas are living in their unhappy egos. “Therefore be a yogi” (Bhagavad Gita 6:46).

Remember the advice Ram Gopal Mazumdar gave to Yogananda: “The Himalayas in India and Tibet have no monopoly on saints. What one does not trouble to find within will not be discovered by transporting the body hither and yon. Are you able to have a little room where you can close the door and be alone? That is your cave. That is your sacred mountain. That is where you will find the kingdom of God.”

“Jesus said, If thou wilt be perfect, go and sell that thou hast, and give to the poor, and thou shalt have treasure in heaven: and come and follow me” (Matthew 19:21). Even now you must “give away” everything and follow Christ. But in your mind and heart. This is impossible without the meditation and continual japa of Soham. There is no conflict if you love God above all, and all in God. Again, this is a matter of consciousness.

Once our Swami Satyananda gave a slide show of his trip to India at the
Hollywood Ramakrishna Mission. After it, Swami Swahanandaji, the head of that center, spoke to the people there and said: “Do not expect to go to India and have the same experience Swami Satyananda had there. For if you don’t have it here, you won’t have it there.”

Sri Ramakrishna said: “If you can weigh salt you can weigh sugar.” So weighing salt can become the training for weighing sugar.

External conditions can please us or make us superficially “happy,” but only anubhava and atmasakshatkara can really satisfy and fulfill us. Anubhava is the direct personal experience of the identity of the Jiva with Brahman. Atmasakshatkara is direct perception of the Self; realization of the true nature of the Self; Self-realization itself.

Certainly your dilemma about contact with exoteric Christianity is a difficult one, and something that you must resolve on your own by the intuition gained through meditation. When I was a fundamentalist Protestant, the sermons were usually so boring or worthless that I would start making up my own sermons on the subject as I sat there!

May your sadhana increase and your resulting spiritual insight become your guide. The important thing is not to worry. Even now you and God are one, only you must realize that truly and not in fantasy–as is the nature of most religion. Gorakhnath wrote: “By birth I was a Hindu, but by maturity I am a yogi.”

To a friend who wrote telling me that during japa and meditation he often switched from one mantra to another, then to another and then back to the first mantra.

The mind is like a restless child, playing with one toy after another, going around and around. But the yogi must realize that the more a mantra is repeated the deeper it will go and the more effective it will be. So to change to another mantra is to start back at the beginning, then back to the beginning again and once more to the beginning! If we want to get anywhere as yogis, we must break this habit. It is a ploy of the ego.

Naturally the ego gets disturbed as the effects of the mantra begins to loosen its hold on the sadhaka. So it suggests a change to stop the process. I understand this, as I went through a period where during meditation my mind would say: “Why not do this other mantra to see if it is not more effective than what you are doing?” And then after a bit would say: “Perhaps another approach is better. Why not try it?” Every day it was the same. I would start out with Soham and after a while the mind would suggest Distraction Number One, and then after a while Distraction Number Two. Now the interesting thing was that never did either distraction even come near
to having the beneficial effect of Soham. But still the game went on every morning until I realized that I was cooperating with the ego which wanted to spoil my efforts at getting beyond it. So I set my will. And the ego gave up.

I recommend you profit from my experience and do the same!

**To an inquirer:**
The individual’s spiritual practice should be just that: individual. And directed only by the individual’s intuition. The guru-disciple enslavement or dependence on an “authority” should be avoided.

Despite our intense experience of the outer world, our life really proceeds from within us. Our mind is a field of conscious energy which draws us into the environment and experience which corresponds to the mental conditionings we call karma. What is within will in time manifest. And what is not there will not manifest.

It is certainly true that for nearly all our lives we have been merely mirror-reflections. Only when the Self begins to become operative will anything really change for us. And the Self becomes operative only through sadhana.

START HERE

In Advaita Vedanta, correct discrimination is possible during the waking state but not during the dreamless sleep state and the dreaming state. Must something be done in place of correct discrimination during the latter two states? If nothing can be done in those two latter states, does it mean I cannot be liberated if I should die during any of those two states?

Just because something is called “Advaita Vedanta” does not mean that it really is. It almost always is not, unfortunately. Any yogi with a requisite amount of experience can assure you that discrimination (viveka) is quite possible in the dreaming (swapna) and dreamless (sushupti) states.

Liberation occurs when the yogi is permanently established in the turiya state which is beyond waking, sleeping and dreamless sleep. Turiya is the only real state of being awake. It is always with us, and can be “attained” by yoga sadhana. That is why the Bhagavad Gita says: “Therefore be a yogi” (6:46).

**To someone who asked how he could determine his present evolutionary status.**

One of the besetting dilemmas of the human being is the fact that we do not evolve harmoniously and simultaneously on all aspects of our being. Consequently we can be evolved highly in one aspect and quite unevolved in another. So we do not have an overall level of evolution.

This is why yoga sadhana is so important. It begins to harmonize the many levels and aspects of our being and to develop them in a sure and steady manner–
when the sadhana is carried on continuously.

Furthermore, evolution is a condition of the relative aspects of our being. Its only value to enable us to know our true Self by the development of Atmajnana. Since each one of us is totally individual, the way this all unfolds is equally individual.

Yogananda said that the most important teaching in his autobiography was the statement of Swami Sriyukteswar Giri: “Everything in future will improve if you are making a spiritual effort now.”

If realization is basically the correct recognition of the truth of myself as the Self and not the ego, how do I do that in the Deep Dream and Dreaming States? Is it just matter of being very stable in that recognition in the Waking State, that I will automatically continue to do so in the Deep Sleep and Dream States?

The waking, dream and dreamless states are fundamentally illusory. They are projections on the screen of our consciousness itself which we call the turiya state. This state is present during the three projected states, but they blind us to its reality and presence.

Meditation alone can shift our awareness into the turiya and in time establish us in it.

To someone who wrote for advice regarding practices different from those I have found legitimate and beneficial for myself and others.

As I am sure you have become aware through the years, religious people never hesitate to dictate to others what they should think and do. This is a grave transgression against the divine Self of each person, whatever their condition or situation. Therefore I hope that you will not mind if I do not “advise” you in the usual manner of leaders and teachers, but only give my thoughts. You should consider them and only accept or adopt them if your intuition tells you to do so. Freedom is a spiritual need, not just a political ideal.

Here are some things I have learned that have been of great benefit to me.

1) Soham Yoga Meditation.
2) A strict vegetarian diet: no meat, fish, eggs or anything that contains them to any degree, including animals fats.
3) Total abstinence from nicotine, alcohol and mind-altering drugs of any sort.
4) Daily study of the Bhagavad Gita, usually a chapter a day.

Regarding Hamsa this is a relevant passage from *Soham Yoga*:

**Why Soham and not Hamsa?**
How is it that the sadhana mantra is Soham and not Hamsa? Certainly the breath goes out with the sound of Ham and in with the sound of So. It is like tick-tock: which comes first? But for yoga practice, the mantra is Soham. This has been the consensus of yogis for centuries. It was also made very clear to me after some months of Soham Yoga practice during prolonged meditation, and will also become known to those who persevere.

The only authoritative text that is mistakenly believed to teach differently is the Vijnana Bhairava, a text of Kashmir Shaivism. Some quote what is supposed to be verse 155a: “Air is exhaled with the sound Sa and inhaled with the sound Ham. Then reciting of the mantra Hansa is continuous.” However this verse is not found in any of the published facsimile or standard print texts of the Vijnana Bhairava. Rather, it is found only in a commentary by Kshemaraja on the Shiva Sutras and attributed to the Vijnana Bhairava. It is sometimes included in modern editions of Vijnana Bhairava. But even if it was really part of the Vijnana Bhairava, why base a yoga practice on the statement of a single book when dozens say otherwise? There is a profound rationale behind the use of Soham instead of Hamsa by the yogis. It is not a matter of which syllable comes first, but which is dominant in the awakening yogi.

Now back to my thoughts today.

What matters very much is the correct polarity of the bodies, including the subtle ones. So on the inhalation and Ham on the exhalation corrects and strengthens the correct polarity of the body. To intone Ham on the inhalation and So [Sa] on the exhalation is to confuse the polarity or even reverse it and cause great harm. This is my personal experience and that of many yogis I have known. Some of them (including me) had practiced that way for years from mistaken loyalty to a guru/yoga cult, and all of them disliked doing it. Many felt that they were suffocating during the practice or that their breathing was inhibited in some way. Yet on they kept doing it because “The Master” had taught it that way. That was their choice, but after years of practice it could no longer be mine: I adopted traditional Soham Sadhana and was both relieved and amazed at the results.

These are my thoughts. The decisions are yours.

Why there is so much similarity between the words Christ and Krishna?
The similarity between the words Christ and Krishna is completely coincidental. “Christ” is a Anglicized version of the Greek word “Christos” which means “Anointed One.” It is the Greek translation of the Hebrew word “Messiah,” which also means Anointed One.

Many gurus declare themselves to be Satgurus. Devotees are worshipping
the guru instead of God. What is your opinion about gurus and guru worshipping?

God alone is Guru; and God alone should be worshipped.

To some people that found after twenty years that the guru they had been following was a fraud.

First of all, please accept my deep and sincere sympathy for this terrible shock that has come to you. I myself followed with total faith more than one false guru and practiced several worthless yogas that led nowhere. So I know your suffering.

It was not any external person or factor that led you to seek God, but your own divine Self. Therefore I ask you to not let this discourage you. In similar situations, many people have simply given up the search for liberated consciousness, but that is a grave mistake. They were seeking God, and God is still there and still to be sought and found.

Please only do what your heart, your inner Self, tells you. But I would like to share with you what I have found to be true:

1) God alone is the Guru. Anyone who claims to be a guru is either sincerely mistaken or a fraud. In no case should they be listened to.

2) You are an irrevocable part of the Infinite being; your divine Self cannot be taken from you. In your inmost being there is always arising the call to return to Infinity.

3) In life we oftentimes seek for something and become disappointed in our failure to gain it. We do not quit. We find another avenue and go on. It should be the same in our spiritual life. We should turn from the mistaken endeavor and keep on seeking. There is nothing else to do, because finding God is the only purpose we shall ever have.

It took me many years and a great deal of disappointment and disillusionment, but finally I found a practice that has proved to be true, and that every day since has blessed my life. I have written about it in my book Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self. You might want to try it, and I will be glad to answer any questions you might have regarding it.

But do not trust my word: trust your own inner guidance. God is yours and you are God’s. Forever.

What do I need to do to get God to answer my prayer?

There is little value in wanting to get God to do what we want. He already knows what is needed, and takes care of it all.

What is of value is our wanting to do what God wants! And since he has manifested this entire universe for the sole purpose of our evolving and attaining perfect knowledge (Brahmajnana/Atmajnana), that is what we should be wanting, as well. The Bhagavad Gita explains it very well, making the conclusion: “Therefore
To someone who wrote, saying that Paramhansa Yogananda was his guru, yet asking me for advice regarding his sadhana that only the guru should be giving to a disciple.

If Yogananda is your guru, then why is he not guiding you? More than one of Yogananda’s direct disciples told me that he adamantly said that someone had to meet the guru in physical form at least once, and at that time establish a relationship with him—not just meet him. As you know, neither SRF nor Ananda follow him in this teaching. But that is why Rajasi Janakananda said very plainly after the Master’s mahasamadhi that that there would be no successor to Yogananda.

Can we consider Soham as a Name of God?

Most certainly. In fact it is the ultimate Name of God according to the Brihadaranyaka Upanishad, which says: “In the beginning this (world) was only the Supreme Self [Paramatman], in the shape of a person. Looking around he saw nothing else than the Self. He first said, ‘I am Soham’ [Soham asmi]” (1:4:1).

And Soham is also our name, as well. At the end of the Isha Upanishad in the sixteenth verse the individual spirit (jiva) is declared to say in its ascent to the Absolute: “I am that Purusha [Spirit-Self]: I am Soham.”

So both Brahman and our Atman (spirit-self) ARE Soham.

Soham is itself the Soham Bhava that is the essential Consciousness of the Paramatman and the jivatman. Here is a section from Chapter One of Soham Yoga: The Yoga of the Self, about Soham Bhava.

Soham Bhava: the beginning and the end

A Brief Sanskrit Glossary defines bhava: “Subjective state of being (existence); attitude of mind; mental attitude or feeling; state of realization in the heart or mind.” Soham Bhava is an eternal element in the essential being of both God and each one of us. Though essentially consciousness, it is also the original (and originating) potential of both the cosmos and each individual sentient being. At the beginning of creation, it becomes an internal movement within Brahman, a stirring, a fecundity, the seed of relative existence, though itself beyond relativity. Soham Bhava is the Original Face which is reflected in each individual spirit. The Soham Bhava is itself Self-knowledge, the Atmajnana. This is because Soham Bhava is the pure consciousness of the Self: Atma Chaitanya. It is the Self whose essential nature is Consciousness. Therefore Soham Yoga is the direct means to Self-realization.

The Soham Breath

To realize its own potential the Soham Bhava becomes the Soham Breath within
all. The Rig Veda says that in the beginning the One “breathed breathlessly.” The Soham Breath is the archetypal breath within God and within all beings and is the uniting principle of the Supreme Being and individual beings.

The Soham Breath is itself Yoga and Yogi. On the cosmic level it is the root or seed that is the beginning of all things, the Holy Breath, the Agia Pneuma, the Holy Spirit, the Holy Light, from which all things proceed and into which all things are ultimately resolved. It is the Primal Breath within all beings that enables them to manifest, evolve and return to their Origin. Throughout eternity Soham is the unfolding story of every one of us, including God. Soham is the root of the breath, the life within each sentient being, ripened and changed from potential to actual, the impulse that is both creation and entry into creation, that which expands and evolves until it manifests in many stages from most subtle to most objective as the physical breath.

First it is the force that impels the individual onto the path that leads into involution (relativity), into experience and identity with increasingly complex forms of manifestation; and it is also the impulse that moves the spirit onto the path of evolution–of growth out of relativity. Second, it is the beginning of duality: a vast chain of constant cycling between two poles–negative and positive–that makes relative existence possible, both as evolving consciousness and evolving organism.

The spirit travels along the path of involution until it reaches the experience of self-awareness and self-reflection (self-analysis) within a human body sufficiently developed to permit and produce that awareness. Then it begins the path of evolution back to infinity. Of course, the two paths are really one; it is only a matter of the direction being taken. The point where involution becomes evolution is a kind of watershed or continental divide. All this is a direct production of the original breath, the Soham Breath which takes place both macrocosmically and microcosmically. This has a profound yogic significance, as the dedicated Soham yogi will perceive.

In yogic treatises we find it stated over and over that the breath is the essence of our existence. Prana means both life and breath in Hinduism and Buddhism. In fact, the word Atman (Self) comes from the root-word at, which means “to breathe.” Other religions also use the same word for both spirit and breath: in Judaism, ruach; in ancient Greek religion and Eastern Christianity, pneuma; in ancient Roman religion and Western Christianity, spiritus which comes from spiro, “I breathe.”

Soham Bhava is the root and Soham Breath is the flower. “So” is the inhalation and “Ham” is the exhalation. In the original stage, “So” produces the inhalation and “Ham” produces the exhalation. But after a few stages along the path to relativity, inhalation produces “So” and exhalation produces “Ham.” Then in the heights of evolution things go back to the original mode. For the breath itself is an extension-manifestation of Soham.

Does the internal repetition of the Soham Mantra create the inner
experience of the Avyakta?
The Avyakta is always with us—actually IS us—but we do not realize or experience that. The japa and meditation of the Soham Mantra in time with the breath (intoning “So” when inhaling and “Ham” when exhaling) in time reveals the eternal presence of the Avyakta.

To someone who asked if Soham sadhana is “meditating on the meaning of Soham.”
The meaning of Soham is incidental. Soham is not a concept, but a bhava, a state of consciousness. The practice of Soham sadhana is not one of affirming “I am That.” As I say, that is incidental. We do not think of the meaning, but use Soham to rediscover our being. The two syllables of Soham are used to reintegrate ourselves with the primal conscious that is our true being. It is their arising from the breath that matters, because we can use them to reinstate ourselves in the original consciousness that is our essential being. Practice reveals this.

To someone who wrote claiming non-dual realization, but asking “What next?”
We are made of many layers stacked up on one another. You may have seen Buddhist statues where the figure has many heads in a pile, getting smaller as they get higher. This is to symbolize that fact that we have many minds and centers of awareness, each one more subtle as we ascend in them.

I have seen that you can keep experiencing the same thing as time passes, but each time you are experiencing it on a higher level of your own consciousness. So no need to wonder what comes next. Often more of the same, but in a more meaningful and insightful manner.

When the comic strip B.C. was in its early days one segment showed a cave man climbing up a mountain. When he got to the top he looked in all four directions. Then, as he was climbing down he said to himself: “So much for the whole world!” But there was more!

I am asking for your opinion and perspective on diksha (what it is, what it is not, and any more relevant wisdom you might share on it). I was previously unaware of this concept, and am curious now and therefore seeking credible perspectives on it.

True diksha (“initiation”) is really only instruction in a spiritual practice, such as the upanayanam, the initiation into the Savitri Gayatri. It is simple and straightforward and usually is an informal conversation.

Then there is the fakery.

Unfortunately diksha has come to mean some transference of power from guru
to disciple. And if a person has developed some abilities in the manipulation of psychic energies he can “zap” someone by a look or a touch and make them believe that he is now their guru who will take them to enlightenment. This is ignorance, superstition and charlatanry of the basest kind. But so is the entire guru/disciple concept which is also enslavement–usually willing enslavement.

A genuine spiritual practice opens the aspirant’s inherent atmic consciousness. A true spiritual teacher imparts knowledge of such a practice and will answer questions as the practice goes one. And that is all. The aspirant will himself apply the practice and develop/evolve his own consciousness. Anything else is, as I say, ignorance, superstition and charlatanry.

Wherever there is talk about “shakti” it is valueless. Consciousness is the goal. There is no doubt that a psychically developed person can implant psychic energies in the subtle bodies of someone and even control them to some extent. This is the unhappy fate of those who follow “shaktipat” gurus. However, as Ma Anandamayi often said: “Getting implies losing.” Therefore in time the energies are dispelled from the person, but continued contact with the false guru can keep perpetuating them.

So, whatever it is called: diksha, shaktipat, grace, mercy, or “deeksha” (this is really nuts–see http://deekshadanger.weebly.com/), the word to the wise is: Avoid. And maybe Run.

To someone who asked about a yogi’s personal dharma and its fulfillment when there seem to be conflicts between his dharma as a yogi and his dharma in following a profession in the world.

Dharma is vast, never just one little point in our life. The observance of yama and niyama is the fundamental dharma of everyone. Then there is our swadharma which is based on our own personality and karma. And the ultimate swadharma of every human being is to realize the Self. Of course those that are adharmic can always find specious reasonings to twist these principles–or ignore them.

Never does a yogi allow anything to take priority over his spiritual life. Yet he must be able to fulfill his outer obligations. If there is continual conflict, though, then he should seriously consider changing his profession.

To someone who asked if the practice of Soham Yoga was itself sufficient in leading a spiritual life.

Yes, the japa and meditation of Soham and the observance of yama and niyama are sufficient for spiritual life. Most other things considered spiritual are irrelevant and distracting. Of course such things as spiritual reading are very beneficial.

The purpose of Soham Yoga is the simultaneous realization of the yogi’s individual Self and the Supreme Self, God. Dedication to the practice is definitely dedication to God.
Soham is the fundamental vibration/consciousness of both the Divine Absolute and the individual spirit. It is the true, eternal Name of God and our own Self.

Please read Soham Yoga carefully and completely, and note anything you would like to ask about.

To someone who wrote asking how I viewed the Bible, since my writings contained quotations from it.

In considering the Bible we have to always remember that we are reading a translation which naturally embodies the perspective of the translator(s) however sincerely they intended a correct rendering. Secondly, we know that the text was revised after Christianity became a state religion to conform to the prevailing (imperial) ideas. For example, the Holy Spirit was always referred to as “She,” but She got a sex change in the “new” Christianity and became a “He.” And of course we must realize that the Bible is not an infallible book inspired/written by the Holy Spirit.

Sri Ramakrishna said that all scriptures are like a mixture of sugar and (white) sand. Just an ant can tell the difference, so we should take the sugar and leave the sand. So wherever we find wisdom a scripture can be cited, but with the foregoing reservations.

This is why basing our beliefs exclusively on scriptures is not a wise thing to do. As Krishna told Arjuna: “Become a yogi.” Then we can become ourselves a holy scripture.

We read in your books that Jesus and Mother Mary are the reincarnated Adam and Eve. Due to the fall of Adam and Eve, the door to heaven was closed. “No one escaped the effect of Adam’s disobedience!” Jesus and Mary paid back their karmic debt 2000 years ago and reopened the door to heaven. So, if all humans were trapped after Adam and Eve, how could Jesus and Mary get enlightenment?

“Heaven” means the entire range of astral and causal worlds above the earth plane. Jesus and Mary, Adam and Eve, were banished from Paradise, the next (astral) world up from the earth. There are many streams of evolution in relative creation, and Paradise was the next level for the evolution of human beings. Entrance to Paradise was temporarily blocked, but those who were ready for Paradise entered an intermediate level created for them by the Creator Mothers when they made the human physical bodies for Adam and Eve to inhabit. It was a place of blessedness, of peace and light, where those who had evolved beyond human consciousness remained until Paradise would be reopened. By our standards it was heavenly, and they experienced no discontent, being made aware by the Mothers that Paradise would eventually be opened to them. Being beyond the experience of earthly time,
they simply lived in light and peace, contentedly awaiting the reopening of Paradise.

Did no other humans get enlightenment before Jesus came on earth?
Certainly people evolved beyond the need for earthly life, and they entered the realm I have just described. And evolved there.

What about Krishna and Buddha?
Krishna and Buddha were great siddhas that had evolved to that level before the creation cycle in which Adam and Eve lived. They descended to earth to inspire and teach human beings to evolve to the level beyond earthly evolution. They called them to the life in the world provided by the Creator Mothers (Matrikas) beyond compulsory rebirth and earthly illusion. It was a blessed experience, and in those realms millions of years seem like only moments. So they in no way felt trapped—only waiting with the assurance that they would move on at the right time.

Such avatars as Krishna and Buddha can enter any world beyond the earth plane they wish to manifest in for the spiritual benefit of others. Those from higher worlds came into the earth plane to assist in the growth of those developed enough to consciously begin evolving themselves. But consider the world today: who is striving for higher life and consciousness? So it was not a matter of people being trapped, for the intermediate world had been created for them. But how many on the earth evolved themselves enough to go there? The Mothers knew what they were doing.

In your book *Robe of Light*, speaking about cosmology, you mention a Divine outbreathing of new souls at the beginning of each creation cycle. In your cosmology you speak of cyclic creations where new souls are descending into matter. So the animals of today are the ascending jivas from the creation cycle, that follows our previous creation cycle where we became humans.

All jivas incarnate in many creation cycles. Every sentient being, and potentially sentient beings such as plants, evolve through a great number of creation cycles before attaining total liberation. Remember that all the higher worlds beyond this one are also subject to the cycles of creation, though some of the highest worlds do not always dissolve at the end of all cycles, but in the Maha Pralaya every single world does dissolve.

In India we learned from Swami N. that all beings were created simultaneously from the very beginning.
All jivas have existed from eternity. There has never been a beginning and there will never be an end. “Creation” has never occurred. Only manifestation of what has
What about a descending evolution, where humans reincarnate as an animal or even as a plant? Is it possible that some souls need to start over all again from gas to mineral, to plant?

There is indeed a process of devolution when negativity has dissolved much or all that was positive. And if the negativity is total, the jiva goes back to the beginning and start over. But no one is ever “damned” or “lost.”

I wonder whether it is possible to lose one’s connection with the Divine through offences. Have you come across examples of this terrible subject?

It is impossible to lose your connection with the Divine because in your essential nature you are the Divine, although finite. Although you cannot say you are the Infinite, any more than a wave can claim to be the ocean, yet in a manner incomprehensible to the human intellect there is no difference between you and the Divine, though there is a distinction. This can be comprehended through meditation practice.

The great problem is that when we identify with the relative aspect of ourselves which is changeable and even perishable, our consciousness becomes darkened and we lose our original mind—the consciousness of our true nature. As a consequence we experience isolation from the Divine, even alienation. We are like sleepwalkers dreaming we are someone or something other than we really are. Such a state will be completely real to us and we will believe it absolutely. Because of ignorant religion we may come to believe that we are cut off from Divinity, lost and even damned. We can even believe that Divinity does not exist and in our weariness and pain wish that we ourselves did not exist. We can even think that Nirvana is Extinction. No matter how philosophical and complex we can formulate our unbelief, it gives us no relief because we are denying our fundamental Self.

So we come back to the fact that the opening and restoration of our consciousness is completely a matter of the interior awakening experienced through meditation. Unless you prefer another approach, I recommend the practice presented in my book Soham Yoga: the Yoga of the Self.

Having myself gone through the “dark night of the soul” I understand and sympathize with the state you describe. Fortunately it is a mirage arising from unawareness which is dispelled by true awareness.

Later, after hearing from the same person who asked the above:

Certainly you should deeply regret the things you mention. But you say: “I wonder if some force or energy took over my mind,” which implies that you rightly understand that the problem was your mind—not your essential being. The mind and heart and life can be greatly alienated from awareness of the true nature, the
Buddha nature, but the person cannot be cut off from it totally because that is its true nature, also. Otherwise the person would cease to exist.

External absolution or assurance really mean very little, for they, like the transgressions, are from the mind. The mind (not the principle of consciousness) is not the reality of our existence. Contact with the inner, eternal consciousness alone can purify and restore the mind. Therefore I urge you to take up meditation in earnest. There is no other way. Everything else is a distraction and ultimately seen to be fundamentally unreal. For Nirvana is elimination of the unreal and the full awareness of the Real.

Not being aware of the Presence of God is not the same thing as God not being there. That makes our little ego-mind the center and controller of everything, which is the fundamental delusion of Protestant Christianity. Thinking one is “saved” or “damned” is completely irrelevant. What we have been eternally is what we are now and shall be forever. Everything else drops away in time and reveals its unreality.

There is really nothing more I can say, since these matters are totally individual, and only the individual can free himself from the maze of samsara.
Abhaya(m): “Without fear;” fearlessness; a state of steadfastness in which one is not swayed by fear of any kind.

Acharya: Preceptor; teacher; spiritual teacher; guide; guru.

Adharma: Unrighteousness; demerit, failure to perform one’s proper duty; unrighteous action; lawlessness; absence of virtue; all that is contrary to righteousness (dharma).

Adhyatma: The individual Self; the supreme Self; spirit.

Adhyatmika: Adhyatmic; pertaining to the Self (Atman or Jivatman), individual and Supreme (Paramatman).

Advaita: Non-dualism; non-duality; literally: not [a] two [dvaita].

Advaita Vedanta: The teaching that there is only One Reality (Brahman-Atman), as found in the Upanishads. Non-dualistic philosophy, especially that of Shankara.

Advaitic: Non-dual; having to do with the philosophy of Advaita (Non-Dualism).

Agni: Fire; Vedic god of fire.

Ahimsa: Non-injury in thought, word, and deed; non-violence; non-killing; harmlessness.

Ajna chakra: “Command Wheel.” Energy center located at the point between the eyebrows, the “third eye.” The seat of the mind. The medulla center opposite the point between the eyebrows, having two “petals” or rays.

Akasha: Ether; space; sky; literally: “not visible.” The subtlest of the five elements (panchabhuta), from which the other four arise. It is all-pervading, and is sometimes identified with consciousness—chidakasha. It is the basis of sound (shabda), which is its particular property.

Anahata: “Unstruck;” “unbeaten.” Continuous bell-like inner resonance; the heart; the heart chakra; the inner divine melody (mystic sounds heard by the Yogis); supernatural sound; So’ham.

Anahata chakra: “Unstruck.” Energy center located in the spine at the point opposite the center of the chest (sternum bone). Seat of the Air element.

Anandamayi Ma: One of the major spiritual figures in twentieth-century India, first made known to the West by Paramhansa Yogananda in his Autobiography of a Yogi.

Annapurna: “Full of Food.” A title of the Goddess (Shakti) depicted as the Goddess of Food and Abundance. The consort of Shiva.

Anushthana: Observance; religious exercise; repetition of a mantra for a set number of times during a given period; systematic performance of religious practices, usually undertaken for some definite period of time.

Apa(h): Water.
**Aparigraha:** Non-possessiveness, non-greed, non-selfishness, non-acquisitiveness; freedom from covetousness; non-receiving of gifts conducive to luxury.

**Apsara:** A celestial damsel, nymph, and dancer.

**Arjuna:** The great disciple of Krishna, who imparted to him the teachings found in the Bhagavad Gita. The third of the Pandava brothers who were major figures in the Mahabharata War. His name literally means “bright,” “white,” or “clear.”

**Arya(n):** One who is an Arya—literally, “one who strives upward.” Both Arya and Aryan are exclusively psychological terms having nothing whatsoever to do with birth, race, or nationality. In his teachings Buddha habitually referred to spiritually qualified people as “the Aryas.” Although in English translations we find the expressions: “The Four Noble Truths,” and “The Noble Eightfold Path,” Buddha actually said: “The Four Aryan Truths,” and “The Eightfold Aryan Path.”

**Ashram(a):** A place for spiritual discipline and study, usually a monastic residence.

**Ashtanga Yoga:** The “eight-limbed” Yoga of Patanjali consisting of yama, niyama, asana, pranayama, pratyahara, dharana, dhyana, and samadhi (see separate entries for each “limb”).

**Asteya:** Non-stealing; honesty; non-misappropriativeness.

**Asura:** Demon; evil being (a-sura: without the light).

**Atma-darshan:** The seeing or sight of the Self (Atman); the vision of the Self; knowledge of the Self through direct vision or knowing; the vision of seeing everything as the Self.

**Atmajnana:** Direct knowledge of the Self; Brahma-Jnana.

**Atma(n):** The individual spirit or Self that is one with Brahman. The true nature or identity.

**Avatar(a):** A fully liberated spirit (jiva) who is born into a world below Satya Loka to help others attain liberation. Though commonly referred to as a divine incarnation, an avatar actually is totally one with God, and therefore an incarnation of God-Consciousness.

**Bhagavad Gita:** “The Song of God.” The sacred philosophical text often called “the Hindu Bible,” part of the epic Mahabharata by Vyasa; the most popular sacred text in Hinduism.

**Bhava:** Subjective state of being (existence); attitude of mind; mental attitude or feeling; state of realization in the heart or mind.

**Bhumi:** The earth; ground; region; place.

**Brahma:** The Creator (Prajapati) of the three worlds of men, angels, and archangels (Bhur, Bhuwah, and Swah); the first of the created beings; Hiranyagarbha or cosmic intelligence.

**Brahma Sutras:** A treatise by Vyasa on Vedanta philosophy in the form of aphorisms. Also called the Vedanta Sutras or Vedanta Darshana.

**Brahmachari(n):** One who observes continence; a celibate student in the first stage of life (ashrama); a junior monk.
**Brahmacharya:** Conti...
evil—physical and metaphysical. Considered the consort, the shakti, of Shiva.

**Dvaita:** Dual; duality; dualism.

**Dwandwa(s):** The pairs of opposites inherent in nature (prakriti) such as pleasure and pain, hot and cold, light and darkness, gain and loss, victory and defeat, love and hatred.

**Dwapara Yuga:** See Yuga.

**Eka(m):** One.

**Ekam-evam-advitiyam:** “One, only, without a second.” A description of Brahman.

**Gandharva:** A demigod—a celestial musician and singer.

**Ganesha:** The elephant-headed son of Shiva and Parvati; the remover of obstacles; lord (pati) of the ganas (spirits that always accompany Shiva); god of wisdom; god of beginnings; the granter of success in spiritual and material life; in ritual worship he is worshipped first, and is therefore known as Adi-deva, the First God.

**Ganga:** See Ganges.

**Ganges (Ganga):** The sacred river—believed to be of divine origin—that flows from high up in the Himalayas, through the plains of Northern India, and empties into the Bay of Bengal. Hindus consider that bathing in the Ganges profoundly purifies both body and mind.

**Gayatri Mantra:** A Rig Vedic mantra in the gayatri meter invoking the solar powers of evolution and enlightenment, recited at sunrise and sunset.

**Gorakhnath/Gorakshanath:** A master yogi of the Nath Yogi (Siddha Yogi) tradition. His dates are not positively known, but he seems to have lived for many centuries and travelled throughout all of India, Bhutan, Tibet, and Ladakh teaching philosophy and yoga.

**Guna:** Quality, attribute, or characteristic arising from nature (Prakriti) itself; a mode of energy behavior. As a rule, when “guna” is used it is in reference to the three qualities of Prakriti, the three modes of energy behavior that are the basic qualities of nature, and which determine the inherent characteristics of all created things. They are: 1) sattwa—purity, light, harmony; 2) rajas—activity, passion; and 3) tamas—dullness, inertia, and ignorance.

**Hardwar:** “The Gateway to Hari,” a holy city in north-central India where the Ganges river flows into the plains.

**Ichcha shakti:** The power of desire; the power of the will; Shakti in the aspect of omnipotent Divine Will.

**Ida:** The subtle channel that extends from the base of the spine to the medulla on the left side of the spine.

**Indra:** King of the lesser “gods” (demigods); the ruler of heaven (Surendra Loka); the rain-god.

**Isha:** The Lord; Ishwara.

**Isha Nath:** The monastic name of Jesus in India as a member of the Nath Yogi Sampradaya.
**Ishta-devata:** Beloved deity. The deity preferred above all others by an individual. “Chosen ideal” is the usual English translation.

**Ishwara:** “God” or “Lord” in the sense of the Supreme Power, Ruler, Master, or Controller of the cosmos. “Ishwara” implies the powers of omnipotence, omnipresence, and omniscience.

**Ishwarapranidhana:** Offering of one’s life to God (Ishwara).

**Jada Bharata:** A king of ancient India who became so fond of a deer that he was thinking of it intently at the time of death and was reborn as a deer though with full awareness of his previous life.

**Jagannath Puri:** A pilgrim city on the east coast of India in Orissa State where multitudes come daily to worship Krishna in the temple of Jagannath (Lord of the World).

**Jagrat:** The waking state.

**Janaka:** The royal sage (raja rishi) who was the king of Mithila and a liberated yogi, a highly sought-after teacher of philosophy in ancient India. Sita, the wife of Rama, was his adopted daughter.

**Japa:** Repetition of a mantra.

**Jivanmukta:** One who is liberated here and now in this present life.

**Jivatma(n):** Individual spirit; individual consciousness.

**Jnana:** Knowledge; knowledge of Reality—of Brahman, the Absolute; also denotes the process of reasoning by which the Ultimate Truth is attained. The word is generally used to denote the knowledge by which one is aware of one’s identity with Brahman.

**Kali:** “The Black One;” the black-skinned goddess who emerged from the body of Goddess Durga to defeat the demons that were attacking her. She wears a garland of skulls (or severed heads) around her neck and a skirt of severed arms—both symbolizing the sense of egotism. In one hand she wields the sword of spiritual wisdom (prajna) and in the other carries a severed head (ego). Despite her fearsome appearance, her two other hands are held in the gestures (mudras) that indicate: “Fear not” and “Draw near.”

**Kali Yuga:** The dark age of spiritual and moral decline, said to be current now. See Yuga.

**Kalpa:** A Day of Brahma—4,320,000,000 years. It alternates with a Night of Brahma of the same length. He lives hundred such years. Brahma’s life is known as Para, being of a longer duration than the life of any other being, and a half of it is called Parardha. He has now completed the first Parardha and is in the first day of the second Parardha. This day or Kalpa is known as Svetavarahakalpa. In the Day of Brahma creation is manifest and in the Night of Brahma is it resolved into its causal state.

**Kama:** Desire; passion; lust.

**Kamadhenu:** Wish-fulfilling cow produced at the churning of the milk ocean.

**Karma:** Karma, derived from the Sanskrit root *kri*, which means to act, do, or make, means any kind of action, including thought and feeling. It also means the
effects of action. Karma is both action and reaction, the metaphysical equivalent of the principle: “For every action there is an equal and opposite reaction.” “Whatsoever a man soweth, that shall he also reap” (Galatians 6:7). It is karma operating through the law of cause and effect that binds the jiva or the individual soul to the wheel of birth and death. There are three forms of karma: sanchita, agami, and prarabdha. Sanchita karma is the vast store of accumulated actions done in the past, the fruits of which have not yet been reaped. Agami karma is the action that will be done by the individual in the future. Prarabdha karma is the action that has begun to fructify, the fruit of which is being reaped in this life.

**Karmakanda:** The ritual portion of the Veda. The philosophy that Vedic ritual is the only path to perfection.

**Kinnara:** A celestial musician.

**Kirtan:** Singing the names and praises of God; devotional chanting.

**Kosha:** Sheath; bag; scabbard; a sheath enclosing the soul; body. There are five such concentric sheaths or bodies: the sheaths of bliss, intellect, mind, life-force and the physical body--the anandamaya, jnanamaya, manomaya, pranamaya and annamaya bodies respectively.

**Krishna:** An avatar born in India about three thousand years ago, Whose teachings to His disciple Arjuna on the eve of the Great India (Mahabharata) War comprise the Bhagavad Gita.

**Kriya Yoga:** The Yoga of Purification: “Austerity (tapasya), self-study (swadhyaya), and offering of the life to God (Ishwara pranidhana) are Kriya Yoga” (Yoga Sutras 2:1).

**Krodha:** Anger, wrath; fury.

**Kshatriya:** A member of the ruler/warrior caste.

**Kundalini:** The primordial cosmic conscious/energy located in the individual; it is usually thought of as lying coiled up like a serpent at the base of the spine.

**Kutastha:** Immutable; absolutely changeless; not subject to change; literally: “summit abiding” or “on the summit.” He who is found without exception in all creatures from Brahma or the creator down to ants and Who is shining as the Self and dwells as witness to the intellect of all creatures; rock-seated; unchanging; another name for Brahman.

**Lahiri Mahasaya:** Shyama Charan Lahiri, one of the greatest yogis of nineteenth-century India, written about extensively in *Autobiography of a Yogi* by Paramhansa Yogananda.

**Lakshmi:** The consort of Vishnu; the goddess of wealth and prosperity.

**Lila:** Play; sport; divine play; the cosmic play. The concept that creation is a play of the divine, existing for no other reason than for the mere joy of it. The life of an avatar is often spoken of as lila.

**Linga:** Mark; gender; sign; symbol. Usually a reference to a column-like or egg-shaped symbol of Shiva.

**Loka:** World or realm; sphere, level, or plane of existence, whether physical, astral, or causal.
**Madhvacharya:** The thirteenth century Vaishnava founder and expounder of the Dvaita (Dualist) Vedanta philosophy.

**Mahabharata:** The world’s longest epic poem (110,00 verses) about the Mahabharata (Great Indian) War that took place about three thousand years ago. The Mahabharata also includes the Bhagavad Gita, the most popular sacred text of Hinduism.

**Mahabhutas:** The Five Elements (Panchabhuta): ether (akasha), air (vayu), fire (agni), water (ap), and earth (prithvi).

**Mahashakti:** The Great Power; the divine creative energy.

**Manas(a):** The sensory mind; the perceiving faculty that receives the messages of the senses.

**Mandir(a):** Temple; abode.

**Manipura chakra:** Energy center located in the spine at the point opposite the navel. Seat of the Fire element.

**Mantra(m):** Sacred syllable or word or set of words through the repetition and reflection of which one attains perfection or realization of the Self. Literally, “a transforming thought” (manat trayate). A mantra, then is a sound formula that transforms the consciousness.

**Maya:** The illusive power of Brahman; the veiling and the projecting power of the universe, the power of Cosmic Illusion. “The Measurer”—a reference to the two delusive “measures,” Time and Space.

**Mleccha:** Foreigner; an alien; barbarian; non-Aryan.

**Moksha:** Release; liberation; the term is particularly applied to the liberation from the bondage of karma and the wheel of birth and death; Absolute Experience.

**Mrityunjaya:** Conquerer of death; one of the names of Lord Siva.

**Mukti:** Moksha; liberation.

**Muladhara chakra:** “Seat of the root.” Energy center located at the base of the spine. Seat of the Earth element.

**Mulamantra:** Root Mantra; the powerful and the most important of the Mantras of any deity.

**Murti:** Image; statue; idol; figure; embodiment.

**Naraka:** Hell. In Sanatana Dharma’s cosmology there are many hells according to the karma of those dwelling in them before being reincarnated.

**Nasikagram:** The origin of the nose (nasik). Agram means beginning, top, tip and the nearest end. Although in translations of texts such as the Bhagavad Gita (6:13), “tip [end] of the nose” is often the translation of nasikagram, some yogis insist that the top of the nose is meant and that the eyes must be turned upward in meditation. This is in harmony with Bhagavad Gita 5:27 where the yogi is told to turn up the eyes toward the two eyebrows.

**Nath Yogi:** A member of the Nath Yogi Sampradaya.

**Nath Yogi Sampradaya:** An ancient order of yogis, sometimes called Siddha Yogis, claiming Patanjali, Gorakhnath, Jnaneshwar and Jesus (Isha Nath) among their master teachers.
Nityananda (Paramhansa): A great Master of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, and the most renowned Nath Yogi of our times. His Chidakasha Gita contains some of the most profound statements on philosophy and yoga.

Neti-neti: “Not this, not this.” The way of describing the indescribable Brahman by enumerating what It is not; the analytical process of progressively negating all names and forms, in order to arrive at the eternal underlying Truth.

Nirakara: Without form.

Nirguna: Without attributes or qualities (gunas).

Nirguna Brahman: The impersonal, attributeless Absolute beyond all description or designation.

Nirodha: Restraint; restriction; suppression; dissolving/dissolution; cessation; disappearance; control inhibition; annihilation; process of ending.

Nirvana: Liberation; final emancipation; the term is particularly applied to the liberation from the bondage of karma and the wheel of birth and death that comes from knowing Brahman; Absolute Experience. See Moksha.

Niyama: Observance; the five Do’s of Yoga: 1) shaucha–purity, cleanliness; 2) santosha–contentment, peacefulness; 3) tapas–austerity, practical (i.e., result-producing) spiritual discipline; 4) swadhyaya–self-study, spiritual study; 5) Ishwarapranidhana–offering of one’s life to God.

Om: The Pranava or the sacred syllable symbolizing and embodying Brahman.

Omkara: Om.

Panchabhuta: The Five Elements (Mahabhuta): ether (akasha), air (vayu), fire (agni), water (ap), and earth (prithvi).

Parabrahman: Supreme Brahman.

Paramatma(n): The Supreme Self, God.

Parameshwara: The Supreme (Param) Lord (eshwara; Ishwara).

Paramhansa Yogananda: See Yogananda.

Patanjali: A yogi of ancient India, a Nath Yogi and the author of the Yoga Sutras.

Pingala: The subtle channel that extends from the base of the spine to the medulla on the right side of the spine.

Prajapati: Progenitor; the Creator; a title of Brahma the Creator.

Prakriti: Causal matter; the fundamental power (shakti) of God from which the entire cosmos is formed; the root base of all elements; undifferentiated matter; the material cause of the world. Also known as Pradhana. Prakriti can also mean the entire range of vibratory existence (energy).

Prana: Life; vital energy; life-breath; life-force; inhalation. In the human body the prana is divided into five forms: 1) Prana, the prana that moves upward; 2) Apana: The prana that moves downward, producing the excretory functions in general. 3) Vyana: The prana that holds prana and apana together and produces circulation in the body. 4) Samana: The prana that carries the grosser material of food to the apana and brings the subtler material to each limb; the general force of digestion. 5) Udana: The prana which brings up or carries down what has been drunk or eaten; the general force of assimilation.
Pranamaya kosha: “The sheath of vital air (prana).” The sheath consisting of vital forces and the (psychic) nervous system, including the karmendriyas.

Pranava: A title of Om, meaning “Life-ness” or “Life-Giver.” Om is the expression or controller of prana—the life force within the individual being and the cosmos.

Pranayama: Control of the subtle life forces, often by means of special modes of breathing. Therefore breath control or breathing exercises are usually mistaken for pranayama. It also means the refining (making subtle) of the breath, and its lengthening through spontaneous slowing down of the respiratory rate.

Prithivi: The element of earth with density and fragrance as its characteristic features.

Punya: Merit; virtue; meritorious acts; virtuous deeds.

Purana: Literally “The Ancient.” The Puranas are a number of scriptures attributed to the sage Vyasa that teach spiritual principles and practices through stories about sacred historical personages which often include their teachings given in conversations.

Purna: Full; complete; infinite; absolute; Brahman.

Purusha: “Person” in the sense of a conscious spirit. Both God and the individual spirits are purushas, but God is the Adi (Original, Archetypal) Purusha, Parama (Highest) Purusha, and the Purushottama (Highest or Best of the Purushas).

Radha: The beloved of Sri Krishna during his early life in Brindaban; an incarnation of the divine feminine as Krishna is an incarnation of the divine masculine. Though her role (lila) was highly symbolic, nevertheless she was not a myth but a very real person. Furthermore, since she and Krishna were both children, their love for one another and their interaction was thoroughly spiritual and sacred. Any other depiction or interpretation is erroneous.

Raja Yoga: See Ashtanga Yoga.

Rajas: Activity, passion, desire for an object or goal.

Rama: An incarnation of God—the king of ancient Ayodhya in north-central India. His life is recorded in the ancient epic Ramayana.

Rama Tirtha: One the key spiritual figures in late nineteenth and early twentieth century India. A former university professor of mathematics in the Punjab, Swami Rama Tirtha traveled throughout India and even to Japan and America, preaching the truths of Advaita Vedanta and vigorously teaching the practice of Om Yoga.

Ramakrishna, Sri: Sri Ramakrishna lived in India in the second half of the nineteenth century, and is regarded by all India as a perfectly enlightened person—and by many as an Incarnation of God.

Ramana: Enjoyer; one who enjoys or delights in something.

Ramana Maharshi: A great sage of the twentieth century who lived in Arunachala in South India. He taught the path of Self-Inquiry (Atma Vichara) wherein the person simply turns his awareness within with the unspoken question—
the attitude—of “Who am I?” until the self (Atman) is revealed.

**Ramanuja (Sri):** The great Vaishnava teacher of the eleventh century who formulated the philosophy known as Vishishtadvaita Vedanta (Qualified Non-Dualism).

**Ramayana:** The great Sanskrit epic poem by the sage Valmiki describing the life of Rama, the king of ancient Ayodhya in north-central India, who is regarded as an incarnation of God. The renowned Hindi devotional poem by the saint Tulsidas, also on the life of Rama.

**Rishi:** Sage; seer of the Truth.

**Sadhaka:** One who practices spiritual discipline—sadhana—particularly meditation.

**Sadhana:** Spiritual practice.

**Saguna:** Possessing attributes or qualities (gunas).

**Saguna Brahman:** Brahman with attributes, such as mercy, omnipotence, omniscience, etc.; the Absolute conceived as the Creator, Preserver, and Destroyer of the universe; also the Personal God according to the Vedanta.

**Sahasrara:** The “thousand-petalled lotus” of the brain. The highest center of consciousness, the point at which the spirit (Atman) and the bodies (koshas) are integrated and from which they are disengaged.

**Sakara:** With form.

**Samadhi:** The state of superconsciousness where Absoluteness is experienced attended with all-knowledge and joy; Oneness; here the mind becomes identified with the object of meditation; the meditator and the meditated, thinker and thought become one in perfect absorption of the mind.

**Sampradaya:** Tradition; philosophical school; literally: “handed-down instruction;” also a line of initiatic empowerment.

**Samsara:** Life through repeated births and deaths; the wheel of birth and death; the process of earthly life.

**Samskara (1):** Impression in the mind, either conscious or subconscious, produced by action or experience in this or previous lives; propensities of the mental residue of impressions; subliminal activators; prenatal tendency.

**Samskara (2):** A ritual that makes an impression or change in the individual for whom it is done. There are sixteen samskaras prescribed by the dharma shastras, beginning with conception (garbhadan) and concluding with the rite for the departed soul (antyshthi). The major ones besides these two are the birth rite (jatakarman), naming ceremony (namakaranam), the first eating of solid food (annaprasannam), the first cutting of the hair (chudakaraman), bestowal of the sacred thread and instruction in the Gayatri mantra (upanayanam), marriage (vivahananam), taking up of the retired life (vanaprastha), and taking up the monastic life (sannyasa). They are all done at points in the person’s life when significant changes in the subtle energy bodies are going to take place. Thus the samskara protects and strengthens the individual at those times and also prepares him for those changes, making actual alterations in his subtle bodies. Although they are
often made social occasions, they are very real instruments of change to facilitate and further the person’s personal evolution. They are the linchpins of dharmic life, and essentially spiritual events.

**Sanatana Dharma:** “The Eternal Religion,” also known as “Arya Dharma,” “the religion of those who strive upward [Aryas].” Hinduism.

**Sanatana Dharmi:** One who follows Sanatana Dharma.

**Sankalpa:** A life-changing wish, desire, volition, resolution, will, determination, or intention—not a mere momentary aspiration, but an empowering act of will that persists until the intention is fully realized. It is an act of spiritual, divine creative will inherent in each person as a power of the Atma.

**Sankhya:** One of the six orthodox systems of Hindu philosophy whose originator was the sage Kapila, Sankhya is the original Vedic philosophy, endorsed by Krishna in the Bhagavad Gita (Gita 2:39; 3:3, 5; 18:13, 19), the second chapter of which is entitled “Sankhya Yoga.” A *Ramakrishna-Vedanta Wordbook* says: “Sankhya postulates two ultimate realities, Purusha and Prakriti. Declaring that the cause of suffering is man’s identification of Purusha with Prakriti and its products, Sankhya teaches that liberation and true knowledge are attained in the supreme consciousness, where such identification ceases and Purusha is realized as existing independently in its transcendental nature.” Not surprisingly, then, Yoga is based on the Sankhya philosophy.

**Sannyas(a):** Renunciation; monastic life. Sannyasa literally means “total throwing away,” in the sense of absolute rejection of worldly life, ways and attitudes. True sannyas is based on viveka and vairagya. It is not just a mode of external life, but a profound insight and indifference to the things of the world and the world itself—not the world of God’s creation, but the world of human ignorance, illusion, folly and suffering which binds all sentient beings to the wheel of continual birth and death. The sannyasi’s one goal is liberation through total purification and enlightenment. His creed is Shankara’s renowned Vedanta in Half a Verse: “Brahman is real. The world is illusion. The jiva is none other than Brahman.”

**Sannyasi(n):** A renunciate; a monk.

**Sanskrit:** The language of the ancient sages of India and therefore of the Indian scriptures and yoga treatises.

**Santosha:** Contentment; joy; happiness; peacefulness.

**Sarada Devi (“Holy Mother”):** The virgin-wife of Sri Ramakrishna, and a great teacher in her own right, considered by many to be an incarnation of the Mother aspect of God.

**Saraswati:** The goddess of speech, wisdom, learning and the arts—particularly music.

**Sat:** Existence; reality; truth; being; a title of Brahman, the Absolute or Pure Being.

**Satchidananda:** Existence-Knowledge-Bliss Absolute; Brahman.

**Satsang(a):** Literally: “company with Truth.” Association with godly-minded persons. The company of saints and devotees.
Sattwa Guna: Quality of light, purity, harmony, and  Satya(m): Truth; the Real; Brahman, or the Absolute; truthfulness; honesty.

Satya Yuga: See Yuga.

Shakti: Power; energy; force; the Divine Power of becoming; the apparent dynamic aspect of Eternal Being; the Absolute Power or Cosmic Energy; the Divine Feminine.

Shaktipata: Descent of power (through Upasana).

Shankara(charya): Shankaracharya; Adi (the first) Shankaracharya: The great reformer and re-establisher of Vedic Religion in India around 500 B.C. He is the unparalleled exponent of Advaita (Non-Dual) Vedanta. He also reformed the mode of monastic life and founded (or regenerated) the ancient Swami Order. Shankara Shankaracharya Adi Shankaracharya

Sharanagati: One who has taken refuge or shelter, or Sharanam: Refuge; protection, shelter.

Shastra: Scripture; spiritual treatise.

Shaucha: Purity; cleanliness.

Shiva: A name of God meaning “One Who is all Bliss and the giver of happiness to all.” Although classically applied to the Absolute Brahman, Shiva can also refer to God (Ishwara) in His aspect of Dissolver and Liberator (often mistakenly thought of as “destroyer”).

Siddha: A perfected–liberated–being, an adept, a seer, a perfect yogi.

Siddhi: Spiritual perfection; psychic power; power; modes of success; attainment; accomplishment; achievement; mastery; supernatural power attained through mantra, meditation, or other yogic practices. From the verb root sidh–to attain.

Sita: The consort of Rama, an avatara of the Divine Mother aspect of God.

Sri: Holy; sacred; excellent; venerated (venerable); revered; a term of respect similar to “Reverend.” Also: prosperity, glory, and success–and therefore an epithet for Lakshmi, the goddess of wealth and abundance, the consort of Vishnu. It is often used as an honorific prefix to the name of deities and holy persons to indicate holiness (Sri Krishna, Sri Swami N., etc.). Also used as the equivalent of the English “Mr.” (Srimati would be the equivalent of “Mrs.”)

Sukhadeva: The son of Vyasa who was liberated before coming into incarnation. He is considered a supreme renunciate, a perfect avadhuta.

Surya: The sun; the presiding deity of the sun, sometimes identified with Vishnu (Surya-Narayana) or the Absolute Brahman.

Sushumna: A subtle passage in the midst of the spinal column, corresponding to the spinal cord, that extends from the base of the spine to the medulla oblongata in the head.

Sushupti: The dreamless sleep state.

Sutra: Literally: a thread; an aphorism with minimum words and maximum sense; a terse sentence; in Buddhism, an entire scripture.

Swadharma: One’s own natural (innate) duty (dharma, based on their karma
and samskara. One's own prescribed duty in life according to the eternal law (ritam).

**Swadhishtana chakra:** Energy center located in the spine a little less than midway between the base of the spine and the area opposite the navel. Seat of the Water element.

**Swadhyaya:** Introspective self-study or self-analysis leading to self-understanding. Study of spiritual texts regarding the Self.

**Sivananda (Swami):** A great twentieth-century Master, founder of the worldwide Divine Life Society, whose books on spiritual life and religion are widely circulated in the West as well as in India.

**Swapna:** The dream state; a dream.

**Tantra:** A manual of, or a particular path of, sadhana laying great stress upon japa of a mantra and other esoteric practices relating to the powers latent in the human complex of physical, astral, and causal bodies in relation to the cosmic Power usually thought as the Divine Feminine.

**Tapas:** See tapasya.

**Tapasya:** Austerity; practical (i.e., result-producing) spiritual discipline; spiritual force. Literally it means the generation of heat or energy, but is always used in a symbolic manner, referring to spiritual practice and its effect, especially the roasting of karmic seeds, the burning up of karma.

**Tapaswi(n):** Ascetic; one who is practising Tapas.

**Trailanga Swami:** One of the most renowned and miraculous yogis of the nineteenth century, who was over three hundred and fifty years old. He lived during the latter years of his life in Varanasi (Benares).

**Treta Yuga:** See Yuga.

**Trimurti:** “The three forms”–Brahma, Vishnu, and Shiva, the Hindu “Trinity.”

**Triveni:** The confluence of the three sacred rivers Ganges, Jumna (Yamuna), and Saraswati, located outside the sacred city of Rudraprayag (called Allahabad in modern times). Considered the most auspicious place for purificatory bathing. The space between the eyebrows.

**Turiya:** The state of pure consciousness. *A Ramakrishna-Vedanta Wordbook* defines it as: “The superconscious; lit., ‘the Fourth,’ in relation to the three ordinary states of consciousness–waking, dreaming, and dreamless sleep–which it transcends.”

**Upadhi:** Adjunct; association; superimposed thing or attribute that veils and gives a colored view of the substance beneath it; limiting adjunct; instrument; vehicle; body; a technical term used in Vedanta philosophy for any superimposition that gives a limited view of the Absolute and makes It appear as the relative.

**Upanishads:** Books (of varying lengths) of the philosophical teachings of the ancient sages of India on the knowledge of Absolute Reality. The Upanishads contain two major themes: (1) the individual self (Atman) and the Supreme Self (Paramatman) are one in essence, and (2) the goal of life is the realization/manifestation of this unity, the realization of God (Brahman). There are eleven principal Upanishads: Isha, Kena, Katha, Prashna, Mundaka, Mandukya, Taittiriya,
Aitareya, Chandogya, Brihadaranyaka, and Shvetashvatara, all of which were commented on by Shankara, Ramanuja and Madhvacharya, thus setting the seal of authenticity on them.

_Upasana:_ “Sitting near” or “drawing near;” worship; adoration; contemplation of God or deity; devout meditation; both teaching and learning.

_Urdhvareta yogi:_ A yogi in whom the subtle (including sexual) energies flow upwards.

_Vaikuntha:_ The celestial abode (loka) of Vishnu and His devotees.

_Vaishnava:_ A devotee of Vishnu.

_Vaishya:_ A member of the merchant, farmer, artisan, businessman caste.

_Varanasi:_ The most holy city of India, called by Yogananda “the Hindu Jerusalem.” Located on the Ganges and dedicated to Shiva (Vishwanatha), it is believed that anyone who dies there will be liberated.

_Varuna:_ A Vedic deity considered the sustainer of the universe and also the presiding deity of the oceans and water. Often identified with the conscience.

_Vayu:_ The Vedic god of the wind; air; vital breath; Prana.

_Veda:_ Knowledge, wisdom, revealed scripture. See Vedas.

_Vedanta:_ Literally, “the end of the Vedas;” the Upanishads; the school of Hindu thought, based primarily on the Upanishads, upholding the doctrine of either pure non-dualism or conditional non-dualism. The original text of this school is Vedanta-darshana, the Brahma Sutras compiled by the sage Vyasa.

_Vedas:_ The oldest scriptures of India, considered the oldest scriptures of the world, that were revealed in meditation to the Vedic Rishis (seers). Although in modern times there are said to be four Vedas (Rig, Sama, Yajur, and Atharva), in the Upanishads only three are listed (Rig, Sama, and Yajur). In actuality, there is only one Veda: the Rig Veda. The Sama Veda is only a collection of Rig Veda hymns that are marked (pointed) for singing. The Yajur Veda is a small book giving directions on just one form of Vedic sacrifice. The Atharva Veda is only a collection of theurgical mantras to be recited for the cure of various afflictions or to be recited over the herbs to be taken as medicine for those afflictions.

_Vipra:_ Inspired; wise, gifted with superior insight; sage; seer; priest; Brahmin.

_Vishishtadvaita Vedanta:_ The philosophy of Qualified Non-Dualism formulated by Sri Ramanuja.

_Vishnu:_ “The all-pervading;” God as the Preserver.

_Vishuddha chakra:_ “Supreme purity.” Energy center located in the spine opposite the hollow of the throat. Seat of the Ether element.

_Vishwanatha:_ “Lord of the Universe;” a title of Shiva, often applied to his temple in Varanasi (Benares).

_Viveka:_ Discrimination between the Real and the unreal, between the Self and the non-Self, between the permanent and the impermanent; right intuitive discrimination.

_Viveki(n):_ One who possesses discrimination (viveka).

_Vivekananda (Swami):_ The chief disciple of Sri Ramakrishna, who brought the
message of Vedanta to the West at the end of the nineteenth century.

**Vritti:** Thought-wave; mental modification; mental whirlpool; a ripple in the chitta (mind substance).

**Vyasa:** One of the greatest sages of India, commentator on the Yoga Sutras, author of the Mahabharata (which includes the Bhagavad Gita), the Brahma Sutras, and the codifier of the Vedas.

**Yajnavalkya:** A great sage whose teachings are recorded in the Brihadranyaka Upanishad; the guru of King Janaka.

**Yajnopavita:** Sacred thread. A triple thread worn by the twice-born (dwijas) that represents the threefold Brahman. It is essential for the performance of all the rites of the twice-born. Usually worn only by Brahmins, originally it was worn by Kshatriyas and Vaishyas as well.

**Yama (1):** Restraint; the five Don’ts of Yoga: 1) ahimsa–non-violence, non-injury, harmlessness; 2) satya–truthfulness, honesty; 3) asteya–non-stealing, honesty, non-misappropriativeness; 4) brahmacharya–continence; 5) aparigraha–non-possessiveness, non-greed, non-selfishness, non-acquisitiveness. These five are called the Great Vow (Observance, Mahavrata) in the Yoga Sutras.

**Yama (2):** Yamaraja; the Lord of Death, controller of who dies and what happens to them after death.

**Yoga Darshana:** See Yoga Sutras.

**Yoga Sutras:** The oldest known writing on the subject of yoga, written by the sage Patanjali, a yogi of ancient India, and considered the most authoritative text on yoga. Also known as Yoga Darshana, it is the basis of the Yoga Philosophy which is based on the philosophical system known as Sankhya.

**Yogamaya:** The power of Maya, of divine illusion. It is Maya in operation, the operation/movement rising from the presence (union–yoga) of God (Ishwara) within it, and therefore possessing delusive power.

**Yogananda (Paramhansa):** The most influential yogi of the twentieth century in the West, author of *Autobiography of a Yogi* and founder of Self-Realization Fellowship in America.

**Yogeshwara:** Lord of Yoga; a name of Lord Krishna.

**Yogi(n):** One who practices Yoga; one who strives earnestly for union with God; an aspirant going through any course of spiritual discipline.

**Yuga:** Age or cycle; aeon; world era. Hindus believe that there are four yugas: the Golden Age (Satya or Krita Yuga), the Silver age (Treta Yuga), The Bronze Age (Dwapara Yuga), and the Iron Age (Kali Yuga). Satya Yuga is four times as long as the Kali Yuga; Treta Yuga is three times as long; and Dwapara Yuga is twice as long. In the Satya Yuga the majority of humans use the total potential–four-fourths–of their minds; in the Treta Yuga, three-fourths; in the Dwapara Yuga, one half; and in the Kali Yuga, one fourth. (In each Yuga there are those who are using either more or less of their minds than the general populace.) The Yugas move in a perpetual circle: Ascending Kali Yuga, ascending Dwapara Yuga, ascending Treta Yuga, ascending Satya Yuga, descending Satya Yuga, descending, Treta Yuga, descending.
Dwapara Yuga, and descending Kali Yuga–over and over. Furthermore, there are yuga cycles within yuga cycles. For example, there are yuga cycles that affect the entire cosmos, and smaller yuga cycles within those greater cycles that affect a solar system. The cosmic yuga cycle takes 8,640,000,000 years, whereas the solar yuga cycle only takes 24,000 years. At the present time our solar system is in the ascending Dwapara Yuga, but the cosmos is in the descending Kali Yuga. Consequently, the more the general mind of humanity develops, the more folly and evil it becomes able to accomplish.

Yukteswar Giri, Swami Sri: The guru of Paramhansa Yogananda.
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